[O. H. C.-97-A]

Mr. Santanu Kumar Sarangi
DURGESH NARAYAN RATH
A.K.SAA, S.DAS,
M/S Maherchica Kar Sahoo
-Aurobinda Sahoo
(R-5)

In the High Court of Orissa
ORDER SHEET

WA
BLAPL/W. P. (C) No. WA No. 1251/2024 of 2024

I-Apln-2259/24
3277/24

SANJU RANA *Petitioner*

Versus

STATE OF ODISHA *Opposite-Party*

Sl. No. of Order	Date of Order	ORDER WITH SIGNATURE	Office note as to action (if any), taken on Order
			PRESENTED ON 15/05/2024 **Register and Put up for Orders** **Registrar (Judicial)**

FC200579
SCANNED

IN THE HIGH COURT OF ORISSA AT CUTTACK
W.A. No.1251 of 2024

Sanju Rana .... ***Appellant***

Mr.Durgesh Narayan Rath, Advocate

-versus-

State of Odisha and others .... ***Respondents***

Mr.Saswat Das, AGA
(for Respondents No.1, 2 & 3)
Mr.Mahendra Kumar Sahoo, Advocate
(for Respondents No.4 & 5)

CORAM:
HON'BLE THE CHIEF JUSTICE
HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE SAVITRI RATHO

ORDER

Order No. **03.07.2024**

01. This matter is taken up through Hybrid mode.

2. Issue notice.

3. Mr. Saswat Das, learned Addl. Government Advocate accepts notice on behalf of Respondents No.1 to 3. Mr. Mahendra Kumar Sahoo, learned counsel accepts notice on behalf of Respondents No.4 & 5.

4. Let required number of copies of the memo of appeal be served upon the learned counsel for the above noted Respondents within a week.

5. List this matter on 24.07.2024 alongwith W.A. No.1157 of 2024 and the connected Writ Appeals.

(Chakradhari Sharan Singh)
Chief Justice

(Savitri Ratho)
Judge

Bichi

D-WA-18428/24

IN THE HIGH COURT OF ORISSA

WA Case No. 1254/24

OFFICE NOTES

Sl. No. of Order for compliance	Date of Order for compliance	Notes and action taken on order with signature of Dealing Assistant and Superintendent
		SR made 26.4.24 Defect - 5(b), 5(d), 9(a), 9(b) 26.4.24, Defect no. 9(a) as per SR removed 28.5.24 KAILASH CHANDRA BARIK Assistant Registrar (Estt.) High Court of Orissa Defect - 5(b), 5(d), 9(b) 8.5.24 Defect - 9(b) is removed vide Legible Copy Defect - 5(d) is removed vide S&ME Copy. Defect - 5(b). 14.5.24

IN THE HIGH COURT OF ORISSA

Case No. WA - 1251/24

OFFICE NOTES

Sl. No. of Order for compliance	Date of Order for compliance	Notes and action taken on order with signature of Dealing Assistant and Superintendent
		Defect - 5(b) is removed vide Copy of Judgement. No. Defect S & ME Copy filed. 15.5.24 ~~For fresh Adl~~ Mr. Santanee Kumar Sarangi entered appearance for the Appellant. 16/5/24 For Fresh Admission (i) I.A no. - 2259/24 is at flag 'A' for stay. (ii) I.A no. - 3271/24 is at flag 'B' for dispensing with filing of c. copy. connected WP(C) - 720/16 tagged with WA - 1215/24. Uttam Kumar Sahoo 16 - 05 - 2024 D.B Reg no - 1211/24 16/5 27-7-24

IN THE HIGH COURT OF ORISSA

Case No. WA-1251/2024

OFFICE NOTES

Sl. No. of Order for compliance	Date of Order for compliance	Notes and action taken on order with signature of Dealing Assistant and Superintendent
01	03.7.2024	Order No-01 for Admission (i) I.A No-2259/24 is at flag 'A' for stay. (ii) I.A No-3271/2024 is at flag 'B' for dispensing with filing of 'C' copy. (iii) I.A No-5155/2024 is at flag 'C' for stay of the order dtd. 5.7.24 Passed in Misc case No-24/2024. (iv) Receipt showing service copy of 3 set of extra copies on 8 q MB filed. D.B WPC-720/18 tagged with WA-1215/24 Nibagni Mudali 22.7.24 4.12.24 Adm to 24.7.24. Reg in WA-1211/24.

ORISSA HIGH COURT

FILING ACKNOWLEDGEMENT SLIP

CNR	**ODHC010308222024**
Filing No	**D- WA/0018426/2024**
Date and Time	**23/04/2024-14:55:22**
Case No	**WA/1251/2024**
Registration Date and Time	**15/05/2024**
Misc Case/IA	2259/2024,3271/2024
Petitioner Name	SANJU RANA
Petitioner Advocate Name	DURGESH NARAYAN RATH
Respondents Name	STATE OF ODISHA
Respondents Advocate	
Amount	
Police Station	
FIR	

Wednesday 15th of May 2024 03:59:07 PM

ORISSA HIGH COURT

FILING ACKNOWLEDGEMENT SLIP

CNR	**ODHC010308222024**
Filing No	**D- WA/0018426/2024**
Date and Time	**23/04/2024-15:20:45**
Case No	-------
Registration Date and Time	-------
Misc Case/IA	2259/2024
Petitioner Name	SANJU RANA
Petitioner Advocate Name	DURGESH NARAYAN RATH
Respondents Name	STATE OF ODISHA
Respondents Advocate	
Amount	
Police Station	
FIR	

Tuesday 23rd of April 2024 03:26:40 PM

D-WA-18426/2024

Mob. 9668227956

1. RFA/RSA/FAO/SAO/LPA/SPA/CRP/ Review Pet/O. Ref/EXFA/EXSA/SM/APP/ Tr. P (c) WP (c) WA/SCLP Co . Appl/Co. Pet/Co.Case /Co App / Bkg. P/Mat . A/ Mat Ref/RP (FAMCT) Test Case / intest . Case /L.A. Ref/RCFA / L.A.A. / RCSA / RE Rev / MAC / MAC APP / EL pet / ELAPP / Arb, P / Arb . A / insurance Ref/ Cont. Cas (c) /Coutd . App (c) MFA(name of the Act) MSA/ SP.JC (name of the Act)Crl A / Crl .Rev / CKL.M.C / BLAPL / Cal .MAPPI /WP (crl) CUSREF STREV

Case Type W.A. No 1251 / 2024 Date of Regn

2. if 'state' is party , Name of the Deptt : (pet , Res) [put Mark]

if public Undertakings (Specify Name) : (Pet) (Res) [put Mark]

3. (a) Number of Category under Which the matter Fails :

3	1	0	7	0	1

if others , Specify the Subject

(b) Which is applicable ? [put Mark]

(Single Bench /Div .Bench / Three Judge Bench / Five Judge Bench)

4. Article of the constitution /Act (Central /state) [Put Mark]

U/C-10 of the Letters Patent's Act r/w Chapter-VII Rule-2 of the Orissa High Court Rules.

5. section/Sub-Section involved

6. Rules involved

7. Whether any other Matter is pending in this court on the same point of law :
if So, give the Number of matter : No

8. Whether any other Matter is pending against the impugned order/ Judgment ?
if So, give the Number of matter : No

9. Whether the Matter is covered by any Judgment of the supreme Court , this Court or any other high Court, if so, give the details of the Judgment

No

10. point of law involved in the matter Service

Date 04.04.2024

Signature of the Advocate

IN THE HIGH COURT OF ORISSA: CUTTACK
(CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION)

Writ Appeal (Civil) No. 1251 of 2024
(Arising out of W.P.(C) No. 720 of 2016
decided on 28.03.2024)

Code No. 310701

Sanju Rana ..... Appellant

Vrs.

State of Odisha and others ..... Respondents

INDEX

Sl. No		Description of documents	Pages
1.		Synopsis	A – A-I
2.		Date Chart	B
3.		Writ Appeal	1- 32
4.	Annexure-1	A copy of the writ petition bearing W.P.(C) No. 720 of 2016 along with annexures appended thereto.	33 - 118
5.	Annexure-2	A copy of the counter filed by the appellant.	119 - 159
6.	Annexure-3	A copy of the judgment dated 28.03.2024 passed in W.P.(C) No. 720 of 2016.	160 - 182
7.	Annexure-4	A copy of the advertisement dated 22.01.2011	183 - 186
8.	Annexure-5	A copy of the clarification dated 19.12.2011.	187 - 189
9.		Vakalatnama	

By the appellant through

Cuttack
Dt. 04.2024

ADVOCATE

SHRI DURGESH NARAYAN RATH
ENROLMENT No. O-1650/2000, MOB:-9861041020

SYNOPSIS

That the appellant by means of this writ appeal has assailed the legality and propriety of the judgment dated 28.03.2024 passed by the Hon'ble Single Judge in W.P.(C) No. 720 of 2016 since the same has been passed affecting the right and livelihood of the appellant and in clear non-application of mind. It is also alleged by the appellant that the writ petitioners by misrepresentation of facts mislead this Hon'ble Court and accordingly the order dated 28.03.2024 has been passed by the Hon'ble Single Judge.

Pursuant to an advertisement made in the year 2011, the appellant along with others applied for the post of Sikhya Sahayaks. The appellant was selected and engaged in the year 2011. Some unsuccessful candidate challenged the same before this Hon'ble Court in different writ petitions on the ground that the appellant and similarly placed other employees got vocational qualification after +2 pass and basing on the vocational qualification, they were engaged. Ultimately direction was issued to the Collector-cum-CEO to examine the same. The Collector opined that since there were no restriction to prosecute vocational course after +2 course, therefore, such acquisition of vocational

qualification cannot be termed as fraud and as such since such qualification has not yet been cancelled by the competent authority, therefore, the appointment of appellant is not illegal.

The said order of the Collector was challenged by the private respondents before this Hon'ble Court, wherein the impugned order has been passed. The appellant brought to the notice of the Hon'ble Single Judge that the in the meantime 12 years have been passed and the appellant had already been regularized as Level-V (A) Primary School Teacher, and that apart till date such certificates have not been cancelled by the competent authority, therefore, on the behest of unsuccessful candidates, the appellant should not have been ousted from her job affecting her livelihood and the livelihood of her family members.

By the appellant through

Cuttack

Dt. 14.04 .2024

ADVOCATE



[B]

DATE CHART

DATE		EVENTS
22.01.2011	:	An advertisement was floated for engagement Sikhya Sahayaks.
30.04.2011	:	The appellant was selected and engaged as Sikhya Sahayak
05.05.2015	:	Number of writ petitions filed challenging such engagement were disposed of vide order dated 05.05.2015 directing the respondent no.2 to examine and take a decision
21.08.2015	:	The respondent no.2 passed the order in favour of the appellant by holding that there were no restriction on that date to acquire qualification and that too such certificates have not been cancelled by the competent authority.
28.03.2024	:	The Hon'ble Single Judge passed the judgment allowing the writ petition filed challenging the order dated 21.08.2015 passed by the respondent no.2.

By the appellant through

Cuttack
Dt. 19.04.2024

ADVOCATE

6618

IN THE HIGH COURT OF ORISSA: CUTTACK

(CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION)

Writ Appeal (Civil) No. 1257 of 2024
(Arising out of W.P.(C) No.720 of 2016 decided on 28.03.2024)

Code No: 310701

IN THE MATTER OF :

An application under Clause-10 of the Letter Patent's Act read with Chapter-VIII Rule-2 of the Orissa High Court Rules.

Presented on 15/5/2024
Registrar (Judicial)

AND

IN THE MATTER OF :

An Appeal against the judgment passed by the Hon'ble Single Judge dated 28.03.2024 passed in W.P.(C) No.720 of 2016.

AND

IN THE MATTER OF :

Sanju Rana, aged about 38 years, D/o- Lambodhar Rana, At/P.O-Binayakpur, P.S-Basudevpur, Dist-Bhadrak, at present working as Level-V (A) Teacher in

P.S- , Dist-Bhadrak.

..... Appellant

LIMITATION

Date of Judgement/Awards 28-3-24

Date of Valid Presentation 23-4-24

Total Period Occupied 26 days

Limitation 30 days

Certified Copy Period ____ day

Delay in filing 6 days

Registrar (Judicial)

.....

1. Time..... Expired on
2. Period of delay Nil
3. Court Fee Rs 12/- Paid
4. Authentication fee due on the
   (a) Copy of Trial Court Judgement Rs..... .....Decree Rs..... X
   (b) Appellate Court Judgement Rs..... Decree Rs..... .....
5. (a) Copy of Trial Court Judgement/Order ✓
   (b) Appellate Court/Revisional Order Judgement C-copy of J&D dt 28-3-24 not filed
   (c) Second Copy of Petition filed
   (d) Receipt showing copy on A.G. Not filed
   (e) Vakalatnama properly stamped Executed and accepted yes
6. (a) Cause Title In order
   (b) Provision of Law Amendment Ordinance
7. Code
8. Single Judge/Division Bench Case
9. Other Defects.

STAMP REPORTER 26.4.24

Lacking undertaking for filing of English translation copy of annexure document not filed

Neat copy of P- 63, 64, 116 & 148 to 152 to be filed

-VERSUS-

1. State of Orissa, represented through its Commissioner-cum- Secretary, School, & Mass Education Department, At-Lok Seva Bhawan, Bhubaneswar, Dist-Khurdha, Odisha.
2. Collector-cum-Chief Executive Officer, Zilla Parishad, Bhadrak, At/P.O/P.S/Dist-Bhadrak.
3. District Project Coordinator of Bhadrak S.S.A., Bhadrak, At/P.O/Dist-Bhadrak.
4. Jayantilata Sahoo, aged about 41 years W/o-Abhaya Kumar Behera, At/P.O-Alabaga, Via-Ertal, Dist-Bhadrak.
5. Jyotirmayee Nayak, aged about 45 years, W/o-Sukanta Kishore Nayak, At-Chinol, P.O-Guagadia, Via-Ertal, Dist-Bhadrak.

..... Respondents.

6. Lizasmita Nayak, aged about 37 years, D/o-Kartik Ch. Nayak, At-Bacchada, P.O-Jagannathpur Bachhada, Dist-Bhadrak.

..... Proforma Respondent.

HIGH COURT OF ORISSA
FILED
23 APR 2024

The matter out of which this writ appeal arises was before this Hon'ble Court in W.P.(C) No. 720 of 2016 decided on 28.03.2024.

To

The Hon'ble the Chief Justice of Orissa High Court and His Lordship's Companion Justices of the said Hon'ble Court.

The Humble petition of the Appellant named above.

MOST RESPECTFULLY SHEWETH:

1. That the appellant by means of this writ appeal has assailed the legality and propriety of the judgment dated 28.03.2024 passed by the Hon'ble Single Judge in W.P.(C) No. 720 of 2016 since the same has been passed affecting the right and livelihood of the appellant and in clear non-application of mind. The present appellant was the opposite party no.4 in the said writ petition. It is also alleged by the appellant that the writ petitioners by misrepresentation of facts mislead this Hon'ble Court and accordingly the order dated 28.03.2024 has been passed by the Hon'ble Single Judge.

2. That it is respectfully submitted that the present respondents 4 and 5, being the petitioenrs have filed W.P.(C) No. 720 of 2016 challenging the order dated 21.08.2015 passed by the Collector-cum- Chief Executive Officer, Zilla Parishad, Bhadrak in Misc. Case No. 03/2015 pursuant to direction of this Court in W.P.(C) No. 5077 of 2014 and batch is under challenge in the present writ application.

3. That it is respectfully submitted that the present respondents 4 and 5, who are the petitioners in W.P.(C) No. 720 of 2016 have averred that an advertisement was issued by the Collector, Bhadrak on 22.01.2011 inviting applications from eligible candidates for engagement as Sikshya Sahayaks. The educational qualification required was, the candidate must have passed +2 examination in Science/Arts/Commerce or its equivalent examination declared by appropriate authority and C.T. training. Pursuant to such advertisement, the petitioners submitted their applications. A merit list was prepared for all categories of candidates. Petitioner No.1 was

HIGH COURT OF KERALA
FILED
23 APR 2024

placed at serial No. 4 of the merit list. Petitioner No.2 at serial No.5 of SEBC Female merit list.

4. It has been also averred that in the initial provisional merit list of different categories, vocational course qualified candidates were not included, for which some of them approached this Court in W.P.(C) No. 6438 of 2011 and 6458 of 2011. By order dated 18.03.2011, a coordinate Bench of this Court disposed of the writ applications directing that the cases of the candidates (petitioners therein), who have completed +2 Vocational course with C.T. training shall be considered by the Collector-cum-CEO, Zilla Parishad and the provisional list of selected candidates already published shall be revised accordingly. Pursuant to such order, a fresh merit list was prepared including the candidates with vocational qualification. At this stage some of the candidates similarly situated as the petitioners submitted grievance before the authorities that the Vocational candidates and Upasastri candidates had obtained those certificates by suppressing the fact of their passing +2 Arts/Commerce/Science examination earlier, which amounts to fraud.

Further, some bonafide candidates having Vocational qualification approached this Court in W.P.(C) No. 7478 of 2011, which was disposed of by order dated 24.03.2011 directing the District Project Coordinator, SSA, Bhadrak to consider and dispose of the representation submitted by those petitioners within three weeks. Accordingly, opposite party Nos. 2 and 3 conducted enquiry into the allegations regarding submission of fake certificates but without completing the same, published a second merit list including their names with the remark that enquiry is pending against the Vocational and Upasastri candidates. Being aggrieved, some similarly situated persons approached this Court in W.P.(C) No. 18256 of 2011, which was disposed of by order dated 15.07.2011 with direction to the authorities to complete the enquiry and thereafter consider the representation of the petitioners in the light of the enquiry report. It was however, made clear that the authorities shall not appoint the candidates against whom the enquiry is pending till it is complete. Notwithstanding such order, the opposite party Nos. 2 and 3 asked the Vocational/ Upasastri

candidates to furnish an undertaking that they had not passed +2 Arts/Commerce/Science before obtaining Vocational/ Upasastri certificates. The concerned candidates submitted individual undertakings that they had not passed any other +2 course examination other than Vocational/ Upasastri examination and if such undertaking is found to be incorrect they shall be disengaged from the post of Sikshya Sahayak. As such, opposite party Nos. 2 and 3 issued conditional engagement orders in favour of those candidates making it subject to the order of this Court in W.P.(C) No. 7478 of 2011 with further stipulation that if any irregularity is detected in future, the engagement would be terminated. The enquiry proceeded thereafter and on its completion it was established that all those candidates had passed +2 examination earlier but had suppressed such fact to further prosecute Vocational/ Upasastri courses in contravention of the CHSE Act and Regulations and Sanskrit University Regulations. Accordingly, all six candidates including the private opposite party Nos. 4 and 5 were issued with second show cause notices for disengagement. Said private

opposite parties approached this Court in W.P.(C) No.32024 of 2011, in which an interim order of protection was granted. At this stage, the petitioners and similarly placed candidates, who had been deprived of appointment because of the inclusion of the Vocational/Upasastri candidates filed independent writ applications challenging the engagement of the so called fake candidates. Several such writ applications having been filed, all were clubbed together and finally disposed of by a common order passed by this Court on 05.05.2014 in W.P.(C) No. 5077 of 2014 and batch. After taking note of the relevant facts, a coordinate Bench of this Court, inter alia, held as follows: "*In view of the above, the Collector-cum-C.E.O. S.S.A. Bhadrak is directed to take a decision by taking into consideration the show cause reply along with the enquiry report after supplying copy of the enquiry report to the petitioners and affording reasonable opportunity of hearing to them. It is needless to mention that if the petitioners have committed fraud by submitting the +2 Vocational course certificates contrary to the C.H.S.E. Act and the Regulations then they shall be disengaged and*

consequently the merit list shall be recast. The entire exercise shall be completed within a period of three months from the date of receipt of the certified copy of this order. However this Court has not expressed any opinion on the merit of the case." Pursuant to such order, the petitioners and the Vocational/ Upasastri candidates including the private opposite parties appeared before the Collector and participated in the hearing. After considering the contentions raised by the parties, the Collector vide order dated 21.08.2015, refused to interfere in the matter by holding that even though there is evidence of suppression of facts by the Vocational/ Upasastri candidates but the examination conducting authority had not cancelled or withdrawn the certificates issued in their favour. Furthermore, those persons had put in around four to five years of service.

5. That it is respectfully submitted that assailing the order of the Collector, the present respondents 4 and 5 approached this Court by filing W.P.(C) No. 720 of 2016. For better appreciation of the case, a copy of the writ petition bearing W.P.(C)

HIGH COURT OF ORISSA
FILED
23 APR 2024
REGISTRAR JUDICIAL

No. 720 of 2016 along with all the annexures are appended to the writ appeal as **Annexure-1**.

6. The present appellant, who was the opposite party no.4 in the said writ petition appeared and filed the counter affidavit indicating therein that an advertisement was published on 22.01.2011 in pursuant to the State Government Resolution dated 10.01.2011, inviting applications for the post of Sikshya Sahayaks. The qualification required as per the advertisement at Clause-2 (a) is +2 qualification or its equivalent qualification. It was also required to pass C.T. qualification. Pursuant to the said advertisement, appellant applied for the post of Sikshya Sahayak and accordingly she was selected. By submitting the vocational certificate and mark sheet she applied for the post of Sikshya Sahayak as per the advertisement. But her case was not considered by the authority, even though the advertisement provided that the candidates having +2 and equivalent will be eligible for consideration for the post of Sikshya Sahayak. The CHSE passed an order on 15.02.2011 indicating therein clarification regarding equivalency of Higher Secondary Education by the CHSE Orissa,

Bhubaneswar stating inter alia that as per the proceeding of the academic committee counsel held on 11.01.2010 and duly approved general body council in its meeting dated 13.01.2010 the followings are the criteria for deciding equivalent to different stream of higher secondary education. Higher Secondary vocational education having the subject as mentioned below, i.e. History, Political Science are equivalent to Arts Steam of the Higher Secondary Education.

7. That it is respectfully submitted that the Secretary, Council of Higher Secondary Education wrote a letter to the District Project Coordinator, Bhadrak regarding clarification related to vocational and upasastri examination indicating therein that the vocational examination is equivalent to +2 Arts and Commerce stream of the Council and the Upasastri Examination conducted by the Jagannath Sanskrit Viswa Vidyalaya Puri is also equivalent to the council.

8. That it is respectfully submitted that since the Council has declared that +2 examination under vocational course is equivalent to CHSE

examination and the appellant submitted the vocational qualification certificate at the time of selection, her case was considered and engagement order was issued in favour of the appellant vide engagement order dated 30.04.2011. From that date the appellant is continuing in the said post. Subsequently she was made regularized as regular primary school teacher with effect from 2017.

9. That it is respectfully submitted that some persons, those who are not selected as Sikshya Sahayaks made objection that when the persons have already passed +2 CHSE examination, they cannot appear in +2 Vocational Course, therefore, subsequent appearance in the examination is illegal in view of the regulation of the council. Therefore, their selection are to be declared illegal. Such persons relied that as per Clause 2 (i) of the CHSE regulation, a person cannot appear in the vocational course after completing the course in annual CHSE examination and accordingly the writ petition was filed by some of the persons bearing W.P.(C) No. 5077 of 2014, 10872 of 2013, 11112 of 2011 and 21461 of 2013. All the writ petitions were taken up by this Hon'ble Court and disposed of on

05.05.2015 directing the opposite party no.2 to take a decision with regard to the grievance of such persons. Accordingly, the opposite party no.2 allowed such persons as well as the present appellant to participate in the hearing process. Accordingly, the present appellant submitted her written statement. The entire stand of the present appellant before the opposite party no.2 that since the allegation was made with regard to fraud, as per the Black Law Dictionary, fraud means a knowing concealment of the material facts made to induce another to act to his or her detriment. It was also stated before the opposite party no.2, that the allegation made against the appellant that vocational Upasastri candidates have to be considered in the light of the aforesaid dictum and law. They submitted that there is no such provision to have both +2 Arts/ Science and +2 Vocational qualification from CHSE. The documents which were relied on by such persons by obtaining under RTI Act are of the year 2011. So since by the time if any restriction is given by the council in the year 2011, the appellant has already completed their course since 2007. Therefore, the document and or

the order in 2011 cannot have any retrospective applicability to give any restriction for appearing in the examination. It was also stated that none of the documents of 2011 was there at the relevant point of time when the appellant appeared in the vocational/upasastri examination in order to acquire the qualification. It is the case of the appellant that in view of the judgment of this Hon'ble Court in W.P.(C) No. 6452 of 2011 the +2 Arts/Science was treated at par with the qualification of the vocational. This Hon'ble Court in W.P.(C) No. 6452 of 2011 observed that the cases of the candidates, who have completed +2 Vocational course with C.T. training shall be considered by the Collector-cum-CEOs, Zilla Parishad as per the instruction given by the Department of School & Mass Education Department and the provisional list of selected candidates already published shall be revised accordingly after considering the case of the appellant and other candidates situated similarly.

10. That it is respectfully submitted that the ground of the appellant before the opposite party no.2 at the time of hearing that the certificate

which was issued by the competent authority, i.e. CHSE has not been disputed till to date. Therefore, the certificate which was issued and not yet been cancelled or recalled by the examining body, the opposite party no. 2 cannot declare the certificate issued to the vocational and upasastri candidates as illegal and void one. It is also submitted that if the certificates have been wrongly issued in favour of such category of candidates, then also it cannot be recalled/ cancelled by the CHSE after about 10 years, when all candidates have changed their position by virtue of such certificates and settled in their life.

11. That it is respectfully submitted that since council regulation does not have any restriction or any prohibition to appear in the vocational examination, therefore, there is no illegality or irregularities committed by the appellant by appearing the +2 Vocational Examination after completing +2 CHSE Examination. Therefore, by considering all the grounds of the appellant, the opposite party no.2 had taken a right decision that since the appellant appeared in the examination before any restriction was there by the authority in

the year 2011 and more so, there was no restriction in the Council Regulation at the time when the appellant appeared in the +2 Vocational examination, and lastly when the certificate has not yet been cancelled/ recalled by the CHSE, therefore, by taking into consideration all these aspects, the opposite party no.2 came to a conclusion that the selection made in favour of the appellant cannot be declared illegal and accordingly rejected the case of the petitioners, which is challenged in the present writ petition. For better appreciation of the case, a copy of the counter affidavit filed by the appellant along with the annexures appended thereto are annexed to the writ appeal as **Annexure-2**.

12. That it is respectfully submitted that the Hon'ble Single Bench took up the matter on 28.03.2024 and after hearing learned counsel for the parties passed the judgment on 28.03.2024 by allowing the writ petition filed by the present respondents 4 and 5 by quashing the order passed by Collector and by directing the respondents 2 and 3 to re-cast the merit list by excluding the vocationa/ Upasastri candidates (opposite party

nos. 4 and 5 to the writ petition) and by issuing appropriate order of engagement in favour of petitioners therein either as Sikshya Sahayaks or in any equivalent post without any further delay. For better appreciation of the case, a copy of the judgment dated 28.03.2024 passed in W.P.(C) No. 720 of 2016 is annexed herewith as **Annexure-3**.

Being aggrieved by the order dated 28.03.2024 passed by the Hon'ble Single Judge in W.P.(C) No.720 of 2016, the appellant has filed the aforesaid appeal on the following amongst others;

GROUNDS.

i) For that the judgment dated 28.03.2024 passed in W.P.(C) No.720 of 2016 under Annexure-3 is not only erroneous one but also non-application of mind and in contrary to the law settled by this Hon'ble Court as well as by the Hon'ble Apex Court in series of cases as has been referred in the rejoinder affidavit filed by the appellant being the opposite party no.4.

ii) For that the Hon'ble Single Judge failed to appreciate the fact that since there was no restriction on the part of CHSE that a person cannot appear in vocational examination or cannot prosecute the vocational course after completion +2 Arts/ Science/ Commerce till 2011, which was also appreciated by the Hon'ble Single Judge, but Hon'ble Single Judge came to a conclusion that since an undertaking was given by the appellant and that undertaking amounts to misrepresentation of fact and that was not properly dealt with by the Collector, while dealing with the matter as per the direction of this Hon'ble Court, the writ petition was allowed and direction was given to recast the merit list of the year 2011 excluding opposite parties 4 and 5 to the writ petition and to issue engagement order in favour of the present respondents 4 and 5.

iii) For that the Hon'ble Single Judge failed to appreciate the fact that the appellant was engaged as a Sikhya Sahayak in the year 2011 and after completion of requisite year of

service has already been taken as the regular primary school teacher since 2017 and she is continuing till todate. The appeallant appeared in the vocational examaintion, which was allowed by CHSE as there was no restriction on the part of the examining body, which was taken note of by the Collector while deciding the issue, in terms of the direction of this Hon'ble Court that unless and until the certificates are declared to be cancelled or withdrawn, the recruitment process cannot be declared as illegal one. That was not considered by the Hon'ble Single Judge and Hon'ble Single Judge has decided the matter being sewed away with the undertaking given by the appellant and treating it to be a fraud. But fact remains the appellant has not committed any fraud in order to be selected as Sikshya Sahayak. The appellant had completed the course much earlier in the year 2007, where as the selection for Sikhya Sahayak held in the year 2011. The appellant taken made any fraud in order to get admission in vocational course,

since at that point of time, there was no restriction with regard to admission in vocational course after +2 Course.

iv) For that the Hon'ble Single Judge failed to appreciate the fact that even though the writ petitioner averred that pursuant to the advertisement dated 22.01.2011, the present appellant was selected, but intentionally annexed the advertisement dated 08.12.2011. The present appellant was not the applicant in the advertisement dated 08.12.2011. The dates of 22.01.2011 and 08.12.2011 have much relevance to the case of the appellant. As because only on 19.12.2011, the Government issued a clarification that after passing +2 Arts/ Science/ Commerce course, the candidates those who passed +2 vocational course are not eligible for selection. This clarification was issued on the basis of query made pursuant to the advertisement dated 08.12.2011 and department letter dated 05.12.2011. So such restriction came for the first time only on 19.12.2011. Therefore, in January 2011, there

was no such clarification or even restriction. The writ applicant has already joined much before such clarification was issued pursuant to an advertisement issued in January, 2011. But the writ petitioners by misleading this Hon'ble Court, made an averment in the writ petition, but enclosed another advertisement in the writ petition so as to mislead this Hon'ble Court. A copy of the advertisement dated 22.01.2011 and the clarification dated 19.12.2011 are annexed herewith as ***Annexures-4 and 5 respectively***.

v) For that, the Hon'ble Single Judge failed to appreciate the fact that by the time of appointment of the appellant since there were no restriction, they were selected and after intervention of this Hon'ble Court in different writ petitions, Additional Secretary to Government issued a letter on 17.03.2011 directing the Collectors-cum-CEO-Zilla Parishad, Bhadrak to revise the draft merit list after taking into account the candidates who have passed +2 vocational course with CT under intimation to the Department.

Therefore, the authorities are well aware of such fact. But fact remains from 2011 till to date, the authorities have allowed the appellant to discharge the duty without any disturbance. Therefore, the Hon'ble Single Judge should have appreciated all such fact and should not have interfered the writ petition filed by the outsiders, who claims for appointment pursuant to the advertisement issued in the year 2011, even though in the meantime more than 13 years have been passed. By issuance of such direction, the entire selection process, the entire select list will be disturbed and the appellant will be ousted from his employment.

vi) For that the Hon'ble Single Judge has recorded in paragraph-14 of the judgment that it has been argued at length that the private opposite parties could manage to obtain the Vocational certificates by suppressing the fact of their passing +2 examination and by obtaining duplicate transfer certificates from the institutions where they had appeared for the High School Certificate examination. This

Court need not enter into this controversy or render any factual finding thereon as it has already been held that irrespective of the manner in which the private opposite parties managed to obtain the Vocational/ Upasastri certificates, the same can have no legal sanctity. Since the Hon'ble Single Judge had not gone into the controversy, therefore, coming to a conclusion that the certificates of Vocational/ Upasastri have no legal sanctity is an error apparent on the face of it. When the competent authority have not yet cancelled the certificate, the Hon'ble Single Judge coming to such a conclusion without entering into such controversy is incorrect one and needs interference of this Hon'ble Division Bench.

vii) For that the Hon'ble Single Judge at paragraph-13 of the judgment held that the certificates issued to the Vocational/ Upasastri candidates including the private opposite parties in the present writ application being contrary to law have no legal force and cannot therefore enure to their

benefit. It is well settled that a certificate obtained in contravention of the Regulations/ Rules can have no legal sanctity. For that the regulation which has been referred to in the judgment that vocational course, which itself is a Higher Secondary course cannot be obtained after passing the +2 examination, which is also a Higher Secondary course. But fact remains, the Examination Counsel of CHSE vide Resolution dated 20.11.2015 and the consequential communication by the Secretary CHSE with regard to clarification first time passed an order with regard to any restriction to pass Vocational Course after completion of +2 Arts/ Science/ Commerce Course. Since by that time the appellant had already completed the course, therefore, there is no violation of any Rules or Regulation by the petitioner to pass the vocational course.

viii) For that the appellant in support of case, relied on the decision of this Hon'ble Court in the case of one ***Basudev Guru v. State of Odisha and others*** (W.P.(C) No. 16810 of 2016 disposed of on 18.12.2018), where the

similar questions had come up for consideration and this Hon'ble Court observed that what constituted "fraud" has not been clarified. More so, acquisition of subsequent +2 H.S. qualification in vocational stream cannot be construed to be fraudulent acquisition of qualification. It does not confine any meaning whether acquisition of vocational qualification after +2 Arts/ Science/ Commerce is construed to be a second +2 H.S. course obtained fraudulently. Therefore, the question of acquisition of vocational qualification, after +2 H.S. course, cannot be construed to be fraudulent one. Thereby, the Council has misconstrued this fact and issued such letter, which cannot sustain in the eye of law. This Hon'ble Court finally decided that the petitioners, who have acquired vocational qualification, shall be treated as genuine and on that basis they shall be eligible to be considered for selection to the post of Livestock Inspector and other future service prospects.

HIGH COURT OF ORISSA
FILED
23 APR 2024
REGISTRAR (JUDICIAL)

ix) For that the above judgment was passed by this Hon'ble Court relying on the decision in the case of ***Dr. (Smt.) Pranaya Ballari Mohanty v. Utkal University and others***, where this Hon'ble Court had taken into consideration the principles of promissory estoppels. This Hon'ble Court relied on paragraphs-13, 14 and 15 of the said judgment, wherein it has been indicated that once a student is admitted after satisfying all the qualifications, subsequent cancellation of admission cannot be made since he would be deprived of pursuing his studies in any other institution. Similarly, the principle of promissory estoppel has been considered by the apex Court in ***Union of India and others v. M/s.Anglo Afghan Agencies etc.***, AIR 1968 SC 718, ***Chowgule & Company (Hind) Pvt. Ltd. v. Union of India and others***, AIR 1971 SC 2021, ***M/s.Motilal Padampat Sugar Mills Co. Ltd. v. The State of Uttar Pradesh and others***, AIR 1979 SC 621, ***Union of India and others v. Godfrey Philips India Ltd.***, AIR 1986 SC 806, ***Delhi Cloth &***

General Mills Ltd. v. Union of India and others, AIR 1987 SC 2414, ***Bharat Singh and others v. State of Haryana and others***, AIR 1988 SC 2181 and many other subsequent decisions also. In view of the aforesaid authoritative pronouncement, applying the same principle in the present case, the authorities after lapse of 20 years cannot unsettle the settled position by arbitrary and unreasonable exercise of power and alter the position.

x) Therefore, when the appellant had completed her course in the year 2007 and got engagement in the year 2011, after long lapse of acquisition of the qualification, objection was raised by some outsiders that the certificates obtained by the appellant are by way of fraudulent means. In view of the judgment of this Hon'ble Court in the case of ***Basudev Guru***, it cannot be said that the appellant obtained the certificate by fraudulent means. Rather the appellant applied for the vocational course and took admission in the vocational course,

completed the vocational course and appeared in the examination conducted by the CHSE and after being successfully passed out, certificates were issued by the CHSE. The certificates and the mark sheet which were issued by the CHSE have not been tampered or manufactured. These certificates have been issued by the Council. The only objection the petitioners are raising the appellant cannot appear in the vocational course, after appearing in the +2 CHSE examination, but there was no such restriction by the CHSE in the year 2004-07 when the appellant acquired such qualification and such restriction came in the year 2011.

xi) For that the Hon'ble Single Judge failed to appreciate all such fact and unsettled the settled position after 12 years of service of the appellant on the basis of the baseless allegation made by the writ petitioners. Therefore, the impugned order is liable to be quashed.

xii) For that the Hon'ble Single Judge in the impugned judgment in one hand directed to recast the merit list of the year 2011, i.e. after lapse of 13 years by excluding the appellant and on the other hand directed for issue of engagement order in favour of the writ petitioners even without examining the eligibility and merit position of such writ petitioners. Therefore, the impugned order suffers from non application of mind and liable to be quashed.

xiii) For that the law has already been settled by this Hon'ble Court in the case of ***Puspanjali Mishra v. State of Orissa***, 2015 (II) ILR-CUT 982 that once a person has completed the course and by taking the same course has already entered into the service, after long gap the settled position cannot be unsettled by the authorities because of their mistake. Therefore, when the appellant had already completed the course and basing upon such course, he have already selected and after completing six years of service, they have already taken as regular primary school

teachers, at this juncture, disputing the selection of the year 2011 by the petitioners cannot be sustained. It is also further submitted that since the petitioners were not selected, they have challenged the selection of appellant with a view that if the present appellant will be oust from the service and the merit list of the year 2011 will be recast, their cases will be considered, which is not permissible as per the law decided by this Hon'ble Court in series of cases, that once the thing has been settled that cannot be unsettled after long lapse of years.

xiv) For that the Hon'ble Single allowed the writ petition basing on the undertaking given by the appellant. It is a fact that the same is a format available with the authorities and at the time of appointment, the appellant was forced to put signature or else, he will not be extended with appointment. The format of the undertaking shows that undertaking to be submitted by provisionally selected S.S. applicants of Bhadrak District. Therefore,

the appellant has already been provisionally selected and accordingly on the direction of the authorities, she has put her signature. The witnesses given by the officers are the team members who were there for verification of the service particulars. Therefore, all such undertaking was obtained by the authorities from the appellant.

xv) For that the appellant reserves to submit any further grounds in support of its case at the time hearing of the case.

PRAYER.

Under the above circumstance, it is therefore humbly prayed that this Hon'ble Court may be graciously pleased to admit the writ appeal and call for the records and be pleased to quash the order dated 28.03.2024 passed by the Hon'ble Single Judge in W.P.(C) No. 720 of 2016 vide Annexure-3 to the writ appeal.

And for this act of kindness, as in duty bound, the appellant shall ever pray.

By the appellant through

CUTTACK

DT. 04. 04.2024

Advocate

VERIFICATION

I, Sanju Rana, aged about 38 years, D/o-Lambodhar Rana, At/P.O-Binayakpur, P.S-Basudevpur, Dist-Bhadrak, do hereby verify that the contents made above are true to my personal knowledge and believe and that I have not suppressed any material facts.

Cuttack

Sanju Rana

Date:- 14.04.2024 VERIFICANT

CERTIFICATE

Certified that due to want of cartridge paper, this petition has been typed in thick white paper and further certify that the grounds taken in the appeal are good grounds and it is undertaken to support them at the time of hearing of the appeal.

UNDERTAKING
I undertake to file English translated Copy of Odia annexures on and when required by Hon'ble Court.

CUTTACK
DT. 14. 04. 2024

ADVOCATE
DURGESH NARAYAN RATH
Enr. No:O-1650/2000
Mob:-9861041020

ADM.
08.05.24

-33- ANNEXURE-1

IN THE HIGH COURT OF ORISSA : CUTTACK.

W. P.(C) NO. 720 /2016.

CODE NO :

Jayantilata Sahoo and another ... . Petitioners.

-Versus-

State of Orissa and others ... ... Opp. Parties.

I N D E X

9.	Annnexure-8. Copy of the petition to call for connected inquiry record.	49
10.	Annexure-9. Copies of reject list excluding vocational and Upasastri candidates of the year 2012.	50 - 53
11.	Annexure-10 series. Copies of double certificates of the Opp. Party Nos.4 and 5.	54 - 58
12.	Annexure-11 series. Copies of note of submissions along with a list of the citation of the judgment .	59 - 67
13.	Annexure-12. Copy of the impugned order dtd.21.8.2015 obtained on 19.9.2015.	68 - 80
13.	Annexure-13 Series. Copy of the letter of CHSE dtd.13.6.2011 along with letter dtd.4.5.2011, 27.5.2011, 31.05.2011.	81 - 84
14.	Annexure-14. Copy of CHSE clarification regarding equivalency.	85

VAKALATNAMA.

Cuttack. By the Petitioners through

Date : Advocate.

-35-

IN THE HIGH COURT OF ORISSA: CUTTACK.

W.P. (C) NO. 720 / 2016.

CODE NO.

In the matter of

An application under Article 226 & 227 of the Constitution of India;

A n d

In the matter of:

An application challenging the illegal and arbitrary order dtd.21.8.2015 of the Opp. Party No.2 in connection with the matters of appointment as Sikshya Sahayak.

A n d

In the matter of :

1. Jayantilata Sahoo, aged about 33 years, W/o. Abhaya Kumar Behera, At/P.O. Alabaga, Via. Ertal, Dist. Bhadrak.

2. Jyotirmayee Nayak, aged about 37 years, W/o. Sukanta Kishore Nayak, At. Chinol, P.O. Guagadia, Via. Ertal, Dist. Bhadrak.

.... **Petitioners.**

- Versus -

1. State of Orissa, represented through it's Commissioner-cum-Secretary, School and Mass Education Department, Orissa, At-Secretariat Building, Bhubaneswar, P.O. Bhubaneswar. District. Khurda.

2. Collector-cum-Chief Executive Officer, Zilla Parishad, Bhadrak, At/P.O/Dist. Bhadrak.

3. District Project Coordinator of Bhadrak S.S.A. Bhadrak, At/P.O/Dist. Bhadrak.

4. Lija Smita Nayak, aged about 29 years, D/o. Kartik Ch. Nayak, At- Bachhada, P.O. Jagannathpur Bachhada, Dist. Bhadrak. At present working as Sikshya Sahayak, Under Bhadrak District.

5. Sanju Rana, aged about 30 years, D/o. Lambodhar Rana, At/P.O. Binayakpur,P.S. Basudevpur , Dist. Bhadrak. At present working as Sikshya Sahayak, Under Bhadrak District.

.. .. **Opposite Parties**

-36-

The matter out of which this writ application arises was not before this Hon'ble Court in the present form.

To

The Hon'ble Shri D.H. Whaghela, B.Com., LL.M., the Chief Justice of Orissa High Court and His Lordship's other Companion Justices of the said Hon'ble Court ;

The humble petition of the petitioners named above ;

Most Respectfully Sheweth :-

1. That the petitioners, whose name find place in the provisional merit list for the post of Sikhya Sahayak under Bhadrak Education District-II, have filed this writ application challenging the illegal and arbitrary impugned order dtd.21.8.2015 under Annexure-12 of the Opp. Party No.2 claiming to have passed in compliance of order dtd.5.5.15 of the Hon'ble High Court passed in W.P. (C) No.5077/14 and a batch of writ applications, on the ground that the findings recorded in the impugned order is not only perverse but also contrary to the materials available in the case record. The impugned order is also assailed on the ground of absolute non-application of mind as the same stood contrary to the settled principles of law, whereby, the Opp. Parties No.4 and 5 having committed fraud at the stage of not only acquiring Vocational certificates (in spite of earlier passing or prosecuting +2 Arts/ Science / Commerce) in contravention of CHSE Act and Rule Regulations (narrated in para-20 to 25), but also obtained engagement as Sikshya Sahayak by giving false undertaking, thereby, committed fraud, in other words, by deliberately suppressing the material facts in disadvantage to the bonafide candidates including the petitioners.

2. That the petitioners are citizens of India and the cause of action for filing this writ application arose is well within the territorial jurisdiction of this Hon'ble Court.

-37-

3. That it is respectfully submitted that the State Govt. in supersession of all previous resolutions, issued a detailed guideline for selection and engagement to the post of Sikhya Sahayak vide its resolution dtd.10.1.2011. As per Clause-6(1)- the eligibility qualification was prescribed that the candidate must have passed +2 Science,Arts/Commerce **or** its equivalent examination declared by appropriate authority **and** C.T. Training. Following the aforesaid Govt. resolution advertisement was issued by the Opp. Party No.2, on 22.1.2011 inviting applications from the eligible candidates. For better appreciation, a copy of the Govt. resolution dtd.10.1.2011 and copy of the advertisement dated 22.1.2011 are filed herewith as **Annexure-1 Series.**

4. That it is relevant to mention here that the petitioners have submitted their applications in respect of Bhadrak-II Education District. The petitionerNos.1 & 2 belong to SEBC (Female) +2 Arts C.T. Category, had applied their application along with necessary documents for the post of S.S. The Opp. Party No.2 and 3 on receipt of the applications, had initially prepared provisional merit list in respect of all categories such as unreserved Male, unreserved Female and other reserved categories. The name of the Petitioner no-1 found place in their respective first provisional merit list at Sl. No.4, Petitioner No.2 found place at Sl. No.5 of SEBC Female Merit list. For appreciation, copy of the initial provisional merit list of SEBC Female category bearing the name of the petitioners is filed herewith as **Annexure-2.**

5. That it is relevant to mention here that in the said initial provisional merit list of different categories, Vocational candidates were not included either to +2 Arts, C.T. or +2 Science C.T. category, as a result, the Vocational candidates had approached the Hon'ble High Court in different writ applications bearing W.P.

-38-

(C) No.6438/2011 and W.P. (C) No.6452/2011. This Hon'ble High Court while considering the aforesaid grievance vide order dated 18.3.2011 disposed of the aforesaid cases. For better appreciation the order dated 18.3.2011 passed in W.P. (C) No.6452/2011 is quoted herein below:

W.P.(C) No. 6452 of 2011.

18.03.2011 Heard.

The sole grievance of the petitioners in this writ application is that they made applications pursuant to an advertisement for being appointed as Sikshya Sahayak for which the eligibility qualification is +2 Arts/Science/Commerce with Certified Teachers Training. The petitioners have completed +2 Vocational Courses from the Council of Higher Secondary Education, which is not being treated equivalent to +2 Arts/Science/Commerce in the District of Bhadrak though the C.H.S.E. has treated the same to be equivalent to +2.

Mr. K.K. Rath, learned counsel appearing for the School and Mass Education Department submits that he has obtained instruction that the +2 Vocational Course will be treated as equivalent to +2 Arts/Science/Commerce and there was no impediment for considering the cases of the petitioners for appointment as Sikshya Sahayak. He also produces a written instruction received by him that the Governement in its Department of School and Mass Education has issued a letter dated.09.04.2011 as well as 17.03.2011 to all Collectors-cum-CEOs, Zilla Parishad in respect of equivalence of +2 Vocational courses. In spite of this, the case of the Vocational C.T. pass candidates have not been taken into consideration while preparing the draft merit list as well as many candidates have filed writ petitions before this Court and in the present writ petition, this Court has directed to consider the case of the petitioners. The Collectors-cum-CEOs of different Districts have therefore been requested to revise the draft merit list immediately after taking into account the candidates, who have passed +2 Vocational course with C.T. under intimation to the Department.

-39-

Mr. Das, learned counsel for the petitioners submits that in the meantime a provisional list of selected candidates have been published without considering the cases of the petitioners.

In this view of the matter all the pending Misc. Cases as well as the writ petition is disposed of directing that the cases of the petitioners/candidates, who have completed +2 Vocational course with C.T. training shall be considered by the Collectors-cum-CEOs, Zilla Parishad as per the instruction given by the Department of School and Mass Education Department and the provisional list of selected candidates already published shall be revised accordingly after considering the cases of the petitioners and other candidates situated similarly. Fresh provisional merit lists of selected candidates shall be published. Since there are many similar matters pending and all the Collectors-cum-CEOs have been directed to revise such provisional list of selected candidates, this order shall apply to the entire process of selection in the State. The interim order passed earlier stands vacated.

A copy of this order be handed over to Mr. K.K.Rath, learned counsel for the school and Mass Education Department.

Urgent certified copy of this order be granted on proper application.

Sd/- M.M.Das,J.

6. That it is respectfully submitted that in the aforesaid direction, this Hon'ble High Court, **without going to the legality and validity of their certificates or otherwise, particularly, the candidates whether having earlier +2 Arts / Science / Commerce qualification can be considered on the strength of Vocational certificates obtained by committing fraud,** , only said that the Vocational certificates are equivalent to +2 courses and their cases to be considered. Accordingly, in consequence of the aforesaid directions of the Hon'ble High Court, the Opp. Party No.2 and 3 proceeded to prepare a fresh merit list by including all those Vocational candidates to the merit list, without conducting enquiry into the allegation of questioning the legality and validity of their

-40-

Vocational certificates/Upasastri Certificates obtained by practicing fraud despite all those candidates had earlier passed a Higher Secondary Course in one stream immediately after passing HSC Examination. It is stated that under the provisions of CHSE Act & Regulation, Higher Secondary Course Means, a two Years Regular Course, Completed immediately after HSC Examination, therefore there cannot be any double course at the higher Secondary Stage.

7. That it is respectfully submitted that before the merit lists being finalised, the similarly situated candidates like petitioners along with others, had ventilated grievance petition before the Op. Parties No.2 and 3, praying therein that firstly, the Vocational candidates and Upasastri candidates, those have obtained certificates by suppressing the fact of earlier pass of +2 Arts or Commerce or Science and without either producing SLC or CLC or without surrendering their earlier Council Registration Number, their case should not be considered vis-à-vis the bonafide candidates (petitioners) at the instance of their alleged Vocational Certificates and Upasastri Certificates. Secondly, as per the Equivalance clarification, given by the CHSE, even the candidates possessing Vocational certificates immediately after passing HSC Examination in consonance with the provisions of the CHSE Act and Regulations, those candidates having Science combination in foundation course of Vocational stream, they should be included into the +2 Science C.T. Category not to the +2 Arts C.T. Category. Despite the pendency of the above two fold grievances, without considering the same, when the concerned collector proceeded to prepare the list on merit, at that juncture, some of the bonafide candidates approached the Hon,ble High Court in W.P.(C) No-7478/2011. The Hon'ble High Court disposed of the aforesaid case by passing the following quoted order :

. 41 .

W.P.(C) No.7478 of 2011

Order No.02

Dt.24.03.2011 Heard.

During course of hearing, learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the petitioners have filed a representation under Annexure-7 before Opp. Party No.4-District Project Co-ordinator, SSA, Bhadrak, but the same has not yet been considered.

Considering the nature of grievance raised by the petitioners, the writ petition is disposed of directing Opp. Party no.4-District Project Co-ordinator, SSA, Bhadrak to consider and dispose of the representation of the petitioners under Annexure-7 within a period of three months from the date of receipt of a certified copy of this order in accordance with law.

Urgent certified copy of this order be supplied on proper application.

Sd/- H.S. Bhalla,J.

8. That it is relevant to mention here that in pursuance to the above direction, the Opp. Party No. 2 and 3 initiated enquiry into the above allegations in respect of all such fraud certificates/fraud activities of the candidates, but without completing the enquiry published a second merit list including their name with a remark at remark column that enquiry is pending against such Vocational and Upasastri Candidates. For better appreciation, a copy of the second merit list including all those Vocational and Upasastri candidates are filed herewith as **Annexure-3 series**.

9. That it is respectfully submitted that notwithstanding receipt of the initial grievance petition, when the Op. Parties No.2 and 3 without completing enquiry, proceeded to engage all such Vocational and Upasastri candidates, out of annexure-3series, at that juncture, similarly situated persons had approached this Hon'ble High Court in W.P. (C) No.18256/2011 with a prayer either to exclude all those candidates from the list or they should not be given appointment pending

-42-

finalization of the enquiry. The said writ application was disposed by this Hon'ble High Court with the following direction:

W.P.(C) No.18256 of 2011

Order No.02

Dt.15.07.2011 Present: Mr. M.K. Sahoo, Advocate for the Petitioner.

Mr. Alekha Ch. Mohanty, Additional Government Advocate for Respondents No. 1 to 4.

Learned Additional Government Advocate accepts notice on behalf of the Respondent No. 1 to 4. Three additional copies of the writ petition be served on him.

Grievance of the Petitioner is that, documents submitted by number of candidates along with their applications for consideration for appointment to the post of Sikshya Sahayak, Bhadrak Education District-1 are under scrutiny as document submitted by around 30 candidates are found to be forged. It is not in dispute that an enquiry has already been initiated by the Respondents against the said candidates along with their applications are under scrutiny and verification. However, without completing the enquiry, respondents have started with the selection process. All the Petitioners are also candidates who have applied against the said posts.

Under the circumstances and considering the limited relief claimed by the Petitioner, petition is disposed of with the directions to the respondents to complete the enquiry and thereafter consider the representation of the petitioners (Annexure-7) in the light of the enquiry report.

However, it is made clear that respondents shall not appoint the candidates against whom the enquiry is pending till it is complete.

A free certified copy of this order be given to learned Additional Standing Counsel under signature of the Court Master.

Urgent certified copy of this order be granted as per rules.

Sd/- A Suresh, J

10. That it is respectfully submitted that notwithstanding receipt of the aforesaid order of the Hon'ble High Court directing not to give appointment before completion of enquiry, the Opp. Party Nos.2 and 3 specifically asked all those

-43-

alleged fake Vocational candidate / Upasastri candidate to furnish an undertaking so as to state the fact that whether they have passed earlier +2 Arts / Commerce / Science or not, before obtaining Vocational certificate/Upasastri certificates, so that all those candidates being in possession of only Vocational certificates /Upasastri certificates are to be liable to be considered for final selection and engagement as S.S. Accordingly, all those Vocational candidates / Upasastri candidates submitted individual undertaking stating the facts that they have not passed any other +2 course certificates (Arts/ Science / Commerce) other than their Vocational certificates/ Upasastri certificates and their cases be considered on the strength of such Vocational certificates/ Upasastri certificates, in the event, the facts stated by them in the undertaking is found to be incorrect then they shall have to be disengaged from the post of S.S. For appreciation, copies of undertakings (deliberately furnished by the Vocational candidates/Upasastri candidates by suppressing the fact of passing earlier +2 Arts/science/commerce so as to take advantage over others in the matters of engagement as S.S.) are filed herewith as **Annexure-4** series.

11. That it is relevant to mention here that on the above false undertakings, the Opp. Party Nos.2 and 3 issued conditional engagement order in favour of all those fake Vocational / Upasastri candidates as S.S. The condition expressly provided that this engagement order is subject to order of the Hon'ble High Court in W.P. (C) No.7478/2011 and subsequent order of the Govt. in S & M.E. Dept. Apart from that it was further stipulated in the said engagement order by the Opp. Party No.2 and 3 that if any irregularity is detected in future, his/ her engagement will be terminated without assigning any reason thereof. For appreciation, copy of such conditional engagement orders issued in favour of Opp. Parties No.4 is filed herewith as **Annexure-5.**

- 44 -

12. That It is further humbly submitted that however the Opp. Party Nos. 2 & 3 proceeded with enquiry in respect of all those fake Vocational candidates and Upasastri candidates in compliance of earlier direction of this Hon'ble High Court in W.P. (C) No.7478/11 and W.P. (C) No.18256/2011 by giving notices for hearing. Accordingly, on completion of enquiry, it was established that all those Vocational candidates and Upasastri candidates have earlier passed either +2 Arts / Science / Commerce with one registration number just immediately after passing HSE Exam and in suppression of the said fact, they have further prosecuted the Vocational courses and Upasastri courses in contravention of all norms of the CHSE Act and Regulations / Sanskrit University regulations. Thereafter, all those Vocational and Upasastri candidates by giving false undertakings, have obtained engagement as S.S. in disadvantage to the bonafide candidates including petitioners. Therefore, on the basis of findings of the enquiry, all the Vocational candidates / Upasastri candidates including the Opp. Parties No.4 & 5 were issued with 2nd show cause notice of disengagement. For better appreciation, the show cause notice for disengagement issued to all those Vocational candidates / Upasastri candidates including Opp. Parties No.4 is filed herewith as **Annexure-6**.

13. That it is respectfully submitted that all those Vocational candidates / Upasastri candidates including Opp. Parties No.4 and 5 on receipt of disengagement notices approached the Hon'ble High Court challenging the same in different writ applications. The Hon'ble High Court while issuing notices in the aforesaid writ applications filed by the Vocational candidates / Upasastri candidates, was pleased to pass interim order in their favour protecting their interest. For appreciation, interim order passed in respect of all Vocational candidates / Upasastri candidates were same and identical hence, one such order in

- 45 -

respect of Opp. Party No.5 passed in W.P. (C) No.32024/2011is quoted herein below:-

W.P.(C) No. 32024 of 2011

03. 22.12.2011 Present : Mr. P.K. Rath, counsel for the petitioner.

Mr. S. K. Samal, Standing Counsel accepts notice for respondents 1 to 3. Show-cause notice be issued to respondent No.6 by Registered post on requisites to be filed within a week, returnable within three weeks thereafter.

In the meantime, respondents shall file their counter affidavit.

List after service is complete.

Sd/- A. Suresh,J.

Misc. Case No.20101 of 2011

04. 22.12.2011 In the meantime, operation of impugned order dated.24.11.2011 so far as it pertains to cancellation of engagement of the petitioner being contradictory to the last paragraph of the order is hereby stayed.

It is made clear that petitioner shall not be disengaged till further orders.

However, the concerned authority shall continue the enquiry after service of show-cause notice on the petitioner, but shall not declare the result without permission of the Court.

Free attested copy of this order be provided to the learned Standing Counsel under signature of the Court master.

Urgent certified copy of this order be granted as per rules.

Sd/- A. Suresh,J.

14. That it is respectfully submitted that all those Vocational candidates / Upasastri candidates, those who were engaged on the strength of false undertakings, they were allowed to continue as S.S. by the Opp. Party No.2 and 3 in view of the aforesaid interim direction/order of the Hon'ble High Court. It is also relevant to mention here that the state Opp. Parties including Opp. Parties No.2 and 3 also filed counter justifying their action initiated to be taken by issuing disengagement notice on the ground that all those Vocational candidates /Upasastri candidates have not only obtained their respective Vocational certificates /

-46-

Upasastri certificates by suppressing materials facts but also have taken advantage over the bonafide candidates by giving false undertakings to the extent that they have not passed +2 Arts/ Science /Commerce. For appreciation, a copy of the counter filed by the Opp. Party Nos. 1 to 3 in one such identical case justifying the disengagement notice to all Vocational candidates / Upasastri candidates is filed herewith as **Annexure-7**.

15. That it is respectfully submitted that all those writ applications filed by the Vocational candidates / Upasastri candidates while pending, the petitioners and some other bonafide candidates (those could not get appointment because of inclusion of all those Vocational candidates / Upasastri candidates to the merit list) filed intervention application and also stay vacation petition in one hand and on the other hand, the petitioners / bonafide candidates also filed independent writ applications challenging the engagement of fake Vocational candidates / Upasastri candidates. The petitioners in those writ application also prayed for their own engagement so also seeking a prayer for their engagement afresh excluding all those fake Vocational candidates / Upasastri candidates.

In such circumstances, all those two groups of writ applications (one set filed by Vocational candidates / Upasastri candidates challenging disengagement notices and another set filed by bonafide candidates including petitioners) were clubbed together and finally were disposed of vide a common order dtd.5.5.2015. For appreciation, the order dtd.5.5.2015 passed in W.P. (C) No.5077/2014 and a batch of cases as described above is quoted herein below:-

W.P.(C) NO. 5077 OF 2014

W.P.(C) No. 50772014 W.P.(C) Nos. 539 597 598 10872 1487 21461 & 620 of 2013 W.P. (C) Nos. 5340 1857 17561 1438 22346 25355 & 927 of 2012 and W.P.(C) Nos. 32023 32024 32030 32025 32026 29301 11112 32029 32028 32027 29053 28415 28414 25693 25692 & 25694 of 2011.

-47-

09. 05.05.2015 Since common questions of law involve in all the writ petitions the same are taken up for analogous hearing.

Heard learned counsel for the petitioners Mr. B.P. Tripathy learned Standing Counsel for the School and Mass Education Department and learned counsel for the candidates, those who have passed the Vocational course.

The grievance of the petitioners is that even though the petitioners have undergone the C.T. training by virtue of their marks secured in +2 Examination but some other candidates have been engaged as Sikhya Sahayak after obtaining the Vocational/Upasastri certificates from the C.H.S.E.

According to the petitioners since those candidates had earlier appeared in 2 Arts Science Commerce Examination under C.H.S.E. as per the Regulation they are not entitled again to pursue the Vocational Course and therefore the certificates obtained by them in the 2 Vocational examination are illegal.

In some of the writ petitions the petitioners who are engaged on the basis of Vocational Certificates being issued with second show cause notices have challenged the same and some of them have filed their replies.

This anomaly if any has to be reconciled and a decision has to be taken by the recruiting authority.

In view of the above, the Collector-cum-C.E.O. S.S.A. Bhadrak is directed to take a decision by taking into consideration the show cause reply along with the enquiry report after supplying copy of the enquiry report to the petitioners and affording reasonable opportunity of hearing to them.

It is needless to mention that if the petitioners have committed fraud by submitting the +2 Vocational course certificates contrary to the C.H.S.E. Act and the Regulations then they shall be disengaged and consequently the merit list shall be recast. The entire exercise shall be completed within a period of three months from the date of receipt of the certified copy of this order.

However this Court has not expressed any opinion on the merit of the case.

The writ petitions are accordingly disposed of.

-48-

Urgent certified copy of this order be granted as per rules.

B.P. Ray J.

16. That it is respectfully submitted that in pursuant to the aforesaid order dtd.5.5.2015 of the Hon,ble High Court, both the groups appeared before the Collector and submitted their respective grievances with documents. It is pertinent to mention here that some of the eligible bonafied candidates filed a petition before the Collector with a prayer that the earlier enquiry file be called for basing upon which the disengagement notices to the fake Vocational candidates / Upasastri candidates were issued and materials available in that record be considered along with false undertakings. For appreciation, a copy of the said petition of the eligible bonafide candidates is filed herewith as **Annexure-8**. Apart from that the petitioners also filed various other documents including the false undertakings submitted by the fake Vocational candidates / Upasastri candidates and also other documents, more particularly, the mass exclusion of all identical fake Vocational candidates and Upasastri candidates, those were excluded from the 2012 selection for S.S. For appreciation, copies of the Rejection list in excluding all Vocational candidates and Upasastri candidates from the selection of Sikashya Sahayak of the years, 2012 of the very same District of Bhadrak are filed herewith as **Annexure-9** series.

17. That it is respectfully submitted that apart from the above documents, the petitioners also filed copies of the double certificates of the fake Vocational candidates and Upasastri candidates before the collector. For appreciation, Copies of double certificates of the Opp. Party Nos.4 and 5 are filed herewith as **Annexure-10** series. It is also relevant to mention here that in addition to the filing of aforesaid documents, the petitioners have also filed a written note of submission along with a list of citation of judgments with copies thereof, which are relevant in

-49-

the context of engagement obtained by committing fraud / by deliberately misrepresenting the fact and thereby taking advantage over other bonafide candidates. A copy of the note of submissions along with a list of the citation of the judgment of the Hon'ble High Court and Apex Court submitted before the Collector are filed herewith as **Annexure-11 series**.

18. That it is respectfully submitted that the learned Collector without considering all the aforesaid materials under Annexures-5, 6, 7,8,9, 10 & 11 series and other documents available in the case record of Surekha Samal and other similar batch of cases and also without considering the relevant provisions of the CHSE Act and Regulations and without considering the relied judgments of the Hon'ble Apex Court and Hon'ble High Court, finally passed an order on 21.8.2015 holding inter-alia that the successful candidates (fake Vocational and Upasastri candidates) have given an undertakings that they have not passed the +2 Arts, Science and Commerce stream prior to the Vocational course is not correct rather the same is an suppression of fact but this is not enough to disqualify successful candidates and declare their recruitment as void. The Collector also gave a further findings that as all those Vocational and Upasastri candidates have already put around 4 to 5 years of service, for which, the learned Collector was not inclined to interfere in the recruitment of S.S. made in the year, 2011. With this observation, the Collector disposed of the case without considering the aforesaid materials submitted by the petitioners and without looking to the settled principles of law to the extent that once engagement obtained undisputedly on committing fraud or by giving misrepresentation of fact and also or in other words, by suppressing facts, thereby taking advantage over others, at that juncture, their engagement as S.S. is nullity in the eye of law. For appreciation, the impugned order dtd.21.8.2015 of the Learned Collector, Bhadrak is filed herewith as **Annexure-12**.

19. That it is respectfully submitted that the petitioners on being aggrieved by the aforesaid impugned order under Annexure-12 of the Learned Collector, Bhadrak has filed this writ application challenging the same on the following among other grounds:-

(A) The impugned order and its findings are not sustainable in the eye of law as the same stood contrary to the materials available in record and also perverse being contrary to the settled position law.

(B) The impugned order and its finding are also bad in law as the same stood violative of established fact and the provisions of the CHSE Act and Regulations / Instructions.

(C) The impugned order is also not sustainable and tenable as the same has been passed contrary to his own finding recorded earlier thereby, issued disengagement notice.

(D) The impugned order is also bad in law as in the instant case, the fact of suppression of earlier prosecuting / passing +2 Arts/Science/Commerce before taking admission to Vocational courses has already been established and also at the stage of engagement, the allegation of suppression of fact in the guise of undertaking, has also been established. Despite that the finding of the Learned Collector not to interfere in the recruitment of the year, 2011 is perverse and stood violative of the settled principles of law.

(E) The impugned order is also not liable to be sustained because of the other reason that the learned Collector has ignored all materials & admitted facts, more particularly, has deliberately avoided to consider the Annexure-4, 5, 6,7,8,9,10,11 and 13 series. In other words, had the Collector fairly considered all those documents then certainly, such a finding as recorded in

the impugned order in favour of fake Vocational and Upasastri candidates would not have been arrived at.

(F) The findings recorded by the Collector so far suppression of materials fact is concerned, the same has been admitted to have been established against the fake Vocational and Upasastri candidates, despite such findings, there was no occasion to give clean cheat to such fake Vocational and Upasastri candidates on the plea of 4 or 5 years of continuance as S.S., particularly when, their continuance as S.S. was in accordance with the interim protection of the Hon'ble High Court. The said findings of the Collector holding inter-alia not to interfere in their continuance is perverse and contrary to the law.

(G) That apart from the above, the findings of the Collector not to interfere in the aforesaid matter on the plea of 4 to 5 years continuance of all fake Vocational and Upasastri candidates is an erroneous finding because of the simple reason, as per their own false undertakings, all those fake candidates ought to have been disengaged and moreover in view of conditional engagement given by the Opp. Party No.2 and 3 with a clear condition that they shall be terminated in the event any irregularities is found and as a matter of fact, in the instant case, after enquiry when irregularity were established, at that juncture, they ought to have been disengaged.

20. That it is respectfully submitted that apart from the aforesaid grounds , the impugned order of the Collector is also untenable in view of the provisions of the Orissa Higher Secondary Education Act, 1982 came into force w.e.f.7th day of September, 1982 and also the regulations framed there under. The said Act provided for establishment of Council means Council Higher Secondary Education U/s-3 to regulate, control and developed Higher Secondary Education in the State.

-52-

The Section -2(i) defines what Higher Secondary Course is and Section-2(j) defines what Higher Secondary Education is. For appreciation, both the provisions are quoted herein below:-

Section-2(i) - "Higher Secondary Course" means course **"immediately"** following the High School Certificate Course and covering **a** period of two academic years which is provided for either in a College or a Higher Secondary School and includes Arts, Science, Commerce and Vocational Courses".

Section-2 (J)- "Higher Secondary Education" means such general and Vocational Education forming in itself a complete purposive whole, which **immediately** follows Secondary Education as has been defined in the Orissa Secondary Education Act 1952 and immediately "precedes" a stage of education controlled by any university".

21. That it is respectfully submitted that Section-30 prescribed that the Govt. is to frame first regulations dealing with admission, examination and grant of certificate of Higher Secondary Course. Accordingly, Orissa Higher Secondary Education Regulation, 1982 has been framed and subsequently same has been amended by way of amendment Regulation 1983. The Chapter-VII deals with Higher Secondary Examination in Arts, Science and Commerce. Regulation-107 under Chapter-VII prescribed that only a registered students is allowed to appear one of the examination for not less than completion of two academic years after passing H.S.C. Examination. The Regulation-109 also provided that no students shall be admitted to an institution beyond six weeks from the date of publication of the HSE Result and at behest council may permit a student two weeks more for admission with a late fees of Rs.10/-.

-53-

As per Regulation -113 (3) no candidate shall be permitted to take at the 2nd examination in any subject, which he had not offered at the preceding Annual Examination.

22. That it is respectfully submitted that like Chapter-VII of the CHSE Regulation, the Chapter-VIII also deals with Higher Secondary Examination of Vocational Stream. Regulation-123 also provided that any registered student may be admitted to Annual Examination of Vocational Course for not less than two academic year after passing HSC Examination from Board of Secondary Education, Odisha and like Regulation-109, Regualtion-124 also provided that no students shall be admitted into an institution beyond six weeks from the date of publication of Annual HSC result, only Council can permit a student two weeks more for admission with a late fees of Rs.10/-.

23. That it is respectfully submitted that in view of the aforesaid provisions of the Act and the Regulation, it is clear that (i) Higher Secondary Course is a two years regular course and (ii) Higher Secondary Course is always to be followed immediately after High School Certificate Examination, in other words, Higher Secondary Course is a two years regular course, just after HSC, either in Arts, Science and Commerce or Vocational Course.

Therefore, the State Govt. while framing the Regulation-107 and 109 so also Regulation-123 and 124 in consonance with the object and spirit of Section-2(i) and (j) of the Act, categorically prescribed that within six weeks from the date of publication of the HSC result, one has to take admission into any one of such courses or thereafter within two weeks more with late fees. Hence, at the stage of Higher Secondary Education, there is absolutely no scope to prosecute two courses simultaneously or one after another at the stage of Higher Sccondary Education. In

- 54 -

the instant case, both the O.Ps 4 and 5 have prosecuted both the +2 Course as well as Vocational course and +3 Stem University by submitting false and fake information before the college authorities of both the colleges as well as before the CHSE simultaneously and acquired both the certificates within three years which is not at all permissible as per CHSE Regulation. Therefore, the impugned order of the Collector under Annexure-12 suffers from absolute non-application of mind, simple because of the reason that the Collector in his entire order has never considered the Regulations - 107, 109, 113(3), 123,124 read with Section-2(i) and (j) of the Act. Besides that the Collector deliberately and willfully ignored the aforesaid provisions of Law only to save all those fake Vocational and Upasastri candidates. Therefore, on this score alone the impugned order of the Collector is liable to be set aside and quashed.

24. That it is respectfully submitted that apart from the aforesaid grounds, the impugned order is liable to be interfered with, as because, in the instant case, the learned Collector has deliberately avoided to consider the relied judgments of this Hon'ble High Court and Hon'ble Apex Court. It is also pertinent to mention here that in the instant case, the provisions of the CHSE Act and Regulations and subsequent instructions have never been considered by the learned Collector. Had the same been considered then also the Collector would have come to a conclusion that the act of procuring Vocational certificates and Upasastri certificates is also amounts to committing fraud, which has been deliberately done to take advantage over other bonafide candidates, on suppression of fact of earlier passing +2 Arts, Science/Commerce. Despite that when the district authorities called upon all the Vocational and Upasastri candidates to furnish an undertaking to the extent of not suppressing any material facts to have passed earlier +2 Arts/Science/Commerce, in such circumstances, all the vocational candidates and Upasastri candidates having

given false undertakings and thereby obtaining engagement is also amounts to committing fraud. Therefore, any benefits including any engagement obtained by practicing fraud is void and nullity in the eye of law. On this score alone the learned Collector ought to have disengaged all those fake candidates.

25. That it is respectfully submitted that in consonance with the provisions of the CHSE Act and the Regulations, the CHSE Authority (in reply to the query made by the DPC, Bhadrak in his letter No.6387 dtd.31.5.2011), has categorically stated in his office letter No.3920 /CHSE (O) dtd.13.6.2011 that the earlier letter No.3142 dtd.4.5.2011, letter No.3630 dtd.27.5.2011 and letter No.3669 dtd.31.5.2011 issued by CHSE Authority-cum-PIO are authentic. For appreciation, copies of such instructions issued in letter No.3920 /CHSE (O) dtd.13.6.2011 that the earlier letter No.3142 dtd.4.5.2011, letter No.3630 dtd.27.5.2011 and letter No.3669 dtd.31.5.2011 along with the final letter dtd.13.6.2011 are filed herewith as **Annexure-13** series. All those letters unequivocally forbids the prosecution of double course. Hence, all those instructions being available in the case record of Surekha Samal, the Collector has never considered the same and even never uttered a single word with regard to all those documents. Therefore, the findings recorded in favour of all those fake Vocational and Upasastri candidates is not only contrary to the above materials but also perverse.

26. That it is respectfully submitted that be that as it may, even assuming for the sake of argument that one can simultaneously prosecute double higher secondary course and thereby can register himself as regular students with two registration numbers in respect of above two courses simultaneously or one after another without producing CLC / TC or surrendering earlier registration number then also in that event, even if it is presumed that their Vocational certificates/

-56-

Upasastri certificates not liable to be a product of committing fraud, in other words, found to be considered then also all those Vocational candidates having Science subjects like Physics, Chemistry, Mathematics or Biology under the headings of basic foundation course, at that juncture, all those candidates including private Opp. Parties ought to have been considered for +2 Science C.T. Category not to +2 Arts C.T. Category. For appreciation, a copy of clarification regarding equivalency of CHSE is filed herewith as **Annexure-14**. Therefore, the Vocational candidates having combination Science Subjects in foundation course ought to have been included to the +2 Science C.T. Category not to +2 Arts C.T. Category. Therefore, judging for either of the angle, their selection and engagement are not sustainable and tenable. Apart from that the judgment / order as relied by the learned Collector is also suffers from non-application of mind, particularly when, all those judgment as relied by the Collector are not applicable to the facts and circumstances of the case, as because, in the instant case, the Vocational candidates / Upasastri candidates have committed fraud in each stage, more particularly in furnishing false undertaking. Apart from that their continuance of 4 to 5 years is in view of the interim protection of this Hon'ble High Court, hence, the plea of estoppels or acquisance are not applicable to the case in hand, particularly when, the suppression of facts has been established and the said facts has been admitted by the Collector. In view of the above materials and the settled position of law, the impugned order is liable to be quashed and set aside.

27. Therefore, in the aforesaid facts and circumstances of the case, the petitioner having found no other alternative and efficacious remedy, has approached this Hon'ble High Court for getting appropriate relief.

PRAYER

Under the above facts and circumstances of the case, it is humbly prayed that this Hon'ble High Court be pleased to allow the writ application by granting following reliefs-

A. An appropriate writ / writs be issued quashing the impugned order under Annexure-12 and in consequence thereof the conditional engagement orders be declared illegal and arbitrary.

B. An appropriate writ / writs be issued to recast the merit list by excluding the fake Vocational and Upasastri candidates, those have obtained engagement by suppressing the material facts as described above and thereafter necessary direction be made to engage the petitioners as Sikshya Sahayak with all service benefits at par with others notionally.

(C) Or in alternative, any other writ(s), direction(s), order(s) be issued as this Hon'ble court may deem fit and proper;

And for which act of your kindness the petitioners shall, as in duty bound, ever pray.

Cuttack. By the Petitioners through

Date : Advocate.

AFFIDAVIT

I, Jayantilata Sahoo, aged about 33 years, W/o. Abhaya Kymar Behera, At/P.O. Alabaga, Via. Ertal, Dist. Bhadrak , do hereby solemnly affirm and state as follows :-

1. That I am the Petitioner No. 1 in this writ application and I am looking after the case on behalf of petitioner No.2.

2. That the facts stated above are all true and correct to the best of my knowledge and belief.

Identified by

Advocate Deponent.

Certificate

Certified that due to non-availability of catridge paper this has been typed in thick white paper.

Advocate.

-24-58- Annexure-1

GOVERNMENT OF ORISSA
DEPARTMENT OF SCHOOL AND MASS EDUCATION
RESOLUTION

No. 587 /SME Dt. 10-1-11
II-SME(B)-41/2009.

Subject : Guidelines for engagement of Sikshya Sahayaks.

Government had issued the Resolution bearing No.18536 dtd.19.11.09 in supersession of this Department Resolution No. 673/SME dated 10.01.08, No-11676/SME dated 31st May, 2006 and Corrigendum No.20000/SME dated 12th October,2006 and Resolution No.22438/SME dtd.14.11.07 & Addendum No.3817/SME Dt.26.02.09 prescribing the procedure for engagement and the career advancement policy of Sikshya Sahayaks. The said Resolution dtd.19.11.2009 was challenged before the Hon'ble High Court and the Hon'ble High Court in their order dtd.29.06.2010 in WP (C) Nos.1361/ 2010 and batch of cases passed order to the effect that "the notification (Resolution) dtd.19.11.09 and the consequential advertisement which have been annexed to the other writ petition also stand quashed with the observation that the Government shall take immediate steps for recruitment of such teachers(Sikhya Sahayaks) strictly in accordance with the existing rules after ascertaining the exact number of different types of teachers required to be recruited in the various schools already existing or to be opened / upgraded as proposed".

The Right of Children to Free and Compulsory Education Act,2009 came into force w.e.f.01.04.2010 (here in after called in the said Act).

As per the provisions under section-38 of the said Act the Orissa Right of Children to Free and Compulsory Education Rules 2010 (here in after called in the said Rules) has been notified by the State Government vide Notification No 17291/SME, Dtd 27.09.2010 and came into force w.e.f. 18th October, 2010.

The said Act proposes to provide free and Compulsory Education to every child of the age group of 6 to 14 years.

As per the provisions under section-8(g) of the said Act, the State Government shall ensure good quality elementary education conforming to the standards and norms specified in the schedule.

Keeping in view the provisions of the said Act and Rules and to comply the orders of the Hon'ble High Court in WP(C) Nos.1361 / 2010 and batch of cases to go ahead with the recruitment process in accordance with existing rules i.e. the provisions contained in the Central Act and Rules framed their under and the guidelines issued by the National Council for Teacher Education (NCTE) as being the Academic Authority, Government have been pleased to issue the present Resolution in supersession of all the previous Resolutions, Corrigendum and Addendum for engagement of Sikshya Sahayaks provided that engagement made, action taken or things done under the provisions of the Resolution, Addendum and Corrigendum so superseded shall be deemed to have been taken, made, issued or done under this present resolution, namely : -

1. That, all the functions of elementary education will be transferred in phases to Zilla Parishad and other Panchayati Raj Institutions.

2. That, the recruits shall be called as Sikshya Sahayaks (SS).

3. That, engagement of all Sikshya Sahayaks (SS) will be made by respective Zilla Parishads on need basis under each category i.e. trained graduate Science, trained graduate Arts, +2 science CT and +2 Arts CT under the programme of Elementary Education, Sarva Sikshya Abhiyan (SSA) and for implementation of the said Act.

4. **ENGAGEMENT:**

4.1. Sikshya Sahayaks (SS) will be engaged in each Education District Unit wise by the respective Zilla Parishad by a Selection Committee to be headed by the Collector-cum-Chief Executive Officer, Zilla Parishad as Chairman and Circle Inspector of Schools, District Inspector of schools, District Project Coordinator (SSA), District Employment officer and the District Welfare Officer as members. The District Project Coordinator (SSA) will act as Convener of the Committee, who shall prepare the draft select list and place the same before the Zilla Parishad with due approval of Collector-cum-CEO, ZP. The final selection will be made by Zilla Parishad within 15 days at the maximum. In case the Zilla Parishad does not finalise the select list within the stipulated time, the draft select list submitted by the Collector-cum-CEO, ZP will be deemed to be approved.

4.2 Vacant posts as well as newly created posts in the elementary schools on account of opening of new Primary / Upper Primary Classes or due to Up-gradation of existing Primary Schools to Upper Primary Schools or opening of Class-VIII by way of up-gradation of existing Upper Primary Schools shall be filled up by the candidates having the qualification of +2 Science , Arts /Commerce (or its equivalent examination declared by appropriate authority)and C.T. Training or +2 Science , Arts / Commerce (or its equivalent examination declared by appropriate authority) and 2 year Diploma in Education (Special Education) a course recognized by Rehabilitation Council of India (RCI) and B.A., B.Sc. (or its equivalent Examination declared by appropriate authority) and B.Ed. or B.A., B.Sc. (or its equivalent examination declared by appropriate authority) and one year B.Ed. (Special Education) a course recognized by Rehabilitation Council of India (RCI) as per the requirement under each category.

As per Section 23(2) of the said Act, read with rule 16(2) and (4) of the said Rules the State Government shall request the Central Government for relaxation of the prescribed minimum qualification as laid down by the academic authority for appointment of teachers. The candidates with lesser qualification will be considered for appointment only on the basis of qualification so relaxed by the central Government .

Untrained candidates selected as per the relaxed standard shall furnish an affidavit at the time of engagement to the effect that they shall acquire the required training qualification within a period of 5 years at their own cost.

4.3 Selection shall be made only on merit i.e. on the basis of percentage of marks secured in +2 (or its equivalent examination declared by the appropriate authority) and C.T. for C.T. candidates and B.A., B.Sc. (or its equivalent examination declared by the appropriate authority) with B.Ed. for B.Ed. candidates.

In case of untrained candidates the percentage of marks secured in +2 or its equivalent examination declared by the appropriate authority or BA, B.Sc. or its equivalent examination declared by the appropriate authority shall be considered.

In case two or more candidates secure the same marks, the candidates older in age and if ages are same, the candidates passing High School Certificate Examination earlier will be placed above the other in the select list.

4.4 The select list for each Education District unit will be valid for a period of one year from the date of its finalisation. This select list will be 150% of the posts advertised for such Education District unit.

4.5 Orders of engagement shall be issued by the Zilla Parishad through its C E O, ZP of the District.

While issuing the engagement order the respective CEO Zilla parishad will exhaust the list of selected trained candidates of the required category first and thereafter if need be he/she will fill up the remaining vacancies from the list of selected untrained candidates.

4.6 The engagement will be on an annual contract basis. Contract will be renewed in subsequent years depending on the performance of the candidate. While renewing the contract of the Sikshya Sahayaks (SSs), the Zilla Parishad / Collector-Cum-CEO, Zilla Parishad must see that the Village Education Committee of the concerned school has given positive certificate in his/her favour about regular attendance and satisfactory teaching. The Sikshya Sahayak (SS) can be removed from engagement with a prior notice of 30 days, if she/he violates the conditions of the contract on the basis of adverse report of the Village Education Committee.

5. MODE OF APPLICATION

5.1 Applications will be invited from eligible candidates (registered with employment exchanges / State employment exchanges as the case may be) through advertisement in local newspapers by the respective Collector-Cum-CEO, Zilla Parishad.

A candidate may make an application for any Education District unit of the State irrespective of his / her home Education District subject to condition that he / she shall apply in respect of one Education District unit only.

Application will be submitted in following ways before the respective CEO, Zilla Parishad i.e..

(a) Registered post (b) By person

The candidate shall have to furnish an affidavit with his / her application to the effect that he / she has not made application for engagement of Sikhya Sahayak in any Education District unit other than the Education District unit applied for. If, on verification it is found that the candidate has applied in more than one Education District unit for engagement as Sikhya Sahayak, his / her application shall be rejected without assigning any reason thereof.

6. ELIGIBILITY

6.1 The candidates must have passed +2 Science, Arts/Commerce (or its equivalent examination declared by appropriate authority) and C.T. Training from a recognized Board /University or +2 Science, Arts/ Commerce (or its equivalent examination declared by appropriate authority) and 2 year Diploma in Education (Special Education) a course recognized by Rehabilitation Council of India (RCI) or B.A.,B.Sc. (or its equivalent examination declared by appropriate authority) and B.Ed. from a recognized University or B.A.,B.Sc. and one year B.Ed. (Special Education) a course recognized by Rehabilitation Council of India (RCI). The +2 candidates must have odia as a subject up to class-VII and B.Ed. candidates must have Odia as a subject up to class -X .

6.2 B.Ed. candidates must have registered their names either in the special employment exchange located at Vani Vihar (Bhubaneswar), Jyoti Vihar

(Sambalpur), Bhanja Vihar (Berhampur) or in the State Employment Exchange at Bhubaneswar. +2 C.T. and untrained candidates must have registered their name in any employment exchange.

7. **AGE:**

Candidates shall not be below 18 years of age and above 42 years of age. In case of SC/ST, Women, Ex-Servicemen & SEBC candidates the upper age limit may be relaxed by five years and incase of PH candidates the upper age limit may be relaxed by 10 years.

8. **RESERVATION**

The Orissa Reservation Vacancies (in posts and services for ST & SC) Act, 1975 and rules made their under and such other principles of reservation as prescribed by the State Government, from time to time, shall be followed. Up to 33 1/3% posts will be reserved for women candidates.

9. **ASSIGNMENT**

The Sikshya Sahayaks shall perform the following duties.

(i) They must maintain regularity and punctuality in attending school.

(ii) They must ensure completion of entire curriculum within the specified time.

(iii) Assess the learning ability of each child and accordingly supplement additional instructions if any as required.

(iv) They must ensure minimum level of learning (MLL) for the students as prescribed by the Competent Authority (School & Mass Education Department).

(v) They must ensure at least 90% attendance of the children in respective schools in all classes.

(vi) They shall reduce the dropout of the children in school below 10%.

(vii) She/He shall motivate the parents / guardians of the village in which Primary School is situated for enrolment of children within the age group of 6 to 14 years. It shall be his/her duty to contact parents / guardians in case children fail to attend classes regularly and get back such children to the classes.

(viii) They will hold regular meeting with parent and guardians and apprise them about the regularity in attendance, ability to learn. process made in learning and any relevant information about the child.

(ix) They shall perform all such other duties as assigned to them by the competent authority as and when required.

9.1 The Sikshya Sahayaks (trained and un-trained) will get consolidated monthly remuneration as decided by the Govt. from time to time.

The untrained sikshya Sahayaks will start getting the remuneration of trained Sikshya Sahayaks on acquiring training qualification.

9.2 The Sikshya Sahayaks (SS) would attend training programme as may be fixed by Government, from time to time.

9.3 The engagement would be on the basis of annual contract. In case Zilla Parishad decides not to renew the contract, appeal shall lie to the Government in School and Mass Education Department within three months of non renewal of contract.

9.4 The Sikshya Sahayak shall be eligible for casual leave of 12 days during one Calendar year. She/He shall not be entitled to any other authorised absence beyond the above-mentioned period. If he/she remains absent with

permission and if she/he does not have any authorised leave at her/his credit, the proportionate amount from consolidated remuneration shall be deducted.

9.5 Any Lady Sikshya Sahayak who is having less than two surviving children is entitled to avail leave on account of maternity for three months with usual remuneration.

9.6 The agreement as prescribed by the Government, between the Collector-cum-C.E.O., Zilla Parishad and Sikshya Sahayak (SS) is to be executed on stamped paper.

9.7 Funds as required for remuneration of Sikshya Sahayak (SS) for each district will be placed with the respective Zilla Parishads who shall pay to the Sikshya Sahayak (SS).

10. Decision taken in the matter of engagement of Sikshya Sahayak (SS) will also be applied mutatis-mutandis to the institutions looked after by the ST & SC Development Department.

This Resolution will take effect from the date of issue.

By order of the Governor

Commissioner-cum-Secretary to Govt.

Memo No. 588 ***/SME, Date*** 10-1-11 10.1.2011

Copy forwarded to the Gazette Cell in-charge, Orissa Gazette Cell. C/o Commerce Department, Orissa Secretariat, Bhubaneswar with a request to publish the above Resolution in an extra ordinary issue of Orissa Gazette and supply 500 (five hundred) copies to this Department.

10/1/2011

Addl. Secretary to Govt.

Memo No. 589 ***/SME, Date*** 10-1-11

Copy forwarded to Finance Department / P&C Department /Panchayati Raj Department / ST & SC Development for information and necessary action.

10/1/2011

Addl. Secretary to Govt.

Memo No. 590 ***/SME, Date*** 10-1-11

Copy forwarded to Director, Elementary Education / SPD, OPEPA / Addl. Director, Planning (OPEPA) /Director, TE & SCERT, Orissa for information and necessary action.

10/1/2011

Addl. Secretary to Govt.

Memo No. 591 ***/SME, Date*** 10-1-11

Copy forwarded to all Collectors-cum-Chief Executive Officers, Zilla Parishad / All DPC /All DI of Schools for information and necessary action.

10/1/2011

Addl. Secretary to Govt.

Memo No. 592 ***/SME, Date*** 10-1-11

Copy forwarded to Section – I / V / VI / XIII/five copies to XIV (Legal Cell-I & II)/ Computer Cell/ Sr. Standing Counsel, S&ME Cell, Cuttack & Bhubaneswar/ 50 copies to guard file of Section-II for information and necessary action.

10/1/2011

Addl. Secretary to Govt.

-63-

ସର୍ବ ଶିକ୍ଷା ଅଭିଯାନ

ସର୍ବଜନ ପାଇଁ ସର୍ବାଙ୍ଗୀଣ ଶିକ୍ଷା

ଜିଲ୍ଲାପ୍ରକଳ୍ପ କାର୍ଯ୍ୟାଳୟ, ସର୍ବଶିକ୍ଷା ଅଭିଯାନ, ଉତ୍ତର

ବିଜ୍ଞାପନ ନଂ. ୯୮୫୧ ତାରିଖ: ୦୮.୧୨.୨୦୧୧

ଶିକ୍ଷା ସହାୟକ/ସହାୟିକା ନିଯୋଜନ ବିଜ୍ଞାପନ

ଉତ୍ତର ରାଜସ୍ୱ ଜିଲ୍ଲାର ଶିକ୍ଷା ଜିଲ୍ଲାଗୁଡ଼ିକର ପ୍ରାଥମିକ ଓ ଉଚ୍ଚପ୍ରାଥମିକ ବିଦ୍ୟାଳୟମାନଙ୍କରେ ଆବଶ୍ୟକ ଶିକ୍ଷା ସହାୟକ ନିଯୋଜନ ପାଇଁ ଉତ୍ତର ଜିଲ୍ଲା ପରିଷଦ ତରଫରୁ ତରାଖାସ୍ତ ଆହ୍ୱାନ କରାଯାଉଛି । ଇଚ୍ଛୁକ ଯୋଗ୍ୟ ପ୍ରାର୍ଥୀ/ପ୍ରାର୍ଥୀକାମାନେ ଯେ କୌଣସି ଗୋଟିଏ ଶିକ୍ଷାଜିଲ୍ଲା ପାଇଁ ଆବେଦନ କରିପାରିବେ । ଏଥିପାଇଁ ଆବେଦନ ପତ୍ର ସହିତ ପ୍ରାର୍ଥୀ/ପ୍ରାର୍ଥିକା କେବଳ ଗୋଟିଏ ଶିକ୍ଷା ଜିଲ୍ଲାପାଇଁ ଆବେଦନ କରିଛନ୍ତି ବୋଲି ଏକ ସତ୍ୟପାଠ (Affidavit) ଦାଖଲ କରିବେ । ଯଦି ସମୟରେ ଯଦି ପ୍ରାର୍ଥୀ/ପ୍ରାର୍ଥିକାମାନେ ଆବେଦନ କରିଥିବା ଶିକ୍ଷା ଜିଲ୍ଲା ବ୍ୟତିତ ଅନ୍ୟ ଶିକ୍ଷା ଜିଲ୍ଲାରେ ଆବେଦନ କରିଥିବା ପ୍ରମାଣିତ ହୁଏ ତେବେ ପ୍ରାର୍ଥୀଙ୍କର ଆବେଦନ ପତ୍ର ବିଚାରକୁ ନିଆ ନ ଯାଇ ନାକଚ କରିଦିଆଯିବ । ସାର୍ଟିଫିକେଟ୍ ଜାଲ ବୋଲି ପ୍ରମାଣିତ ହେଲେ ଆଇନ୍ ଅନୁଯାୟୀ କାର୍ଯ୍ୟାନୁଷ୍ଠାନ ଗ୍ରହଣ କରାଯିବ ଏବଂ ନିଯୁକ୍ତି ଉଦ୍ଦ କରାଯିବ । ଇଚ୍ଛୁକ ଯୋଗ୍ୟ ପ୍ରାର୍ଥୀ/ପ୍ରାର୍ଥିକାମାନେ ସାଧା ଜାଗଜରେ ନିମ୍ନ ପ୍ରଦର୍ଶ ତରଖାସ୍ତ ଫର୍ମ ଅନୁସାରେ ଆବେଦନ ପତ୍ର ଜାଗୁଜାଇ ପ୍ରକଳ୍ପ ଉ.ପ୍ରା. ବିଦ୍ୟାଳୟ, ବାଗୁରାଇ, ଜିଲ୍ଲା ଉତ୍ତରକରେ ଆସନ୍ତା ୩୦.୧୦.୦୧.୨୦୧୨ ତାରିଖ ସୁଦ୍ଧା ଡାଇରିଷ୍ଟ୍ରା ଜାଜରେ ନିମ୍ନା ବ୍ୟକ୍ତିଗତ ଭାବେ ଦାଖଲ କରିବା ଆବଶ୍ୟକ ।

ତ୍ରୁଟିପୂର୍ଣ୍ଣ ବା ବିଳମ୍ବରେ ପ୍ରାପ୍ତ ତରଖାସ୍ତ ଗୁଡିକୁ ବିଚାରକୁ ନିଆଯିବ ନାହିଁ । ଆବେଦନପତ୍ର ଜିଲ୍ଲାପରିଷଦର ମୁଖ୍ୟନିର୍ବାହୀ ଅଧିକାରୀ ତଥା ଜିଲ୍ଲାପାଳଙ୍କୁ ସଂବୋଧିତ କରିବା ଆବଶ୍ୟକ ।

(୨) ଏହି ଶିକ୍ଷା ସହାୟକ ନିଯୋଜନ ପାଇଁ ଶିକ୍ଷା ଜିଲ୍ଲାଗୁଡ଼ିକୁ ତିନିଟି ଶ୍ରେଣୀରେ (Category) ପଦବୀ ସଂଖ୍ୟା ଏବଂ ତିନିଟି ବର୍ଗ ପାଇଁ ସଂରକ୍ଷଣ ଥିବା ପଦବୀ ସଂଖ୍ୟା ନିମ୍ନ ପ୍ରକାର ଅଟେ।

ଶିକ୍ଷା ଜିଲ୍ଲାର ନାମ	ପଦବୀର ପ୍ରକାର	ମୋଟ ପଦବୀ ସଂଖ୍ୟା	ଶାରିରୀକ ବିନ୍ନକ୍ଷମଙ୍କ ପାଇଁ ଉଦ୍ଦିଷ୍ଟ ପଦବୀ
ଉତ୍ତର - ୧	ବି.ଏସ୍ସି/ବି.ଏ/ବି.କମ୍	୧୪୫	୫
	ସପ୍ତମ ଦେଖି ବିଜ୍ଞାନ /କଳା/ବାଣିଜ୍ୟ ଟି.ଟି.	୧୮୨	୬
ଉତ୍ତର - ୨	ବି.ଏସ୍ସି/ବି.ଏ/ବି.କମ୍	୧୨୩	୪
	+୨ ବିଜ୍ଞାନ/କଳା/ବାଣିଜ୍ୟ ଟି.ଟି	୧୭୫	୫

+୨ ଯୋଗ୍ୟତା ସହ ଉର୍ଦ୍ଦୁ ଭାଷାପ୍ରାପ୍ତ ଟି.ଟି. ପ୍ରାର୍ଥୀ/ ପ୍ରାର୍ଥୀକାମାନେ ଉଚ୍ଚତ୍ୱ ନିମ୍ନ ପାଇଁ ଆବେଦନ ପତ୍ର ଦାଖଲ କରିବେ । ସେମାନଙ୍କୁ ଜିଲ୍ଲାର ପ୍ରାଥମିକ ଓ ଉଚ୍ଚ ପ୍ରାଥମିକ ବିଦ୍ୟାଳୟମାନଙ୍କରେ ଆବଶ୍ୟକତା ଅନୁଯାୟୀ ନିଯୋଜନ କରାଯିବ । ଏହି ପଦବୀ ଗୁଡ଼ିକର ସଂଖ୍ୟା ଏବଂ ବିଭିନ୍ନ ବର୍ଗ ପାଇଁ ସଂରକ୍ଷଣ ଥିବା ପଦବୀ ନିମ୍ନ ପ୍ରକାର ଅଟେ।

ପଦବୀର ପ୍ରକାର	ମୋଟ ପଦବୀ ସଂଖ୍ୟା	ଶାରିରୀକ ବିନ୍ନକ୍ଷମଙ୍କ ପାଇଁ ଉଦ୍ଦିଷ୍ଟ ପଦବୀ
ଉର୍ଦ୍ଦୁ ଟି.ଟି	୩୫	୧

(ଅନ୍ୟାନ୍ୟ ବର୍ଗର ବ୍ୟକ୍ତିଙ୍କ ନିମନ୍ତେ ସରକାରଙ୍କ ଦ୍ୱାରା ସଂରକ୍ଷିତ ସଂରକ୍ଷଣ ବିଧିମାନ୍ୟତା ପଦବୀ ସଂରକ୍ଷିତ ରହିବ ।)

ମାତ୍ର ୧୫୦% ଭାଗ ଯୋଗ୍ୟ ବିବେଚିତ ପ୍ରାର୍ଥୀଙ୍କୁ ଲିଖିତପରୀକ୍ଷା ପାଇଁ ଡକାଯିବ ଯାହା ଚୂଡ଼ାନ୍ତ ଯୋଗ୍ୟତା ଏବଂ ଚୂଡ଼ାନ୍ତ ପ୍ରାର୍ଥୀ ତାଲିକା (Provisional & Final Select List) ଜିଲ୍ଲା ପରିଷଦ ଏବଂ ଜିଲ୍ଲାପାଳଙ୍କ କାର୍ଯ୍ୟାଳୟ ସୂଚନାପଟ୍ଟ ନୋଟିସ୍ ବୋର୍ଡରେ ସର୍ବସାଧାରଣ ପଦବୀ ଟିମାରେ ପ୍ରକାଶିତ ହେବ । ଶିକ୍ଷା ସହାୟକମାନେ ଜିଲ୍ଲାପରିଷଦ ଦ୍ୱାରା ନିଯୋଜିତ ହେବେ ।

(୩) ଶିକ୍ଷାଗତ ଯୋଗ୍ୟତା:- ନିମ୍ନଲିଖିତ ଯୋଗ୍ୟତାଧାରୀ ପ୍ରାର୍ଥୀ/ପ୍ରାର୍ଥୀକାମାନେ ଯେ କୌଣସି ଗୋଟିଏ ପଦବୀ ପାଇଁ ଆବଶ୍ୟକ ଯୋଗ୍ୟତା ଅନୁଯାୟୀ ଆବେଦନ କରିବେ ।

(କ) ଯୁକ୍ତ ଦୁଇ (+2) ବିଜ୍ଞାନ/କଳା/ବାଣିଜ୍ୟ ନିମ୍ନ ଉପଯୁକ୍ତ କର୍ତ୍ତୃପକ୍ଷଙ୍କ ଦ୍ୱାରା ସୋଷିତ ତତୁଲ୍ୟ ପରୀକ୍ଷାରେ ପାସ୍ ଯୋଗ୍ୟତା ସହିତ ଓଡ଼ିଆ ମାତୃଭାଷାରେ ସପ୍ତମ ଶ୍ରେଣୀ ପାସ୍ ଯୋଗ୍ୟତା ଏବଂ ଡାଇଟିପ୍ରାପ୍ତ (ଟି.ଟି.) (Recognised by NCTE) ।

(ଖ) ଯୁକ୍ତ ଦୁଇ (+2) ବିଜ୍ଞାନ/କଳା/ବାଣିଜ୍ୟ ନିମ୍ନ ଉପଯୁକ୍ତ କର୍ତ୍ତୃପକ୍ଷଙ୍କ ଦ୍ୱାରା ସୋଷିତ ତତୁଲ୍ୟ ପରୀକ୍ଷାରେ ପାସ୍ ଯୋଗ୍ୟତା ସହିତ ଓଡ଼ିଆ ମାତୃଭାଷାରେ ସପ୍ତମ ଶ୍ରେଣୀ ପାସ୍ ଯୋଗ୍ୟତା ଏବଂ Rehabilitation Council of India (RCI) ଦ୍ୱାରା ସ୍ୱୀକୃତି ପ୍ରାପ୍ତ ସୁତନ୍ତ୍ର ଶିକ୍ଷାରେ ୨ ବର୍ଷିୟ ଡିପ୍ଲୋମା ତାଲିମ (2 year diploma in Special Education)।

(ଗ) ସ୍ନାତକରେ ବିଜ୍ଞାନ/କଳା ନିମ୍ନ ଉପଯୁକ୍ତ କର୍ତ୍ତୃପକ୍ଷଙ୍କ ଦ୍ୱାରା ସୋଷିତ ତତୁଲ୍ୟ ପରୀକ୍ଷାରେ ପାସ୍ ସହିତ ମାଟ୍ରିକ ପର୍ଯ୍ୟନ୍ତ ଓଡ଼ିଆ ମାତୃଭାଷା ଯୋଗ୍ୟତାଥାଇ ବି.ଏଡ୍. ତାଲିମ ପ୍ରାପ୍ତ (Recognised by NCTE) ।

(ଘ) ସ୍ନାତକରେ ବିଜ୍ଞାନ / କଳା ନିମ୍ନ ଉପଯୁକ୍ତ କର୍ତ୍ତୃପକ୍ଷଙ୍କ ଦ୍ୱାରା ସୋଷିତ ତତୁଲ୍ୟ ପରୀକ୍ଷାରେ ପାସ୍ ସହିତ ମାଟ୍ରିକ ପର୍ଯ୍ୟନ୍ତ ଓଡ଼ିଆ ମାତୃଭାଷା ଯୋଗ୍ୟତାଥାଇ Rehabilitation Council of India (RCI) ଦ୍ୱାରା ସ୍ୱୀକୃତି ପ୍ରାପ୍ତ ସୁତନ୍ତ୍ର ଶିକ୍ଷା ପାଠ୍ୟକ୍ରମରେ ବି.ଏଡ୍. ତାଲିମ୍ (B.Ed. Special Education) ।

(ଙ) ଉର୍ଦ୍ଦୁ ଯୋଗ୍ୟତା ସହ ଉର୍ଦ୍ଦୁ C.T. ପ୍ରାର୍ଥୀମାନେ ଅତଃତ ପକ୍ଷେ ଉର୍ଦ୍ଦୁ ମାତୃଭାଷା ଥାଇ ସପ୍ତମ ଶ୍ରେଣୀ ପାସ୍ କରିଥିବା ଆବଶ୍ୟକ ।

(ଚ) ଯୁକ୍ତ ଦୁଇ (+2) ବିଜ୍ଞାନ, କଳା, ବାଣିଜ୍ୟ ନିମ୍ନ ତତୁଲ୍ୟ ପରୀକ୍ଷାରେ ପାସ୍ ସହିତ ଓଡ଼ିଆ ମାତୃଭାଷା ସପ୍ତମ ପର୍ଯ୍ୟନ୍ତ ଯୋଗ୍ୟତା ଥାଇ ଏବଂ ବିଜ୍ଞାନ ଓ କଳାରେ ନିମ୍ନ ତତୁଲ୍ୟ ପରୀକ୍ଷାରେ ସ୍ନାତକ ପାସ୍ ସହିତ (ଓଡ଼ିଆ ମାତୃଭାଷା ସପ୍ତମ ପର୍ଯ୍ୟନ୍ତ) ଯୋଗ୍ୟତାଥିବା ଅଣତାଲିମ୍ ପ୍ରାପ୍ତ [illegible] ଯେଉଁମାନେ ଏହି ବିଜ୍ଞପ୍ତି ପ୍ରକାଶ ପୂର୍ବରୁ ଶିକ୍ଷା ସମ୍ପର୍କିତ ଆବଶ୍ୟକ ଡିଗ୍ରୀ ଅର୍ଜନ କରିଛନ୍ତି ଏବଂ ଶାରିରୀକ ଅକ୍ଷମତାରେ ତାଲିମ ପ୍ରାପ୍ତ ପ୍ରାର୍ଥୀ ନ ମିଳିଲେ ଏବଂ ଅଭାବ ସମୟାବଧି ପାଇଁ ଶିକ୍ଷାଗତ ଯୋଗ୍ୟତା ସଂପର୍କିତ ନିୟାତିର ଆଦେଶ ଅନୁଯାୟୀ ସେମାନଙ୍କ ବିଷୟକୁ ବିଚାରକୁ ନେଇ ନିଯୁକ୍ତି ଦିଆଯିବ ।

(ଛ) ୨୦୧୦-୧୧ ଶିକ୍ଷା ବର୍ଷରେ C.T. ପରୀକ୍ଷା ଦେଇଥିବା ପ୍ରାର୍ଥୀମାନେ Admit କାର୍ଡର ନକଲ ସଂଯୋଗ କରି ଆବେଦନ କରିପାରିବେ । C.T. ପରୀକ୍ଷା Result ବାହାରିବାର ୧୫ ଦିନ ମଧ୍ୟରେ ସେମାନେ ପାଶ Certificate ଏବଂ Marksheet ର ନକଲ ଆବେଦନ

(ଚ) ଯୁକ୍ତ ଦୁଇ (+2) ବିଜ୍ଞାନ, କଳା, ବାଣିଜ୍ୟ କିମ୍ବା ତତ୍ତୁଲ୍ୟ ପରୀକ୍ଷାରେ ପାସ୍ ସହିତ ଓଡ଼ିଆ ମାତୃଭାଷା ସପ୍ତମ ପର୍ଯ୍ୟନ୍ତ ଯୋଗ୍ୟତା ଥାଇ ଏବଂ ବିଜ୍ଞାନ ୧ କଳାରେ କିମ୍ବା ତତ୍ତୁଲ୍ୟ ପରୀକ୍ଷାରେ ସାଧାରଣ ପାସ ସହିତ (ଓଡ଼ିଆ ମାତୃଭାଷା ଦଶମ ପର୍ଯ୍ୟାୟ) ଯୋଗ୍ୟତା ଥିବା ଅଣତାଲିମ ପ୍ରାପ୍ତ [illegible] ଜାତି, ଜନଜାତି ଓ [illegible] ଯଦି ସଂପୃକ୍ତ ଶିକ୍ଷା ୟୁନିଟରେ ଆବଶ୍ୟକ ସଂଖ୍ୟା ତଫସିଲଭୁକ୍ତ ଜାତି, ଜନଜାତି ଏବଂ ଶାରିରୀକ ଅକ୍ଷମରେ ତାଲିମ ପ୍ରାପ୍ତ ପ୍ରାର୍ଥୀ ନ ମିଳନ୍ତି ଏବଂ ଭାରତ ସରକାରଙ୍କ ଠାରୁ ଶିକ୍ଷାଗତ ଯୋଗ୍ୟତା ସଂପର୍କିତ ନିର୍ଦ୍ଧାରିତ ଆଦେଶ ଅନୁଯାୟୀ ସେମାନଙ୍କ ବିଷୟକୁ ବିଚାରକୁ ନେଇ ନିଯୁକ୍ତି ଦିଆଯିବ।

(ଛ) ୨୦୧୦-୧୧ ଶିକ୍ଷା ବର୍ଷରେ C.T. ପରୀକ୍ଷା ଦେଉଥିବା ପ୍ରାର୍ଥୀମାନେ Admit କାର୍ଡର ନକଲ ସଂଯୋଗ କରି ଆବେଦନ କରିପାରିବେ। C.T ପରୀକ୍ଷା Result ବାହାରିବାର ୧୫ ଦିନ ମଧ୍ୟରେ ସେମାନେ ପାଶ Certificate ଏବଂ Marksheet ର ନକଲ ଆବେଦନ କରିଥିବା ଜିଲ୍ଲାରେ ଦାଖଲ କରିବା ପରେ କେବଳ ସେମାନଙ୍କର ଦରଖାସ୍ତ ବିଚାରକୁ ନିଆଯିବ।

ସମସ୍ତ ଅଣ ତାଲିମପ୍ରାପ୍ତ ପ୍ରାର୍ଥୀମାନେ ନିଯୋଜନ ହେବାର ପାଞ୍ଚ ବର୍ଷ ମଧ୍ୟରେ ନିଜ ଖର୍ଚ୍ଚରେ ତାଲିମପ୍ରାପ୍ତ ହେବେ ବୋଲି ଏକ (Undertaking) ଦେବେ।

୪. ବୟସସୀମା । ପ୍ରାର୍ଥୀମାନଙ୍କର ବୟସ ତା.୧.୧୧.୨୦୧୧ରିଖ ସୁଦ୍ଧା ୪୨ ବର୍ଷରୁ ଅଧିକ ହୋଇ ନଥିବ କିମ୍ବା ୧୮ ବର୍ଷରୁ କମ୍ ହୋଇ ନଥିବା ଆବଶ୍ୟକ। ତଫସିଲ ଭୁକ୍ତ ଜାତି ଓ ଜନଜାତି, ସାମରିକ କର୍ମଚାରୀ, ମହିଳା ଏବଂ SEBC ପ୍ରାର୍ଥୀମାନଙ୍କ କ୍ଷେତ୍ରରେ ସର୍ବୋଚ୍ଚ ବୟସ ସୀମା ୫ ବର୍ଷ କୋହଳ କରାଯିବ। ଶାରିରୀକ ଭିନ୍ନ କ୍ଷମ ପ୍ରାର୍ଥୀମାନଙ୍କ କ୍ଷେତ୍ରରେ ସର୍ବୋଚ୍ଚ ବୟସ ସୀମା ୧୦ ବର୍ଷ କୋହଳ କରାଯିବ।

୫. ନିଯୋଜନ । ଶିକ୍ଷା ସହାୟକମାନେ ବାର୍ଷିକ ଚୁକ୍ତି ଅନୁଯାୟୀ ପ୍ରାଥମିକ/ଉଚ୍ଚ ପ୍ରାଥମିକ ତଥା ଉଚ୍ଚ ପ୍ରାଥମିକ ବିଦ୍ୟାଳୟର ଉନ୍ନତି ଶ୍ରେଣୀମାନଙ୍କରେ ଆବଶ୍ୟକ ଅନୁଯାୟୀ ନିଯୋଜିତ (ଏନଗେଜମେଣ୍ଟ) ହେବେ।

୫. ୧ ନିଯୋଜନ ପତ୍ର ପ୍ରଦାନ ପୂର୍ବରୁ ସଂପୃକ୍ତ ଜିଲ୍ଲା ପରିଷଦ ତରଫରୁ ମୁଖ୍ୟ ନିର୍ବାହୀ ଅଧିକାରୀ, ଜିଲ୍ଲା ପରିଷଦ ତଥା ଜିଲ୍ଲାପାଳ ଓ ଶିକ୍ଷା ସହାୟକଙ୍କ ମଧ୍ୟରେ ଷ୍ଟାମ୍ପ କାଗଜ ମାଧ୍ୟମରେ ନିର୍ଦ୍ଧାରିତ ଫର୍ମରେ ଏକ ଚୁକ୍ତିନାମା ସ୍ୱାକ୍ଷରିତ କରାଯିବ।

୫.୨ ଶିକ୍ଷା ସହାୟକ/ସହାୟକାମାନଙ୍କୁ ସେମାନେ ଆବେଦନ କରିଥିବା ଶିକ୍ଷା ଜିଲ୍ଲା ମଧ୍ୟରେ ନିଯୋଜନ କରାଯିବ।

୬. ସଂରକ୍ଷଣ ବ୍ୟବସ୍ଥା । ଓ.ଆର୍.ଭି ଆକ୍ଟ ସଂଗେ ସଂଗେ ରାଜ୍ୟ ସରକାରଙ୍କର ପ୍ରଚଳିତ ସଂରକ୍ଷଣ ନୀତି ଏବଂ ଅନ୍ୟ ପ୍ରାର୍ଥୀ ଯଥା- ପୂର୍ବତନ ସାମରିକ କର୍ମଚାରୀ (Ex-Serviceman), ଭିନ୍ନକ୍ଷମ (Handicapped) ଙ୍କ ପାଇଁ ସାଧାରଣ ପ୍ରଶାସନ ବିଭାଗ ବିଜ୍ଞପ୍ତି ନଂ-୨୫୩୮୪ ତା.୨୦.୦୯.୨୦୦୫ ଅନୁଯାୟୀ ସଂରକ୍ଷଣ ବ୍ୟବସ୍ଥା ଲାଗୁ ହେବ ଏବଂ କ୍ରୀଡା କ୍ଷେତ୍ରରେ ସ୍ୱାତନ୍ତ୍ର୍ୟ ଅର୍ଜନ କରିଥିବା ବ୍ୟକ୍ତି (Eminent Sports Personel) ଙ୍କ ନିମନ୍ତେ ସରକାରଙ୍କ ଦ୍ୱାରା ସଂଜ୍ଞିତ ସଂରକ୍ଷଣ ନିୟମ ଲାଗୁ କରାଯିବ। ମହିଳା ପ୍ରାର୍ଥୀମାନଙ୍କ ପାଇଁ ସମସ୍ତ ବର୍ଗର ୩୩, ୧/୩ ପ୍ରତିଶତ ସଂରକ୍ଷଣ ଲାଗୁ ହେବ।

୭. ତାଲିମ୍ ପ୍ରାପ୍ତ ଶିକ୍ଷା ସହାୟକମାନଙ୍କୁ ମାସିକ ଟ.୪୦୦୦/- ପାରିଶ୍ରମିକ ଆକାରରେ ଦିଆଯିବ।

୮. ଅଣ ତାଲିମ ପ୍ରାପ୍ତ ଶିକ୍ଷା ସହାୟକମାନଙ୍କୁ ମାସିକ ଟ.୩୫୦୦/- ପାରିଶ୍ରମିକ ଆକାରରେ ଦିଆଯିବ।

୯. ନିମ୍ନ ପ୍ରଦତ୍ତ ଦରଖାସ୍ତ ଫର୍ମ ଅନୁସାରେ ଆବେଦନ କରାଯାଇ ପାରିବ। ଦରଖାସ୍ତ ସହ ମାଟ୍ରିକ ଠାରୁ ଆବେଦନ କରିଥିବା ପଦବୀ ପାଇଁ ଶିକ୍ଷାଗତ ଯୋଗ୍ୟତାର ପ୍ରମାଣପତ୍ର ଏବଂ ମାର୍କ ତାଲିକାର ଅବିକଳ ନକଲ (ଆଟେଷ୍ଟେଡ୍ କପି) କର୍ମନିଯୋଜନ ସଂସ୍ଥା ପଞ୍ଜିକରଣ ପ୍ରମାଣପତ୍ର, ଜାତିଗତ ଏବଂ ଆବାସିକ ପ୍ରମାଣପତ୍ର, ଭିନ୍ନକ୍ଷମ ହୋଇଥିଲେ ତାର ଅବିକଳ ପ୍ରମାଣପତ୍ର, ପ୍ରାର୍ଥୀଙ୍କର ୨ କିତା ବର୍ତ୍ତମାନର ସହିମୋହର ପାସପୋର୍ଟ ଆକାର ଫଟୋ ଏବଂ କେବଳ ଗୋଟିଏ ଶିକ୍ଷାଜିଲ୍ଲାରେ ଆବେଦନ କରିଛନ୍ତି ବୋଲି ସତ୍ୟପାଠ (Affidavit) ଇତ୍ୟାଦିର ସଂଯୋଗ ନଥିଲେ ଆବେଦନ ପତ୍ରଟିକୁ ଖାରଜ କରିଦିଆଯିବ।

ଶିକ୍ଷା ସହାୟକ ପଦପାଇଁ ଆବେଦନ ପତ୍ର

୧. ଯେଉଁ ଶିକ୍ଷା ଜିଲ୍ଲାରେ ନିଯୁକ୍ତି ଚାହୁଁଛନ୍ତି ତାର ନାମ। ୨. ଯେଉଁ [illegible] ପ୍ରାର୍ଥୀ ଚାହୁଁଛନ୍ତି ତାର ନାମ। ୩. ପ୍ରାର୍ଥୀଙ୍କର ସଂପୂର୍ଣ୍ଣ ନାମ। ୪. ପିତା କିମ୍ବା ସ୍ୱାମୀଙ୍କର ନାମ। ୫. ବର୍ତ୍ତମାନର ଠିକଣା। ୬. ସ୍ଥାୟୀ ଠିକଣା। ୭. ଜନ୍ମତାରିଖ (ପ୍ରମାଣପତ୍ରର ଅବିକଳ ନକଲ ସଂଯୁକ୍ତ କରିବେ)। ୮. ପ୍ରାର୍ଥୀ/ପ୍ରାର୍ଥିନୀ କେଉଁ ବର୍ଗର ଅଟନ୍ତି। ୯. ଶିକ୍ଷାଗତ ଯୋଗ୍ୟତା, ବୋର୍ଡ଼ ଓ [illegible] ପରୀକ୍ଷାମାନଙ୍କର ନାମ, ଶିକ୍ଷାନୁଷ୍ଠାନର ନାମ, ବୋର୍ଡ କିମ୍ବା ବିଶ୍ୱବିଦ୍ୟାଳୟର ନାମ, ପାସ କରିଥିବା ବର୍ଷ, ମୋଟ ନମ୍ବର, ପ୍ରାପ୍ତ ନମ୍ବର, % ନମ୍ବର, (ଯୁକ୍ତ ଦୁଇ/ସ୍ନାତକ/ପ୍ରଶିକ୍ଷଣ (CT/BEd/ Spl. B.Ed) ପରୀକ୍ଷାର ପ୍ରମାଣପତ୍ର ଓ ମାର୍କସିଟର ଅବିକଳ ନକଲ (Spl. Education ରେ Diploma ଏବଂ Spl. B.Ed. ପ୍ରାର୍ଥୀମାନେ RCI Registrationର ପ୍ରମାଣପତ୍ରର ଅବିକଳ ନକଲ) ସଂଯୋଗ କରିବେ। ୧୦. ୨୦୧୦-୧୧ ଶିକ୍ଷାବର୍ଷରେ ସିଟି ପରୀକ୍ଷା ଦେଉଥିବା ପ୍ରାର୍ଥୀମାନେ Admit କାର୍ଡର ଅବିକଳ ନକଲ ସଂଯୋଗ କରିବେ। ୧୧. କର୍ମନିଯୋଜନ କେନ୍ଦ୍ରର ନାମ ଏବଂ ରେଜିଷ୍ଟ୍ରେସନ ସଂଖ୍ୟା ଓ ତାରିଖ (ପ୍ରମାଣପତ୍ରର ଅବିକଳ ନକଲ ସଂଯୋଗ କରିବେ)। ୧୨. ଜାତିଗତ ପ୍ରମାଣପତ୍ର (ଅବିକଳ ନକଲ ସଂଯୋଗ କରିବେ)। ୧୩. ଅକର୍ମଣ୍ୟ ପ୍ରମାଣପତ୍ର (ଅବିକଳ ନକଲ ସଂଯୋଗ କରିବେ)। ୧୪. ଆବାସିକ ପ୍ରମାଣପତ୍ର (ଅବିକଳ ନକଲ ସଂଯୋଗ କରିବେ)। ୧୫. ଗୋଟିଏ ଶିକ୍ଷାଜିଲ୍ଲାରେ ଆବେଦନ କରିଥିବାର ସତ୍ୟପାଠ (Affidavit in Original) ସଂଯୋଗ କରିବେ। ୧୬. ଅଣତାଲିମ ପ୍ରାପ୍ତ ପ୍ରାର୍ଥୀ ନିଜ ଖର୍ଚ୍ଚରେ ୫ ବର୍ଷ ମଧ୍ୟରେ ତାଲିମ ପ୍ରାପ୍ତ ହେବେ ବୋଲି Under Taking ସଂଯୋଗ କରିବେ। ୧୭. ଦୁଇକିତା ବର୍ତ୍ତମାନର ସହି ମୋହର ପାସପୋର୍ଟ ଆକାର ଫଟୋ ଦାଖଲ କରିବେ :

ତାରିଖ :

ସ୍ଥାନ : ଆବେଦନକାରୀଙ୍କର ସ୍ୱାକ୍ଷର

ପ୍ରାପ୍ତି ସ୍ୱୀକାର

ପ୍ରାର୍ଥୀଙ୍କ ନାମ :

କ୍ରମିକ ସଂଖ୍ୟା :

ଠିକଣା :

ନିମ୍ନୋକ୍ତ ସଂଲଗ୍ନମାନ (Enclosures) ହସ୍ତଗତ ହୋଇଛି।

(କ) HSC ସାର୍ଟିଫିକେଟ, ଯୁକ୍ତ ଦୁଇ ସାର୍ଟିଫିକେଟ, ତାଲିମ ସି.ଟି. ସାର୍ଟିଫିକେଟ, ସ୍ନାତକ,ବି.ଇଡି ,ସ୍ପେସାଲ ବି.ଇଡି.) ସାର୍ଟିଫିକେଟ, (ଖ) ଯୁକ୍ତ ଦୁଇ ମାର୍କସିଟ , ତାଲିମ୍ (ସିଟି) ମାର୍କସିଟ ଓ ସ୍ନାତକ, ବି.ଇଡ, ସ୍ପେସାଲ ବି.ଇଡି)ମାର୍କସିଟ/ RCI Registration ର ପ୍ରମାଣପତ୍ର /୨୦୧୦-୧୧ CT ପରୀକ୍ଷାର Admit Card ନକଲ (ଗ) ଜାତିଗତ ପ୍ରମାଣପତ୍ର (Caste Certificate) (ଘ) ଆବାସିକ ପ୍ରମାଣପତ୍ର (Residential Certificate) (ଙ) ଗୋଟିଏ ଶିକ୍ଷା ଜିଲ୍ଲାରେ ଆବେଦନ କରିଥିବାର ସତ୍ୟପାଠ (Affidavit in Original) (ଚ) କର୍ମନିଯୋଜନ କେନ୍ଦ୍ରର ରେଜିଷ୍ଟ୍ରେସନ ପ୍ରମାଣପତ୍ର (ଛ) ଅଣତାଲିମପ୍ରାପ୍ତ ପ୍ରାର୍ଥୀ ନିଜ ଖର୍ଚ୍ଚରେ ୫ ବର୍ଷ ମଧ୍ୟରେ ତାଲିମ୍ ପ୍ରାପ୍ତ ହେବେ ବୋଲି Under Taking (ଜ) ଅକର୍ମଣ୍ୟ ପ୍ରମାଣପତ୍ରର ଅବିକଳ ନକଲ : (ଝ) ଦୁଇକିତା ବର୍ତ୍ତମାନର ସହିମୋହର ପାସପୋର୍ଟଆକାର ଫଟୋ :

ପ୍ରାପ୍ତି ସ୍ୱୀକାରକାରୀଙ୍କ ସ୍ୱାକ୍ଷର
ନାମ :
ପଦବୀ :

ଜିଲ୍ଲାପାଳଙ୍କ ଆଦେଶ କ୍ରମେ

ସ୍ୱା/- ଜିଲ୍ଲା ପ୍ରକଳ୍ପ ସଂଯୋଜକ ସର୍ବଶିକ୍ଷା ଅଭିଯାନ, କଟକ

-65- 3T-

Annexure-2

DI-II +2 ARTS CT

CATEGORY- SEBC(W)

PROVISIONAL MERIT LIST OF SHIKSHYA SAHAYAK (150% OF VACANT POST)UNDER BHADRAK DISTIRCT-2011

Sl No.	Index No.	Name of the Applicant	Father/Husband's Name	Permanent Address	Date of Birth	Sex	Category	Caste certi. No. Date	Resident Certi. No.	Employment Exchange Regn. No.	+2 Full Mark	+2 Mark Obtained	Percentage	Full Mark CT	Mark Obtained CT	CT Percentage	Total	PH/Ex.Ser./Sports
1	117	KOUSALYA PALEI	F-LATE BHASKAR PALEI	AT/PO-PADHUAN,VIA-ANANTAPUR,DIST-BDK	04.06.86	F	OBC	55/11	305/11	T/3412/09	900	487	54.11	1250	848	67.84	121.95	
2	94	CHANDRIKA BARIK	H-RAMAPRASAD BARIK	AT/PO-KUBERA,DIST-BDK	21.10.83	F	OBC	51/11	281/11	TW/2212/08	900	460	51.11	1250	876	70.08	121.19	
3	53	JAYASHREE ROUT	H-SUSANTA ROUT	AT-ARTUMP,PO-BARAPUR,VIA-BASUDEVPUR,756046	18.03.84	F	SEBC	87/04	6325/10	WT/3009/08	900	456	50.67	1250	859	68.72	119.39	
4	132	JAYANTILATA SAHOO	H-ABHAYA KU. BEHERA	AT/PO-ALABAGA,VIA-ERTAL,DIST-BDK	02.06.82	F	SEBC	81/2011	750/11	TW/1435/09	900	430	47.78	1250	845	67.60	115.38	SPORTS
5	238	JYOTIRMAYEE NAYAK	H-SUKANTA KISHROE NAYAK	AT-CHINOL,PO-GUAGADIA,VIA-ERTAL,DIST-BDK	16.05.78	F	SEBC	57/04	682/11	CW/4665/23	900	436	48.44	1250	831	66.48	114.92	

-66- 32 -

Annexure-3

DI-II 12 ARTS CT

CATEGORY: UR

FINAL MERIT LIST OF S.Ss(100% OF VACANT POST)UNDER BHADRAK DISTRICT-2011
(IN CONSONANCE WITH THE ORDER OF THE HON'BLE HIGH COURT IN W.P. (C) NO. 6452/11)

Sl No.	Index No.	Name of the Applicant	Father/Husband's Name	Permanent Address	Date of Birth	Sex	Category	Caste certi. No. .Date	Resident Certi. No.	Employment Exchange Regn. No.	+2 Full Mark	+2 Mark Obtained	Percentage	Full Mark CT	Mark Obtained CT	CT Percentage	Total	PH/Ex.Ser/Sports	Remarks
1	166	PRINKI E OJHA	F-MAHENDRA NATH OJHA	AT/PO-SUNDARPUR,VIA KUPARI,DIST-BLS	01.06.84	F	SEBC	50/11	158/11	TW/507/11	1000	757	75.70	1250	997	79.76	155.46		UPASHASTRI (UNDER ENQUIRY)
2	80	BATA KRISHNA BAL	F-ISWAR CH BAL	AT-KANATIA,PO-MANGALPUR,VIA-TIHIDI,756130	01.04.81	M	SEBC	630/02	343/11	T/161/11	600	465	77.50	1250	919	73.52	151.02		
3	178	NIRANJAN NAYAK	F-BAIKUNTHA NAYAK	AT/PO-CHUDAMANI,PS-BASUDEVPUR,DIST-BDK	12.01.81	M	SEBC	6649/10	334/11	T/7340/09	1000	787	78.70	1250	869	69.52	148.22		VOCATIONAL (UNDER ENQUIRY)
4	45	JYOTISHREE JYOTIRMAYEE BARIK	F-BANAMALI BARIK	AT/PO-BHAIRABPUR,VIA BASUDEVPUR,756125	14.01.92	F	OBC	18/11	112/11	WT/593/11	600	437	72.83	1250	942	75.36	148.19		

-66-

67 - 55

+2 ARTS CT

CATEGORY. - UR

FINAL MERIT LIST OF S.Ss(100% OF VACANT POST)UNDER BHADRAK DISTRICT-2011
(IN CONSONANCE WITH THE ORDER OF THE HON'BLE HIGH COURT IN W.P. (C) NO. 6452/11)

Sl No.	Index No.	Name of the Applicant	Father/Husband's Name	Permanent Address	Date of Birth	Sex	Category	Caste certi. No. Date	Resident Certi. No.	Employment Exchange Regn. No.	+2 Full Mark	+2 Mark Obtained	Percentage	Full Mark CT	Mark Obtained CT	CT Percentage	Total	PH/Ex.Ser./Sports	Remarks
13	41	ROJI PRADHAN	F-RATIKANTA PRADHAN	AT-SUDARSANPUR, PO-SUAN,VIA-ERAM,DIST-BDK	06.01.91	F	SEBC	39/11	91/11	WT/584/11	600	422	70.33	1260	940	75.20	145.53		
14	276	MANASI MOHANTY	H-ASHOK KUMAR ROUT	AT/PO-ERAM,DIST-BDK,756162	21.07.77	F	SEBC	138/04	665/11	WT/2774/09	1000	744	74.40	1250	882	70.56	144.96		VOCATIONAL (UNDER ENQUIRY)
15	287	SANJU RANA	F-LAMBODHAR RANA	AT/PO-BINAYAKPUR,PS-BASUDEVPUR,DIST-BDK	24.04.86	F	OBC	103/11	/11	TW/10194/10	1000	685	68.50	1250	950	76.00	144.50		VOCATIONAL (UNDER ENQUIRY)
16	44	STALINA BHOI	F-KALANDI BHOI	AT-RADHANATHPUR,PO-MANDARI,VIA-BASUDEVPUR,756125	13.06.91	F	SEBC	8/11	109/11	WT/204/11	600	446	74.33	1250	873	69.84	144.17		

UI-II +2 ARTS CT

-68-

FINAL MERIT LIST OF S.Ss(100% OF VACANT POST)UNDER BHADRAK DISTRICT-2011 -21-
(IN CONSONANCE WITH THE ORDER OF THE HON'BLE HIGH COURT IN W.P(C) NO. 6452/11)

Sl No	Index No.	Name of the Applicant	Father/Husband's Name	Permanent Address	Date of Birth	Sex	Category	Caste certi. No. Date	Resident Certi. No.	Employment Exchange Regn. No.	+2 Full Mark	+2 Mark Obtained	Percentage	Full Mark CT	Mark Obtained CT	CT Percentage	Total	PH/Ex.Ser./Sports	Remarks
11	120	LIJA SMITA NAYAK	F-KARTIK CH. NAYAK	AT-BACHHADA,PO-JAGANNTHPUR BACHHADA,VIA-ANANTAPUR,PS-BASUDEVPUR,DIST-BDK 756046	29.02.88	F	SEBC	727/09	302/11	TW/453/09	1000	697	69.70	1250	847	[illegible]	137.46	PH(OT) 65%	VOCATIONAL (UNDER ENQUIRY)
12	170	RUPASHREE BHOI	F-BENUDHAR BHOI	AT-BACHHADA,PO-JAGANNTHPUR BACHHADA,VIA-ANANTAPUR,PS-BASUDEVPUR,DIST-BDK 756046	07.05.91	F	SEBC	102/11	688/11	TW/978/11	600	383	63.83	1250	919	73.52	137.35		
13	315	SIMANJALI BARIK	F-RABINDRA KU. BARIK	AT/PO-SINDOL,PO-TIHIDI,DIST-BDK	07.03.89	F	OBC	48/11	284/11	WT/8669/10	900	598	66.44	1250	[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]		
14	5	UPALI APARAJITA PARIDA	F-GIRIDHARI PARIDA	AT-BALIMEDA DAYANIDHIPUR, PO-BALIMEDA,756131	25.03.86	F	OBC	14/11	94/11	WT/264/11	1000	657	65.70	1250	894	71.52	137.22		
15	47	SMITARANI DASH	H-CHANDRA SEKHAR PANDA	AT/PO-ADHUAN,VIA-BASUDEVPUR,756125	06.07.82	F	GEN		83/11	WT/1323/09	1000	715	71.50	1250	820	65.60	137.10		VOCATIONAL (UNDER ENQUIRY)

-69-

-35- -69- Annexure-4 Series

UNDERTAKING TO BE SUBMITTED BY PROVISIONALLY SELECTED S.S. APPLICANTS OF BHADRAK DISTRICT CLAIM TO HAVE PASSED +2 VOCATIONAL COURSES OR **UPASHASTRI.**

I Sri/Smt/Kumari Lija Smita Nayak S/O.W/O. D/O Kartik Ch. Nayak of village at Bachhada P.O. Jagannathpur P.S. Basudevpur Dist. Bhadrak residing at present at village Bachhada. P.O. Jagannathpur P.S. Basudevpur Dist. Bhadrak being present in the verification counter today (11.03.11) do hereby solemnly affirm and state that, I have not passed +2 Examination or equivalent Examination from CHSE,Orissa or from equivalent Council/Board/University before appearing at the Vocational Course/Upashastri examination for which I have submitted my pass certificate and mark sheet.

If any thing contrary to the above is established, I shall be criminally prosecuted under the provisions of law.

Knowing well the prevalent rules, I do hereby undertake that, I have passed Vocational Examination from CHSE, Orissa or any other equivalent Council/Board/University *OR* Upashastri Examination from Sri Jagannath Sanskrit University, Srivihar, Puri or equivalent University/Council/Board. The copy of pass certificate has been submitted by me.

Witness

1. Anil Ku. Nayak H.M. Bapujee Nodal U.P.S

2. Abhimanyu Pradhan H.M. Bantara Nodal U.P. School.

Lizasmita Nayak

Full signature of the applicant

Issued under RTI-Act-05
PIO, SSA, Bhadrak

-26-

-70-

UNDERTAKING TO BE SUBMITTED BY PROVISION SELECTED S.S. APPLICANTS OF BHADRAK DISTRIC TO HAVE PASSED +2 VOCATIONAL COURSES OR UPASHASTRI.

I Sri/Smt/Kumari Sanju Rana S/O,W/O, D/O Lambodhar Rana of village at Binayakpur P.O. Binayakpur P.S. Baladevpur Dist. Bhadrak residing at present at village Binayakpur P.O. Binayakpur P.S. Baladevpur Dist. Bhadrak being present In the verification counter today (11.03.11) do hereby solemnly affirm and state that, I have not passed +2 Examination or equivalent Examination from CHSE Orissa or from equivalent Council/Board/University before appearing at the Vocational Course/Upashastri examination for which I have submitted my pass certificate and mark sheet.

If any thing contrary to the above is established, I shall be criminally prosecuted under the provisions of law.

Knowing well the prevalent rules, I do hereby undertake that, I have passed Vocational Examination from CHSE, Orissa or any other equivalent Council/Board/University ***OR*** Upashastri Examination from Sri Jagannath Sanskrit University, Srivihar, Puri or equivalent University/Council/Board. The copy of the pass certificate has been submitted by me.

Witness

Sanju Rana.

Full signature of the applicant

1. Anil Ku. Nayak H.M. Baneejee Nodal U.P.S
2. Abhimanyu Parhan. H.M. Bartalo Nodal U.P.S.

Issued Under RTI-Act-05
PIO, SSA, Bhadrak

~~-22-~~ -71- Annexure-5 series

DISTRICT PROJECT OFFICE
SARVA SHIKSHYA ABHIYAN: BHADRAK

Order No. 4631 / **Dated.** 30/04/2011

Consequent upon execution of an agreement dated. 28.04.11 between **LIJA SMITA NAYAK,D/O-KARTIK CH. NAYAK,AT-BACHHADA,P/O-JAGANNATHPUR BACHHADA,VIA-ANANTAPUR,DIST-BHADRAK** and Collector-cum-CEO, ZP, Bhadrak, **LIJA SMITA NAYAK** is hereby engaged as "SHIKSHA SAHAYAK" for a period as mentioned in the agreement on a fixed monthly honorarium of Rs.4000/-(Rupees three thousand five hundred only)subject to the following terms and conditions.

Place of engagement Mangalapada Pry. School under Basudevpur Block.

1. The "SHIKSHA SAHAYAK shall motivate the parents/guardians of the village in which Pry. /UP schools are situated for enrolment of the children within the age group 6-14 years.
2. It will be his/her duties to contact parents/guardians in case children fail to attend class regularly and get back such children to classes.
3. Whenever applicable he/she will work under the administrative control of Headmaster/Headmistress in charge of the school to which he/she is assigned. He/She shall perform the duties as will be entrusted upon him/her by the concerned Headmaster/Headmistress.
4. He/She may be assigned any other work in furtherance of objective of universalisation of Primary Education by Chief Executive Officer, ZP, or any other authority as decided by the Govt.in School and Mass Education Deptt.from time to time.
5. He/She shall attend training programme as fixed by Govt.from time to time.
6. This engagement order is subject to the order of the Hon'ble High Court in W.P. (C) No. 7478/2011 and subsequent order of the Govt. in S & M.E. Deptt.

It is further, ordered that if any irregularity is detected in future, his/her engagement will be terminated without assigning any reason thereof.

By order of the Collector-cum-CEO, ZP, Bhadrak

District Project Co-ordinator
SSA, Bhadrak

Memo No. 4632 /**Dated.** 30/04/2011

Copy to person concerned for information and necessary action. He/She is directed to join before the concerned H.M.of school with all original documents within a week positively failing which this order will stand cancelled.

District Project Co-ordinator
SSA, Bhadrak

Memo No. 4633 /**Dated.** 30/04/2011

Copy to the Headmaster/Headmistress of the concerned School for information and necessary action. He/She is requested to report the date of joining of Siksha Sahayak to the undersigned through concerned BRCC for further action at this end.

District Project Co-ordinator
SSA, Bhadrak

Memo No. 4634 /**Dated.** 30/04/2011

Copy forwarded to the B.R.C.C. concerned for information and necessary action.

District Project Co-ordinator
SSA, Bhadrak

Memo No. 4635 /**Dated.** 30/04/2011

Copy forwarded to the concerned Block Development Officer / District Inspector of schools, Bhadrak-II for information and necessary action.

District Project Co-ordinator
SSA, Bhadrak

- 38 -

-72- Annexure-5 Series.

OFFICE OF THE DISTRICT PROJECT CO-ORDINATOR, SARVA SHIKSHA ABHIYAN: BHADRAK

Order No. 4891 / **Dated.** 30/04/2011

Consequent upon execution of an agreement dated. 28.04.11 between SANJU RANA,D/O AMBODHAR RANA,AT/PO-BINAYAKPUR,PS-L. UDEVPUR,DIST-BHADRAK and Collector-CEO, ZP, Bhadrak, SANJU RANA is hereby engaged as "SHIKSHA SAHAYAK" for a period as mentioned in the agreement on a fixed monthly honorarium of Rs.3000/-(Rupees three thousand five hundred only)subject to the following terms and conditions.

Place of engagement Kaduanasi Pro.Pry. School under Chandabali Block

1. The "SHIKSHA SAHAYAK"shall motivate the parents/guardians of the village in which Pry. /UP schools are situated for enrolment of the children within the age group 6-14 years.
2. It will be his/her duties to contact parents/guardians in case children fail to attend class regularly and get back such children to classes.
3. Whenever applicable he/she will work under the administrative control of Headmaster/Headmistress in charge of the school to which he/she is assigned. He/She shall perform the duties as will be entrusted upon him/her by the concerned Headmaster/Headmistress.
4. He/She may be assigned any other work in furtherance of objective of universalisation of Primary Education by Chief Executive Officer, ZP, or any other authority as decided by the Govt.in School and Mass Education Deptt.from time to time.
5. He/She shall attend training programme as fixed by Govt.from time to time.
6. This engagement order is subject to the order of the Hon'ble High Court in W.P. (C) No. 7478/2011 and subsequent order of the Govt. in S & M.E. Deptt.

It is further, ordered that if any irregularity is detected in future, his/her engagement will be terminated without assigning any reason thereof.

By order of the Collector-cum-CEO, ZP, Bhadrak

District Project Co-ordinator
SSA, Bhadrak

Q/C

Memo No. 4892 **/Dated.** 30/04/2011

Copy to person concerned for information and necessary action. He/She is directed to join before the concerned H.M.of school with all original documents within a week positively failing which this order will stand cancelled.

District Project Co-ordinator
SSA, Bhadrak

Memo No. 4898 **/Dated.** 30/04/2011

Copy to the Headmaster/Headmistress of the concerned School for information and necessary action. He/She is requested to report the date of joining of Siksha Sahayak to the undersigned through concerned BRCC for further action at this end.

District Project Co-ordinator
SSA, Bhadrak

Memo No. 4899 **/Dated.** 30/04/2011

Copy forwarded to the B.R.C.C. concerned for information and necessary action.

District Project Co-ordinator
SSA, Bhadrak

Memo No. 4900 **/Dated.** 30/04/2011

Copy forwarded to the concerned Block Development Officer / District Inspector of schools, Bhadrak-II for information and necessary action.

District Project Co-ordinator
SSA, Bhadrak

Issued Under RTI-Act-05

- 59 - 73 Annexure-E

OFFICE OF THE DISTRICT PROJECT CO-ORDINATOR,
SARVA SHIKSHYA ABHIYAN: BHADRAK

Order No. 2099 /Date. 23/08/11

In pursuance of the order dated.24.03.11 in W.P.(C) No.7478 of 2011 of the Hon'ble High Court,Orissa and findings arrived at in this office Misc.Case No.10/2011 vide order dated.30.07.2011 final show-cause notice was issued to her vide this office Letter No.7752 dated.08.08.11. On perusal of the show-cause reply of Kumari Sanju Rana along with instructions of the Government in S&M.E.Department vide Letter No.11385/SME/dated.08.06.11 and information of Council of Higher Secondary Education,Orissa vide Letter No.3142 dated.04.05.11 and Letter No.3920 dated.13.06.11, the Vocational qualification of Kumari Sanju Rana is invalid. Hence, Kumari Sanju Rana, D/O-Lambodar Rana, At-Binayakpur, P.O-Binayakpur, Via-Basudevpur, P.S-Basudevpur, Dist-Bhadrak is hereby disengaged from the post of Shikshya Sahayak w.e.f. today i.e. ***23.08.2011 (A.N).***

By order of the Collector-cum-Chairman,
SSA, Bhadrak

District Project Co-ordinator
SSA, Bhadrak

Memo No. 8100 **/Date.** 23/08/11

Copy to person concerned through Regd.Post with A.D. for information.

District Project Co-ordinator
SSA, Bhadrak

Memo No. 8101 **/Date.** 23/08/11

Copy in triplicate forwarded to the Headmaster, Kaduanasi Project Primary School under Chandabali Block for service of one copy on Kumari Sanju Rana, return of the served copy with her dated signature and preservation of the third copy in the school for record.

Copy forwarded to the BRCC, Chandabali for information and necessary action.

District Project Co-ordinator
SSA, Bhadrak

Memo No. 8102 **/Date.** 23/08/11

Copy forwarded to the B.D.O, Chandabali/District Inspector of Schools, Bhadrak-II for information and necessary action.

District Project Co-ordinator
SSA, Bhadrak

Issued Under RTI-Act-05

PIO, SSA, Bhadrak

~~40~~

Annexure-7

74

IN THE HIGH COURT OF ODIHSA: CUTTACK

W.P.(C) NO.32025 OF 2011

Banajini Mishra ... . Petitioner.

-Vrs-

State of Odisha & others..... Opp.parties.

INDEX

Sl.No	Description of documents	Page
1	Counter	1-4
2.	Annexure-A/3 series	5-8

Cuttack
Date: - 20.7.12

Standing Counsel,
School & Mass Education Cell.

-11-

-75-

IN THE HIGH COURT OF ODIHSA: CUTTACK

W.P.(C) NO.32025 OF 2011

Banajini Mishra ... . Petitioner.

-Vrs-

State of Odisha & others..... Opp.parties.

COUNTER AFFIDAVIT FILED ON BEHALF OF OPP.PARTY NO-1,2 & 3

I, Sri Manoj Kumar Behera, aged about 52 years, Son of Late Harikrishna Behera of Village- Malasahi, P.O/P.S- Mangalabag, Dist.- Cuttack at present working as District Project Co-ordinator, SSA, Bhadrak, At/Po/Dist:- Bhadrak do hereby solemnly affirm and state as follows:-

That, I have been authorized by Opp.party No-1 & 2 to swear this affidavit on their behalf.

That, I have gone through the averments made in the writ petition and have understood the averments made therein.

1. That, the District Project Co-ordinator, SSA, Bhadrak arbitrary passed order dated.24.11.2011 without holding any enquiry. In accordance with the order of the Hon'ble High Court in W.P.(C) No.18256/2011, the District Project Co-ordinator,SSA,Bhadrak has enquired into the alleged matter against Shikshya Sahayak applicants who have passed +2 Vocational course/Upasastri course after passing out the +2 Arts/Commerce/Science examination. Under the principle of the

natural justice the concerned Shikshya Sahayak applicants (subsequently engaged) were given adequate opportunity to defend themselves.

2. That, the District Project Co-ordinator,SSA,bhadrak has carefully followed the direction of the Hon'ble High Court in W.P.(C) No.18256/2011 and has enquired into the matter before taking action on the findings. The District project Co-ordinator, SSA, Bhadrak has not exceeded his jurisdiction. Similarly, the D.P.C.,SSA,Bhadrak passed order on 24.11.2011 so as to issue show-cause notice to the petitioner to substantiate notice is not violative of the principles of natural justice.
3. That, this deponent humble submits that there is nothing to comment in respect of Para-3 of the writ petition.
4. That, this deponent humble submits that there is nothing to comment in respect of Para-4 of the writ petition.
5. That, this deponent humble submits that there is nothing to comment in respect of Para-5 of the writ petition.
6. That, this deponent humble submits that there is nothing to comment in respect of Para-6 of the writ petition.
7. That, by the time the order No-2 dated.15.07.2011 in W.P.(C) No.18256/2011 of the Hon'ble High Court was received the petitioner had already been engaged as Shikshya Sahayak. But it was specified that ***"respondents shall not appoint against whom the enquiry is***

pending till it is complete." Under such circumstance issue of show-cause notice is in accordance with the order of the Hon'ble High Court.

8. That, this deponent humble submits that there is nothing to comment in respect of Para-8 of the writ petition.

9. That, it is not a fact that the District Project Co-ordinator, SSA, Bhadrak issued show-cause notice without holding any enquiry and without giving any opportunity to the petitioner.

10. That, it is not a fact that the District Project Co-ordinator, SSA, Bhadrak arrived at a conclusion on enquiry that the Vocational course certificate is a forged and fake certificate. Rather, the crux of the issue is that she had passed +2 Vocational course after she passed +2 Arts course which is not permissible.

11. That, this deponent humble submits that there is nothing to comment in respect of Para-11 of the writ petition.

13. That, this deponent humble submits that there is nothing to comment in respect of Para-13 of the writ petition.

14. That, it is a fact that the District Project Co-ordinator,SSA,Bhadrak detected the irregularity committed by the petitioner in taking admission in +2 Vocational course after completetion of +2 Arts course is against the principles laid down by the Council of Higher Secondary Education,Odisha as has been communicated by the P.I.O of the C.H.S.E(O). Even the said P.I.O also intimated to the District Project Co-ordinator, SSA, Bhadrak vide his Letter No.3920 dated.13.06.2011

that the information submitted by letter No.3142 dated.04.05.2011 is genuine and authentic. All the letters are annexed at ***Annexure-A/3 series***.

15. That, it is not a fact that the scope of the enquiry by the District Project Co-ordinator, SSA, Bhadrak is limited to the genuineness of the certificate only.

16. That, enquiry was undertaken in accordance with the direction of the Hon'ble High Court in W.P.(C) No.18256/2011. In course of enquiry it was lawfully detected that a person can not study +2 Vocational course after successful completetion of +2 Arts/Commerce/Science course by him/her. Hence, no irregularity has been committed by the District Project Co-ordinator, SSA, Bhadrak in issuing show-cause notice against the petitioner.

17. That, this deponent humble submits that there is nothing to comment in respect of Para-17 of the writ petition.

Manoj Kumar Behera

Identified by **Deponent**

Asst. A.G's Office.

Certificate

Certified that due to non availability of cartridge papers plain blue papers has been used.

Cuttack
Date: - 20-7-12

Standing Counsel,
School & Mass Education Cell.

-79-

K2 A No - 3422 Annexure-A13 series

13-06-11

BY REGD. POST

160

COUNCIL OF HIGHER SECONDARY EDUCATION: ORISSA
PRAJNAPITHA: SAMANTAPUR: BHUBANESWAR: -13

...

No. 3920 /CHSE(O).. Dt: 13/6/11

RTI-137/11

From

Dr.Gopa Ranjan Mishra,
Public Information Officer,
C.H.S.E.,Orissa,Bhubaneswar.

To

District Project Co-Ordinator,
S.S.A.,Bhadrak.

Sub:-Supply of information under RTI Act.
Ref:-Your letter No.6387 dt.31.05.2011.

Sir,

With reference to your letter on the subject cited above, I am to say that the letter No.3142 dt.04.05.2011, No.3630 dt.27.05.2011 and No.3669 dt.31.05.2011 which were sent to the applicants are authentic.

This is for your kind information.

20/6/2011

Yours faithfully,

Public Information Officer.
13.6.11

Attested

KA/-

13.6.11

District Project Co-ordinator
S. S. A., Bhadrak

-46-

-80-

By hand

53

COUNCIL OF HIGHER SECONDARY EDUCA ION: ORISSA
PRANGYAPITHA: SAMANTAPUR: BHUBANESWAR-13

...

No.RTI.24/11 3666 /CHSE(O), dt. 31/5/11

From:-

Dr Gopa Ranjan Mishra,
Public Information Officer
CHSE(O), Bhubaneswar.

To
Ramakanta Sahoo,
C/O-Mahendra Prasad Sahoo
At-Kalidaspur, Po-Narasinghpur
Dist-Bhadrak.

Sub:- Supply of information under RTI Act.
Ref:- Your application dt.4.5.2011

Sir,

With reference to your application dt.4.5.11 on the subject cited above, I am to say that you have applied under RTI Act to know whether a student can have two Registration Number. A student cannot have two Registration Number. In case a student fails in the A.H.S.Examination conducted by the Council and taken admission changing his stream he will get a new Registration Number as surrender of old registration number.

This for your kind information.

Yours faithfully,

Public Information Officer
28.5.11

Attested

Project Co-ordinator
S.S.A., Bhadrak

GCB

~~47~~

-81-

By Hand

COUNCIL OF HIGHER SECONDARY EDUCATION:ORISSA
PRAJNAPITHA SAMANTAPUR BHUBANESWAR:13
-0-

No. 36210 /CHSE, Dt. 27/5/11
RTI.123/11

From,

Dr.Gopa Ranjan Mishra,
Public Information Officer.

To

Ramakanta Sahoo,
C/o-Mahendra Prasad Sahoo,
At- Kalidaspur,
Po- Narasinghapur,
PS- Basudevpur,
Dist-Bhadrak.

Sub:- Supply of information under RTI Act.

Ref:- Your application dt. 4.5.11.

Sir,

With reference to your application dt.4.5.2011 on the subject cited above, I am to say that, you have applied under RTI Act regarding admission procedure of a student. If a student takes admission in another College in 1st year having been failed in Annual HS Exam. conducted by the Council, the C.L.C from the 1st College needs to be released and required to be submitted in second College at the time of admission .

This is for your kind information.

Attested

Yours faithfully,

Public Information Officer.
27.5.11

Project Co-ordinator
S. S. A. Bhadrak

G/

82-48

BY HAND

55

COUNCIL OF HIGHER SECONDARY EDUCATION, ORISSA
PRAJNAPITHA: SAMANTAPUR: BHUBANESWAR-13

No. 3142 /CHSE(O)., Dt. 4/5/11
RTI-95/11

From

Dr. Gopa Ranjan Mishra,
Public Information Officer,
C.H.S.E., Orissa, Bhubaneswar.

To

Sri Ramakanta Dash,
C/o:-Late Jogendra Dash,
At/Po-Arandua,
Via:-Basudevpur,
Dist:-Bhadrak

Sub:-Supply of information under RTI Act.

Ref:-Your application under RTI dt. 16.04.2011.

Sir,

With reference to your application under RTI Act dt. 16.04.2011 on the subject cited above, I am to say that you have applied under RTI Act to get the information regarding whether after passing +2 Arts/Science and Commerce course a student can appear in +2 Vocational stream or not. As per rule 2(i) of the C.H.S.E. Act and Regulation 1982, Higher Secondary Course means course immediately following the High School Certificate Course and covering a period of two academic years which is provided for either in college or a Higher Secondary School and includes Arts, Science, Commerce and Vocational courses. If a student appear and qualified in H.S.Examination in Arts, Science and Commerce stream sucessfully under any recognised institution he/she can not be taken admission again in vocational stream of Higher Secondary Course.

This is for your kind information.

Yours faithfully,

Public Information Officer.

Attested

Project Co-ordinator
S.S.A., Bhadrak

KA/-

-49-
-83-

Annexure-8

To

The Collector-cum-Chief Executive Officer, Zilla Parisada,
At/P.O./Dist-Bhadrak.

Sub- Petition with a prayer to call for all the case records pertaining to enquiry conducted in pursuant to the earlier order dtd.24.3.2011 passed in W.P. (C) No.7478/2011 (filed by Sri Rama Kanta Sahoo and others) and order dtd.15.7.2011 passed in W.P. (C) No.18256/2011 (filed by Manin Parida and others) for reference and consideration of the materials / documents produced by the petitioners of the aforesaid case in proof of the fraud committed by the vocational and Upasastri Candidate

Sir,

With due respect, we the petitioner in W.P. (C) No.5077/2014 do hereby, humbly beg to submit that

(1) The list of vocational candidate and Upasastri candidate those have obtained certificates of Vocational pass and Upasastri pass in suppression of materials fact and in violation of CHSE Rules and Regulations are available in the enquiry case records prepared / conducted by the office of DPC, Bhadrak in pursuant to earlier order dtd.24.3.2011 passed in W.P. (C) No.7478/2011 (filed by Sri Rama Kanta Sahoo and others) and order dtd.15.7.2011 passed in W.P. (C) No.18256/2011 (filed by Manin Parida and others).

(2) In all such enquiry case records pertaining to D.I.-1 and D.I.-2, the documents / materials evidences of double certificates and deliberate willfully acts of fraud are available. Those materials are also vital for conducting the present enquiry in pursuant to the order of the Hon'ble High Court passed in W.P. (C) No.5077/2014 (filed by Surekha Samal) and a batch of cases.

We therefore, pray your good authority to be kind enough to consider the above grievance and be pleased to call for all enquiry case records for further verification and scrutiny with further opportunity of hearing to the petitioners on the scheduled date fixed for enquiry i.e.10.7.2015. For which act of kindness, the petitioners shall be obliged.

Surekha Samal

Dated 8-7-15

-80-

-84-

Annexure-9

REJECT LIST OF THE APPLICANTS FOR THE POST OF SIKSHYA SAHAYAK,2012 UNDER BHADRAK DISTRICT

Sl. No.	Index No.	Name of the applicant	Father's/ Husband's Name	Date of Birth	Sex	Caste	Edn. Dist.	Remarks
LIST OF APPLICANTS REJECTED DUE TO STUDIED +2 COURSE BOTH ARTS/SC/COM & VOC/UPASASTRI								
1	30	BIDYUTA PRAVA KAR	BASANTA KU. DASH	01.04.84	F	GEN	BDK-II	
2	207	KABITA BEHERA	RABINARAYAN BEHERA	04.02.89	F	SEBC	BDK-II	
3	403	MANOJ KUMAR BEHERA	RATNAKAR BEHERA	25.06.90	M	OBC	BDK-II	
4	356	BAIJAYANTI DAS	BHOJENDRA PRASAD DAS	03.02.90	F	SEBC	BDK-II	
5	104/+2 UT	HARAPRIYA JENA	F-GANESH PRASAD JENA	18/06/90	F	SEBC	BDK-II	
6	281/+2 UT	SAMISKYA TRIPATHY	F-PRADEEP KU. TRIPATHY	12.05.91	F	GEN	BDK-II	
7	7	SOUDAMINI BISWAL	PRASHANTAKU ROUT	12.05.89	F	SEBC	BDK-II	
8	341	CHAKRADHAR BAL	KRUSHNA CHANDRA BAL	06.04.86	M	SEBC	BDK-I	
9	174	SASMITA DAS	F-SANYASI DAS	04.04.90	F	SC	BDK-I	
LIST OF APPLICANT REJECTED DUE TO ONE YEAR D.S.E. COURSE								
1	33	AKSHAYA KUMAR JENA	F-DIBAKAR JENA	10.01.71	M	SC	BDK-I	

8/11/12

District Project Co-ordinate
S. S. A., BHADRAK

85

+2 CT
END DIST-BHADRAK II

REJECT LIST FOR THE POST OF SS,2012 UNDER BHADRAK DISTRICT

SI. NO	INDEX NO	NAME OF THE CANDIDATE	NAME OF THE FATHER/HUSBAND	PERMANENT ADDRESS	DATE OF BIRTH	SEX	CASTE	CASTE CERTI. NO.	RES. NO.	E. REGD. NO	FULL MRKS. IN +2	MARKS OBTAINED	% OF MARKS	FULL MARKS IN C.T.	MARKS OBTAINED	% OF MARKS	TOTAL PERCENTAGE	PH/SPORTS/EX. SERVICE MAN	REMARKS
27	61	LALITA KUMAR PUHAN	KAMINI KANTA PUHAN	AT-JAGANNATHI,PO-SUAN,VIA-ERAM,BHADRAK-756162	15.06.84	M	SEBC	924/11	1985/11	T/7508/09	1000	745	74.50	1350	891	71.28	145.78		Reject due to +2 vol. & +3 in 2006 & 2008 respectively
28	413	TAPASWINI NAYAK	PITABASH MOHANTY	AT/PO-PANDARABATIA,VIA-DHUSURI,DIST-BHADRAK	15.03.66	F	SEBC	933/08	1428/11	1580/08	900	406	45.11				45.11		Rejected due to Hindi Teacher certificate not equivalent with CT
29	56 A	SRIDHAR SETHI	MUSI SETHI	AT/PO-KANDRAGADA,VIA-[illegible],BHADRAK	06.06.70	M	GEN		3314/06	3314/06	900	340	37.78				37.78		Rejected due to inadequate CT mark
30	380	PITABAS MOHANTY	SHYAMA SUNDAR MOHANTY	AT/PO-PANDARABATIA,VIA-DHUSURI,DIST-BHADRAK	09.09.66	M	SEBC	1192/07	1428/11	1475/08	900	369	41.00	1200	672	56.00	97.00		Reject due to ONE YEAR DSE
31	320	PRAHALAD CH. DAS	AGANI CH. DAS	AT/PO-ARASA,DIST-BHADRAK	14.02.67	M	SC	875/06	1428/11	2028/08	900	359	39.89	1000	513	51.30	91.19		Reject due to DSE ONE YEAR
32	375	PRAVAKAR DAS	MURALIDHAR DAS	AT-KHUNTA,PO-MANIPUR,VIA-TUDIGADHIA,DIST-BALASORE	04.06.68	M	SEBC	327/11	Awaiting	4813/10	900	412	45.78				45.78		Reject due to INADEQUATE C.T MARK
33	417	PRAVAT KUMAR NAYAK	MAHENDRA PRASAD NAYAK	AT/PO-BATI,VIA-SIMULIA,DIST-BALASORE	05.05.71	M	SEBC	487/11	2590/11	3638/10	900	358	39.78				39.78		Reject due to INADEQUATE MARK SHEET

-86-

-74-62-

+2 CT
END DIST-BHADRAK II

REJECT LIST FOR THE POST OF SS,2012 UNDER BHADRAK DISTRICT

-86-

SI. NO	INDEX NO	NAME OF THE CANDIDATE	NAME OF THE FATHER/HUSBAND	PERMANENT ADDRESS	DATE OF BIRTH	SEX	CASTE	CASTE CERTI. NO.	RES. NO.	E. REGD. NO	FULL MRKS IN +2	MARKS OBTAINED	% OF MARKS	FULL MARKS IN C.T.	MARKS OBTAINED	% OF MARKS	TOTAL PERCENTAGE	PH/SPORTS/EX. SERVICE MAN	REMARKS
1	258	SURYANARAYAN NAYAK	GAYADHAR NAYAK	AT:POKHARIPUR(URUNIA),PO:LUNGA,VIA:ERTAL,BHADRAK	08.05.84	M	SEBC	6832/10	8356/11	6348/09	1000	691	69.10	1250	862	68.96	138.06	PH (ORTHO) 65%	Reject due to double +2 pass +2 VOC
2	304	HIRANYA KUMAR BEHERA	DAITARI BEHERA	AT-RUDHUNGA,PO-ASTIA,VIA-SIMULIA,DIST-BALASORE	06.06.88	M	SEBC	423/11	2295/11	6124/08	1000	697	69.70	1250	745	59.60	129.30		Reject due to double apply Soro Ky GSJ UPASASTRI
3	329	SUSANTA KU. DAS	LAXMANKUMAR DAS	AT-MUKTESWAR PUR,PO-PAKHAR,VIA-ANANTAPUR,DIST-BALASORE	10.03.92	M	SEBC	219/00	7176/11	10259/11	600	425	70.83	1250	822	65.76	136.59		Reject due to double apply Soro KY537 +2 COM.
4	307	SASMITA BARIK	NIRMAL CH. BARIK	AT/PO-CHUDAMANI,VIA-BASUDEVPUR,DIST-BHADRAK	26.06.88	F	SEBC	90	2006/11	9074/11	1000	861	66.10	900	668	74.00	140.10		Reject due to BSS-1 YEAR DIPLOMA
5	110	KALPANA NAYAK	RAJESH KU MOHANTY	AT-NEW INDIASAHI,PO-BASUDEVPUR,BHADRAK	07.03.84	F	SEBC	61	298/11	308/09	1000	750	75.00	1250	748	59.84	134.84		Reject due to double + 2 pass +2 VOC
6	78	PRITIRANI KAR	MAHESWAR NAYAK	AT-CHANDANPUR,PO/VIA-BETADA,BHADRAK	15.02.88	F	GEN		274/11	T/9972/10	1000	662	66.20	1250	925	74.00	140.20		Reject due to double +2 pass
7	101	DHRUTITAPA SATAPATHY	ANANTA NARAYAN TRIPATHY	AT/PO-NARASINGHAPUR,VIA-BETADA,DIST-BHADRAK	04.04.84	F	GEN		307/11	1750/09	1000	699	69.90	1250	815	65.20	135.10		Reject due to double +2 pass
8	214	PURNA CHANDRA SAHOO	BANAMALI SAHOO	AT - KORAI,PO/C.T.O-GHANTESWAR BALIPAL,VIA: GHANTESWAR, DIST- BHADRAK	22.11.80	M	SEBC	112/	12	8191/09	1000	777	77.70	1250	819	65.52	143.22		Reject due to double +2 pass
9	52	NIRANJAN NAYAK	BAIKUNTHA NAYAK	AT/PO-CHUDAMANI,PS-BASUDEVPUR,BHADRAK	12.01.81	M	SEBC	6649	8/11	T/7340/09	1000	787	78.70	1250	869	69.52	148.22		Reject due to double +2 pass +2 VOCATION
10	22	TAPASA KUMAR DHUPAL	BIMBADHAR DHUPAL	AT-SUGO,PO-GOPALJEW SUGO,VIA-ERTAL,DIST-BHADRAK,PIN-756124	25.05.89	M	GEN		00/11	T/626/11	1000	672	67.20	1250	867	69.36	136.56		Reject due to double +2 pass +2

-87-

+2 CT
END DIST-BHADRAK II

REJECT LIST FOR THE POST OF SS,2012 UNDER BHADRAK DISTRICT

SI NO	INDEX NO	NAME OF THE CANDIDATE	NAME OF THE FATHER/HUSBAND	PERMANENT ADDRESS	DATE OF BIRTH	SEX	CASTE	CASTE CERTI. NO.	RES. NO.	E. REGD. NO	FULL MRKS IN+2	MARKS OBTAINED	% OF MARKS	FULL MARKS IN C.T.	MARKS OBTAINED	% OF MARKS	TOTAL PERCENTAGE	PH/SPORTS/EX. SERVICEMAN	REMARKS
11	160	MAHESWAR BEHERA	RAMESH CH. BEHERA	AT-BASATHI,PO-RADHABLLAVPUR,VIA-BASUDEVPUR,BHADRAK	04.05.88	M	OBC	141/10	5730/11	5271/89	1000	762	76.20	1250	863	70.64	146.84		Reject due to double +2 pass +2 VOC
12	274	JNANA RANJAN KABAT	GHANASHYAM KABAT	AT/PO-NUAPAN,VIA-ERAM,DIST-BHADRAK	05.06.84	M	SEBC	1014/11	6394/11	4959/09	1000	722	72.20	1250	904	72.32	144.52		Reject due to double +2 pass +2 Voc
13	315	SHASHIREKHA BEHERA	BIKARTANA BEHERA	AT/PO-KUMARPUR,VIA-ANANTAPUR,DIST-BHADRAK	05.02.84	F	SEBC	143/04	8282/11	806/11	1000	661	66.10	1250	774	61.92	128.02		Reject due to Double +2 pass UPASAST
14	354	ANASUYA MOHAPATRA	BHAGIRATHI MOHAPATRA	AT-JAYAPUR,PO-NARASINGHAPUR,VIA-BETADA,BHADRAK	05.06.90	F	GEN		7685/11	10136/11	1000	738	73.80	1250	907	72.56	146.36		Reject due to double +2 pass UPASAST
15	230	AMAR KU. BEHERA	MARKANDA BEHERA	AT: KUAGADHIA, PO/VIA BETADA, BALASORE	17.11.83	M	SEBC	33/12	2297/11	4386/10	1000	717	71.70	1250	878	70.24	141.94		Reject due to double +2 pass UPSASTRI
16	63	HEMANTA KUMAR NATH	AMULYA CHARAN NATH	AT-KOTDIHA,PO-BARAPUR,VIA-ANANTAPUR,BHADRAK-756035	10.07.83	M	OBC	650/209	7338/11	T/1617/09	1000	772	77.20	1250	880	70.40	147.60		Reject due to double +2 pass +2 VOCATION
17	194	LAXMINARAYAN BEHERA	BHAGIRATHI BEHERA	AT/PO: GUD, VIA: ANANTAPUR, DIST: BALASORE	20.09.88	M	OBC	143/10	7973/11	9570/09	1000	658	65.80	1250	896	71.68	137.48		Reject due to Double Apply Soro KY 254 &
18	322	MADHUSMITA DAS	SARAT CH. DAS	AT-UCHHABPUR,PO-SUNTAPADA,VIA-CHAKABARAPUR,DIST-BALASORE	12.05.86	F	GEN		7708/11	966/11	1000	605	60.50	1250	970	77.60	138.10		Reject due to Double apply Soro KY345
24	318	CHANDRAMANI HOTA	MANAGOBINDA HOTA	AT-TALABANDHA,PO-GHATAPUR,VIA-PIRHAT,DIST-BHADRAK	28.08.69	M	GEN		6290/11	12/09	900	334	37.11	1050	480	45.71	82.83		Reject due to Over age
25	382	SASMITA ROUT	BABULI ROUT	AT-RANKABELI,PO-DUMASAHI,VIA-GODIPADA,DIST-NAYAGAD	20.06.92	F	OBC	10013/11	10074/11	7481/11	900	396	44.00				44.00		REJECTED DUE TO UNTRAINED OBC CANDIDAT
26	382	SASMITA ROUT	BABULI ROUT	AT-RANKABELI,PO-DUMASAHI,VIA-GODIPADA,DIST-NAYAGAD	20.06.92	F	OBC	10013/11	10074/11	7481/11	900	396	44.00				44.00		REJECTED UNTRAINED OBC CANDIDATE

-88-

-54-

Annexure-10 Series.

COUNCIL OF HIGHER SECONDARY EDUCATION, ORISSA, BHUBANESWAR
TABULATION REGISTER ANNUAL EXAM 2005

BHADRAK — SAHEED MAHAVIDYALAYA, BARAPUR — ARTS REGULAR — 658

Roll No. / Reg. No.	Names		Sex		E	0	Subject	Subject	Subject	Subject	Total	Result
124AB031 490020_03	BITARANI MAJHI BAIKUNTHANATA MAJHI LAXMIPRIYA MAJHI	(WK_XP=B)	F	A	37 36	61	ECO 65 62	OS 68 65	LOG 44 78	POL 54 60	516 570	1
124AB032 490005_03	GOPAL CHANDRA MANDAL SHYAMA SUNDAR MANDAL PURNIMA MANDAL	(WK_XP=C)	M	A	14 33	30	HIS 00 12	POL 12 13	OS 03 30	LOG 11 48	147 147	F
124AB033 489988_03	HAREKRUSHNA BEHERA GOBINDA BEHERA HEMALATA BEHERA	(WK_XP=B)	M	A	22 33	40	HIS 06 23	OS 30 50	LOG 14 36	POL 35 15	254 254	F
124AB034 490100_03	JAYASREE PANDA SRIDHAR PANDA NALINI PRAVA PANDA	(WK_XP=B)	F	A	43 38	50	ECO 60 51	OS 38 64	LOG 19 78	POL 41 39	441 461	2
124AB035 490104_03	JIBAN KUMAR DAS [illegible] DAS SANJUKTA [illegible]	(WK_XP=B)	M	A			POL	OS	LOG	HIS	[illegible]	
124AB036 490003_03	[illegible] BARIK [illegible] BARIK PADMABATI [illegible]	(WK_XP=B)	F	A	53 42	68	ECO 66 70	OS 72 63	LOG 72 87	POL 50 58	593 641	1
124AB037 490712_03	JYOTSNA [illegible] SATAPATHY JAGABANDHU [illegible]ATHY APARNA SATAPATHY	(WK_XP=A)	F	A	[illegible]0 30	51	LOG 14 56	OS 30 60	ECO 31 34	POL 41 19	336 336	3 *
124AB038 490023_03	KAMALINI BARIK KRUSHNA CHANDRA BARIK KUNTALA BARIK	(WK_XP=B)	F	A	35 36	55	OS 35 68	LOG 13 55	ECO 36 34	POL 44 34	367 385	3
124AB039 490069_03	KANHU CHARAN MALIK KARTIK CHANDRA MALIK HEMALATA MALIK	(WK_XP=C)	M	A	14 25	35	OS 18 50	LOG 16 33	ECO 20 30	POL 30 19	241 241	F
124AB040 490055_03	KASTURIBALA MOHALIK PITABAS MOHALIK LAXMIPRIYA MOHALIK	(WK_XP=A)	F	A	24 36	51	OS 34 51	ECO 32 45	LOG 24 45	POL 37 30	342 349	3 *
124AB041 490075_03	LAXMIKANTA NAYAK UMAKANTA NAYAK KANAKALATA NAYAK	(WK_XP=B)	M	A	41 38	44	HIS 15 16	POL 38 33	OS 18 52	LOG 13 41	297 297	F
124AB042 490096_03	LIZASMITA NAYAK KARTIK CHANDRA NAYAK SUSHAMA RANI MALLIK	(WK_XP=B)	F	A	30 30	[illegible]	OS 47 70	HIS 37 34	LOG 30 54	POL 39 41	387 407	3
124AB043 490021_03	LOPAMUDRA NAYAK CHANDRA KANTA NAYAK GITASHRI NAYAK	(WK_XP=B)	F	A	28 22	51	ECO 17 50	OS 21 61	LOG 19 50	POL 35 25	331 331	3 *
124AB044 490083_03	MADHUSMITA LENKA CHINTAMANI LENKA PANCHALI LENKA	(WK_XP=B)	F	A	34 35	67	OS 70 70	LOG 84 80	ECO 68 71	POL 69 60	579 648	1
124AB045	MADHUSMITA PARIDA		F	A			HIS	POL	OS	LOG	343	

-89-

SERIAL NO 116676

-55-

COUNCIL OF HIGHER SECONDARY EDUCATION, ORISSA
BHUBANESWAR

(VOCATIONAL)

MEMORANDUM OF MARKS

HIGHER SECONDARY EXAMINATION

THIS IS TO CERTIFY THAT LIZASMITA NAYAK

SON/ DAUGHTER OF KARTIK CHANDRA NAYAK/ SUSHAMA RANI MALLICK

OF GOVT VOCATIONAL JR COLLEGE BASUDEVPUR HAS PASSED

THE ANNUAL EXAM 2006 AND IS PLACED IN FIRST DIVISION

THE SUBJECTS IN WHICH THE CANDIDATE WAS EXAMINED AND MARKS OBTAINED ARE AS UNDER:

ROLL NO. 90148060 | REGN. NO. 702863/04 | STREAM VOCATIONAL

SUBJECTS	MARKS	MARKS OBTAINED	
	PAPER	PAPER 1	PAPER 2
ENGLISH		48	
MIL ORIYA	100	65	
GENERAL FOUNDATION COURSE	100	68	
BASIC FOUNDATION COURSE	100	70	
COMPUTER APPLICATION			
THEORY-1	100	64	
THEORY-2	100	53	
THEORY-3	100	44	
PRACTICAL-1	100	95	
PRACTICAL-2	100	95	
PRACTICAL-3	100	95	

GRAND TOTAL WITHOUT EXTRA OPTIONAL IN WORDS: SIX SEVEN SIX — IN FIGURES: 676

GRAND TOTAL WITH EXTRA OPTIONAL

PASS MARKS	DIVISION	
Theory	40% First	65%
Practicals	45% Second	55%
Aggregate	40% Third	40%

Principal
Govt. Voc. Jr. College
Basudevpur

CONTROLLER OF EXAMINATIONS

Issued Under RTI Act
PIO, SSA, Bhadrak

DATE OF PUBLICATION : 09-JUN-2006

-90-

TABULATION REGISTER ANNUAL EXAM 2004 — D6 —

STREAM : ARTS REGULAR PAGE : 633

DISTRICT NAME : BHADRAK COLLEGE NAME : TRUPTI WOMEN'S COLLEGE, BASUDEVPUR

ROLL No. / REGN No.	CANDIDATE'S NAME / FATHER'S NAME / MOTHER'S NAME	SEX	APAS	COMPULSORY ENG T1	COMPULSORY ENG T2	COMPULSORY MIL T1	OPTIONALS OPT 1 T1	OPT 1 T2	OPT 1 P1	OPT 2 T1	OPT 2 T2	OPT 2 P1	OPT 3 T1	OPT 3 T2	OPT 3 P1	OPT 3 P2	EXTRA OPTIONAL T1	EXTRA OPTIONAL T2	EXTRA OPTIONAL P1	EXTRA OPTIONAL P2	AGGR. MARKS	RESULT
127AB081	SUBHASNEHI NAYAK	F	A	E		0	POL			HS			OS				LOG				381	
030708_02	ANANTA PRASAD NAYAK (WK_XP=B)			37	23	39	45	45		43	25	40	30	54			39	49			409	3 *
127AB082	SHRUTI SAGARIKA DAS	F	A	E		0	HIS			POL			HS				LOG				293	
030707_02	SRIKANTA DAS (WK_XP=A)			19	19	38	30	32		30	38		33	24	40		50	47			293	F
127AB083	SABITARANI MALIK	F	A	E		0	OS			HS			POL				ECO				280	
030773_02	RAGHUNATH MALIK (WK_XP=A)			07	16	30	19	41		30	24	40	32	35			34	19			280	F
127AB084	SABITREE BEHERA	F	A	E		0	OS			EDU			SOC				LOG				276	
030699_02	KRUSHNA CHANDRA BEHERA (WK_XP=B)			08	10	21	34	44		23	25	41	34	39			45	33			276	F
127AB085	SHARMILA BEHERA	F	A	E		0	POL			SOC			OS				LOG				362	
030728_02	SUDHAKARA BEHERA (WK_XP=A)			35	25	43	40	36		45	50		40	46			55	36			393	3 *
127AB086	SASMITA MALLICK	F	A	E		0	HIS			EDU			SOC				LOG				288	
030696_02	(WK_XP=B)			17	23	35	29	31		31	30	34	34	40			ABS	ABS			288	F
127AB087	SAKUNTALA SETHI	F	A	E		0				HS			OS				LOG				286	
030729_02	(WK_XP=B)			13	13	41	18	30		27	31	34	32	44			34	30			288	F
127AB088	[illegible]	F	A	E		0				POL			HS				LOG				276	
[illegible]	(WK_XP=B)			08	21	37	22	45		19	24		39	23	41		32	24			276	F
127AB089	LUCHIRA MOHANTY	F	A	E		0	POL			OS			EDU				SOC				290	
030695_02	TARAKULA KU MOHANTY (WK_XP=B)			12	23	37	24	33		31	45		30	27	38		39	56			290	F
127AB090	SAMIRA AHAMADA ROY	F	A	E		0	POL			EDU			OS				HIS				394	
030796_02	(WK_XP=B)			22	38	48	45	40		40	36	37	34	54			38	33			405	3
127AB091	SRIMATI DAS	F	A	E		0	HIS			POL			EDU				LOG				339	
030746_02	RADHASHYAM DAS (WK_XP=B)			35	25	40	35	29		30	33		36	41	39		52	36			367	3 *
127AB092	SANJU RANA	F	A	E		0	POL			OS			EDU				ECO				518	
030732_02	LOKODARA RANA (WK_XP=A)			25	35	53	78	80		53	65		48	38	43		66	46			568	1
127AB093	SATYA BHAMA BARIK	F	A	E		0	HIS			POL			OS				LOG				280	
030711_02	CHINTAMANI BARIK (WK_XP=B)			13	19	40	31	36		23	32		38	46			43	21			280	F
127AB094	SUCHISMITA MOHANTY	F	A	E		0	POL			EDU			OS				HIS				408	
03C795_02	PRADEEP KUMAR MOHANTY (WK_XP=A)			26	34	41	49	34		26	49	42	46	61			41	32			421	3 *
127AB095	SUCHISMITA PARHI	F	A	E		0	OS			POL			EDU				LOG				373	
03C698_02	ANANTA KUMAR PARHI (WK_XP=B)			30	30	30	39	50		46	30		39	41	38		42	31			386	3 *
127AB096	SASMITA PANDA	F	A	E		0	HIS			POL			SOC				LOG				336	
03C692_02	BAILOSH CHANDRA PANDA (WK_XP=B)			37	23	35	38	39		33	37		44	50			64	53			393	3 *

© THAPAR FORMS 1 2 3

< 27 -

91

SERIAL NO. 361772

COUNCIL OF HIGHER SECONDARY EDUCATION, ORISSA
BHUBANESWAR

(VOCATIONAL)

MEMORANDUM OF MARKS
HIGHER SECONDARY EXAMINATION

THIS IS TO CERTIFY THAT SANJU RANA

SON/DAUGHTER OF LAMBODAR RANA/LAXMI RANA

OF VOCATIONAL JR COLLEGE AREI

ANNUAL EXAM 2007 AND IS PLACED IN FIR[illegible]

THE SUBJECTS IN WHICH THE CANDIDATE WAS EXAMINED AND MARKS OBTAINED

ROLL NO.	REGN. NO.	STREAM
910CC006	700751/02	VOCATIONAL

SUBJECTS	[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]
ENGLISH				
MIL ORIYA	100		47	
GENERAL FOUNDATION COURSE	100		80	
BASIC FOUNDATION COURSE	100		69	
REPAIR&MAINT. OF RADIO&TV	100		58	
THEORY-1				
THEORY-2	100		65	
THEORY-3	100		73	
PRACTICAL-1	100		58	
PRACTICAL-2	100		83	
PRACTICAL-3	100		85	
	100		67	

COPY

GRAND TOTAL WITHOUT EXTRA OPTIONAL
IN WORDS SIX EIGHT FIVE IN FIGURES 685

GRAND TOTAL WITH EXTRA OPTIONAL

Issued Under RTI-Act-05

PIO, SSA, Bhadrak

PASS MARKS: Theory X 40%, Practicals X 45%, Aggregate X 40%

DIVISION: First X 65%, Second X 55%, Third X 40%

HEAD OF INSTITUTION

CONTROLLER OF EXAMINATIONS

DATE OF PUBLICATION: 08-JUN-2007

-92-

Regular

Page No. 3166

+3 FINAL UNIVERSITY EXAMINATION, 2007

STREAM Arts General

COLLEGE NAME TRUPTI WOMEN'S COLLEGE, BASUDEVPUR

COMPULSORY					PASS / PASS-(A)					PASS-(B) / HONOURS					ELECTIVE				
SUB	PAP	F.M	M.S(Reg)	(Back)	SUB	PAP	F.M	M.S(Reg)	(Back)	SUB	PAP	F.M	M.S(Reg)	(Back)	SUB	PAP	F.M	M.S(Reg)	(Back)
ENG	I	100	45		EDN	I	75	39							Elect.-(A)				
MILO	I	100	72			II	75	48							IP	I	100	52	
						III	50	45											
ENG	II	100	58		EDN	IV	75	39							Elect.-(A)				
MILO	II	100	65			V	75	46							IP	II	100	58	
						VI	50	44											
ISC		100	52												Elect.-(B)				
ES	I	75	49												LIH	I	100	64	
	II	25	23													II	100	48	
Total		364					261												

Roll Number 123H04035 Registration No 11599/04

Name of the Candidate

SANJU
RANA

SEX : W CASTE :

YEAR WISE RESULT AND AGGREGA

1st-Year	2nd-Year	3rd-Yea
P	P	P
301	310	236

COMBINED RESULT

PASS TOTAL - 847

HONOURS TOTAL -

TOTAL AGGREGATE - 847

REMARK

Arati Dash
T.O.
Trupti Women's College
Basudevpur

At-Kandaspur, P.O. Narasinghpur

Via-Betada, dist-Bhadrak

Sub: Supply of information under RTI Act.,2005

Dear Sir,

With reference to the letter and subject cited above I am to submit herewith the Xerox copy of T.R. of +3 Final Degree Exam,2007 of Trupti women's College, Basudevpur relating to Sanju Rana. She has not received her C.L.C.

- 59 - Annexure-11 Series

93

BEFORE THE COLLECTOR-CUM-CHIEF EXECUTIVE OFFICER, ZILLA PARISADA, BHADRAK.

MISC. CASE NO. 3 / 2015

(Arising out of W.P. (C) No.5077/2014 along with a batch of cases disposed of on vide common order dtd.5.5.2015).

DATE OF EVENTS AND **NOTE OF SUBMISSION** FILED BY THE PETITIONERS (NON- VOCATIONAL / UPASASTRI CANDIDATES).

1)	10.1.2011	State Govt. issued Resolution dated-10.01.2011 prescribing guideline for engagement of SS on Annual Contractual Basis.
2)	22.1.2011	Following the Govt. Resolution dtd.10.1.2011, the advertisement for engagement of SS was published in respect of D.I.-I and D.I.-II.
3)		Initially provisional merit list was published. In the said list name of the petitioners / Intervener-Petitioner found place excluding the vocational candidates.
4)	21.4.2011	2nd provisional list was published including vocational candidate and upasastri candidate.
5)	18.03.2011	one of the writ petitioner (Ramakanta Sahoo,& another) submitted objection to the inclusion of fake vocational and upasastri candidates on the ground that they are all fake candidates and by committing fraud and in / concealment/misrepresentation of fact, they have applied for the post of SS.
6)	24.3.2011	The Hon'ble High Court in W.P.C NO-7478/2011 directed the DPC to consider the grievance petition of the petitioners against the vocational and upasastri candidates and their inclusion to the merit list.
7)	16.07.2011	Some of the Intervener-Petitioners also filed W.P. (C) No.18256/2011 again challenging the inclusion of fake vocational and upasastri candidate and steps being taken for engagement. The Hon'ble High Court vide order dtd.15.07.2011 directed to **complete the enquiry and thereafter give appointment.** But in violation of the above

-80- -94-

direction, fake vocational candidates and upasastri candidates are engaged.

8) The DPC by then conducted enquiry and found that a large number of vocational / upasastri candidate have committed fraud in both way by Concealing the fact of their earlier Arts/Commerce/Science pass certificates and by giving false undertaking. Accordingly, many of the fake vocational / upasastri candidates were issued with disengagement notices. Against Disengagement action, fake vocational candidates and upasastri candidates approached the Hon'ble High Court in different writ petitions.

9) The petitioners (in W.P.C No.5077/2014 & others) being the genuine candidates intervened all those cases opposing the fake vocational and Upasastri candidates. On the other hand also filed independent cases praying for engagement as SS.

10) 05.05.2015 All the writ petitions were clubbed together and finally vide order dtd.5.5.2015 were disposed of with a direction to disengage fake / fraud candidates and to engage genuine candidates by recasting the merit. Copy of the order dtd.5.5.2015 passed in W.P. (C) No.5077/2014 (copy enclosed).

11) The **following issues** emerge to be decided

(A) What is **fraud and what is misrepresentation of fact / concealment of fact ?.**

(B) Whether the vocational candidates and upasastri candidates committed any **fraud** in acquiring vocational and upasastri certificates in contravention of the CHSE Act,Regulation and instructions ? or Whether the vocational candidates and upasastri candidates, committed fraud in obtaining engagement as SS by suppressing the earlier fact of prosecuting or passing Arts / Science / Commerce course immediately after passing H.S.C. Examination ?.

(C) Whether the vocational and upasastri candidates have willfully / deliberately concealed/misrepresented the fact to gain contractual engagement of SS in disadvantage to the genuine general candidates (petitioners)?.

Issue No.-A In order to answer, the following **provisions** of Contract Act 1872 is quoted herein below:-

Section – 17 – "Fraud" defined – "Fraud" means and includes **any of the following acts** committed by a party to a contract,

- 61 -

95.

(1) - xxxxxxxxxxxxxx

(2) - **The active concealment of a fact by one having knowledge or belief of the fact.**

(3) - xxxxxxxxxxxxx

(4) Any other act fitted to deceive

Section – 18 – **Misrepresentation** means and includes

(1) - xxxxxxxxxxxxxx

(2) - Any breach of duty which without an intention to deceive, gains an advantage to the person committing it, or anyone claiming under him by misleading another to his prejudice, or to the prejudice of anyone claiming under him.

In view of the aforesaid provision any thing obtained by **concealment of a fact or by misrepresentation of fact either to deceive or to gains an advantage or to the disadvantage of others is amounts to fraud.**

Question No.-B In order to answer the aforesaid question the relevant provisions are quoted below:-

(1) Govt. Resolution dtd.1.10.2011 governing the field at Paragraph-4.6. The engagement will be on an **Annual Contract Basis.**

(2) The provisions of the Orissa Higher Secondary Act 1982.

Section-2 - Definitions

Section-2(i) - "Higher **Secondary Course**" means course "**immediately**" **following the High School Certificate Course and covering a period of two academic years** which is provided for either in a College or a Higher Secondary School and includes Arts, Science, Commerce and Vocational Courses".

Section-2 (J)- "Higher Secondary Education" means such general and Vocational Education forming in itself a complete purposive whole, which **immediately** follows Secondary Education as has been defined in the Orissa Secondary Education Act 1952 and **immediately** "precedes" a stage of education controlled by any university".

Section-30 (2) - The first regulations shall be framed by the Govt.

'~~82~~-96'

ORISSA HIGHER SECONDARY EDUCATION REGULATIONS 1982.

Consists of Chapter -I to V which covers Regulation 1 to 87

ORISSA HIGHER SECONDARY EDUCATION (AMENDMENT) REGULATIONS 1983.

Consists of Chapter -VI to X which covers Regulation 88 to 164

Chapter-VII deals with admission to Higher Secondary Education

Regulation - 106 - **XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX**

Regulation -107 - "Any registered student of the Council may be admitted to the annual examination in Arts, Science, Commerce Courses, if he / she has completed in one or more affiliated institutions, recognized for the purpose of such examinations a regular courses of study, on the subjects in which the candidate wishes to be examined, for not less than two academic years after passing the High School Certificate Examination of the Board of Secondary Education, Orissa, or some other examination recognized by the Council as equivalent thereto (Examinations conducted by the Central Board of Secondary Education, Indian School Certificate Examination and the Board of Secondary Education of other states in India) and has been promoted to the second year class on the basis of the examinations conducted by that institution in the first year".

Regulation -109 (1) - " **No students** shall ordinarily be admitted into an institution **six weeks** after the date of reopening of the institution or from the date of publication of results of the Annual High School Certificate Examination of the Board of Secondary Education, Orissa, whichever is later, provided that the Councils may permit a student two weeks more for admission with a late fees of Rs.10".

Regulation -109 (2) - "A candidate who passes the Supplementary High School Certificate Examination of the Board of Secondary Education, Orissa, may be admitted to an institution

-97-

within **three weeks** after the publication of the results; provided that the Council may extend the date of admission by 10 days with a late fee of Rs.10".

Chapter-VIII

Admission to the Higher Secondary Education in the Vocational Stream

Regulation -122 - XXXXXXXXXXX

Regulation -123 "Any registered student of the Council may be admitted to the Annual Examination in Vocational courses, if he / she completed in any Higher Secondary School / Junior College, recognized by the Council as a Vocational Centre a regular course in a Vocational subject for not less than two academic years after passing the High School Certificate Examination of the Board of Secondary, Orissa or some other examination r4ecognized by the Council as equivalent thereto, and has been promoted to the second year class".

Regulation -124 (1) "No student shall be admitted into an institution six weeks after the date of reopening of the institution or from the date of publication of results of the Annual High School Certificate Examination of the Board of Secondary Education, Orissa, whichever is later provided that the Council may permit a student two weeks more for admission with a late fee of Rs.10".

Regulation -124 (2) "A candidate who passes the supplementary High School Certificate Examination of the Board of Secondary Education, Orissa, may be admitted to an institution within three weeks after the publication of result. Provided that the Council may extend the date of admission by 10 days with a late fee of Rs.10".

< 84 -98 >

Submission in reply to question-B.

(1) The Higher Secondary Course is a two year course, which is **immediately** being followed from High Schools Certificate Courses. The Higher Secondary Course may be general (+2 Arts/ Science/ Commerce) and Vocational.

From Section-2(i) and (j) of the Act, it is clear that the course either general or vocational is to be treated Higher Secondary Course / Higher Secondary Education, which is followed **immediately** from the stage of HSC. Therefore, there cannot be **two courses** at the stage of Higher Secondary Education by any stretch of imagination to be prosecuted **just after** HSC.

Here all the fake vocational and upasastri candidates have prosecuted their vocational and upasastri courses after taking admission to the general courses of +2 Arts/ Science/ Commerce, which was legally impermissible. Therefore all such vocational &Upasastri candidates have **committed fraud** in obtaining either vocational or Upasastri certificates.

(2) The Regulation - 107 deals with admission to general courses +2 Arts/ Science/ Commerce and **Regulation -123 deals** with admission to vocational courses. **Both these regulations envisage admission just after** passing HSC Examination for not less than **two academic year. Both these Regulations** are in consonance with the **Section-2 (i) and (j) of the Act.** In view of the said regulation, the statutory restriction has been envisaged that **no two year course is possible to be followed one after another.**

(3) Apart from Section-2(i) and (j) read with Regulation -107 & 123, the Regulation-109 and 124 are expressly clear by stipulating time statutorily when and how a candidate can take admission either one of the general courses or vocational course. The time stipulation as provided under Regulation-109 for general courses and under Regulation -124 for vocational courses are identical and same so far admission is concerned.

Therefore, reading together the Regulation - 109 and 124 along with the Regulation -107 and 123 with reference to **Section -2 (i) and (j),** the Act and the Regulation -1993 framed by the Government **does not leave any scope for double course or double certificates** by any stretch of imagination rather it clearly imposes restrictions that no admission is possible one after another.

In other words, only one course can be preferred within six weeks from the date of passing HSC Examination. Hence, the aforesaid provisions being statutory nature is mandatorily required to be followed. Any course prosecuted in violation of / deviation of / derogation of the aforesaid provision of the Act & Regulation and thereby obtained certificates deserves no consideration as the certificates obtained by committing fraud stands ifso-facto invalid and illegal.

(4) The fake Vocational/Upasastri writ petitioners in W.P.(C) No.32024/2011, W.P.(C) No.32023/2011, W.P.(C) No.32025/2011, W.P.(C) No.32026/2011, W.P.(C) No.1857/2012, W.P.(C) No.28414/2011, W.P.(C) No.5340/2012 **have never disputed the fact** that they failed or passed with less percentage of marks, again they have prosecuted the alleged vocational courses and upasastri courses by antedating the said admission to the alleged course.

Therefore, the statutory time as stipulated under Regulation -109 and 124 for getting admission either to one of the general course or to vocational course respectively is mandatory in nature. **The certificate obtained by the fake vocational candidates and upasastri candidates dehors the aforesaid provisions of law are not liable to be considered for engagement of any public post.**

Therefore, all the vocational candidates and upasastri candidates have not only **committed fraud** in taking admission to vocational courses by suppressing the fact of earlier prosecution of general courses but also obtained such certificates so as to acquire C.T. qualification and further to get engagement as SS.

< 65 - 100 -

Submission in reply to question-C.

1. As per Clause-4.6 of the Govt. Resolution, Sikshya Sahayak engagement is a contractual engagement.

2. All the vocational and upasastri candidates have willfully and deliberately suppressed/ concealed material facts i.e. the earlier passing of +2 Arts / Science / Commerce.

3. The undertaking given by the vocational and upasastri candidate is very clear to the extent that they have willfully/deliberately given false information, thereby, deliberately misrepresented the facts not only to **gain the post of SS but also in disadvantage to other genuine candidates.**

4. Hence, in view of the admitted fact & definition of fraud and the judgement of the Hon'ble High Court & Hon'ble Supreme Court , they have committed **fraud in Two stages :-**

i) in obtaining vocational / Upasatri certificates

ii) in obtaining contractual engagement as Sikshya Sahayak by giving false undertakings.

Bhadrak

Date :

Advocate for Surekha Samal and others

-101-

BEFORE THE COLLECTOR-CUM-CHIEF EXECUTIVE OFFICER, ZILLA PARISADA, BHADRAK.

MISC. CASE NO.3/2015
(Arising out of W.P. (C) No.5077/2014 along with a batch of cases disposed of on vide common order dtd.5.5.2015).

LIST OF JUDGMENTS relied by the Petitioners
(Surekha Samal and others)

A) An act committed by a party with intent to gain advantage
An act to deceive another.
An act or omission or concealment/ misrepresentation of fact by which a person obtains an advantage against another.
(including in reference to Section-17 of the Contract Act.)

1. 2009 (II) OLR (SC), Page-229, Para-36, 37.

2. AIR 1994 (SC), Page-853, Para-

B) **Fraud &justice never dwell together.**

3. JT 2005(II) (SC), Page-439, Para-15,16 & 18.
4. 2012 (II) OLR, Page-721, Para-7.

C) **Fraud & its Consiquences.**

5. AIR 1996 (SC), Page-686, Para-6.

6. SCALE 2011(9), Page-295, Para-11.

7. AIR 1998 (SC), Page-111, Para-6,7.

8. W.P.C NO-160/2012 disposed of on 09.01.2012 of the Hon,ble High Court in the context of the present nature of case.

by the petitioners
(Surekha Samal and others)

Through Advocate.

-102-

Annexure-12

प्रतिलिपि के लिए आवेदन की तारीख Date of application for the copy.	स्टाम्प और फोलिओ की अपेक्षित संख्या सूचित करने की निश्चित तारीख Date fixed for notifying the requisite number of stamps and folios.	अपेक्षित स्टाम्प और फोलिओ देने की तारीख Date of delivery of the requisite stamps and folios.	तारीख, जबकि देने के लिए प्रतिलिपि तैयार थी Date on which the copy was ready for delivery.	आवेदक को प्रतिलिपि देने की तारीख Date of making over the copy to the applicant.
14-09-015	17-09-015	17-09-015	16-09-015	19-09-015

IN THE COURT OF THE COLLECTOR-CUM-CHIEF EXECUTIVE OFFICER, ZILLA PARISHAD, BHADRAK.

Misc. Case No. 03/2015 arising out of W.P. (C) No.5077 of 2014 and batch of cases disposed of on common vide order dated.05.05.2015

Surekha Samal .....Petitioner

-Vrs-

State of Odisha & Others ..... Opp. Parties.

ORDER

~~21.08.2015~~

With a view to complying with the order dated.05.05.2015 of the Hon'ble High Court in W.P. (C) No.5077 of 2014 along with similar cases clubbed together, this Misc. Case has been taken up at this level. The Hon'ble High Court directed the Collector, Bhadrak to take a decision by taking into consideration the show cause reply along with the enquiry report, after supplying copy of the enquiry report to the petitioners and affording reasonable opportunity of hearing to them. As such adequate opportunity has been provided to the petitioners and others to substantiate their claim with documentary evidence as well as supporting materials on records.

MPARED BY

In brief, the issue involved in this case is three fold. Firstly, the claim of Surekha Samal and others in W.P.(C) No. 5077/2014, 10872/2013,11112/2011 & 21461/2013 and in similar writ petitions that they have not been selected and engaged as Sikshya Sahayak despite the fact that they deserve consideration and engagement in view of the

provisions/terms and conditions advertised during January,2011 under Bhadrak District in place of candidates who have been engaged as Sikshya Sahayak by practising fraud and in contravention of Council of Higher Secondary Education, Odisha Act and regulation. Secondly, the claim of the petitioners in W.P. (C) No.32023/2011, 32027/2011, 32028/2011, 32026/2011 and other similar writ petitions who were issued with show-cause notice continuing as Sikshya Sahayak, on the ground that they have been engaged suppressing the facts is that they have neither violated any rule or regulation of the Council of Higher Secondary Education, Odisha nor have they committed any fraud in submitting the Vocational/Upasastri pass certificates as alleged by Surekha Samal and others. Thirdly, the claim of some of the petitioners in W.P. (C) No.539/2013, 597/2013, 597/2013 is that they have not been selected and engaged as Sikshya Sahayak and their candidature have been rejected by the District Project Co-ordinator, SSA, Bhadrak pursuant to the advertisement published during December, 2011 though they are entitled to get engagement order for the post of Sikshya Sahayak by virtue of their Vocational/Upasastri certificates.

COMPARED BY

The learned advocate for Surekha Samal and others (petitioners in W.P.(C) No.5077 of 2014 & others) Mr. M.K.Sahoo submits that, the candidates having Vocational/Upashastri qualification have been engaged as Sikshya Sahayak pursuant to the advertisement No.243

-70- -104-



प्रतिलिपि के लिए आवेदन की तारीख Date of application for the copy.	स्टाम्प और फोलिओ की अपेक्षित संख्या सूचित करने की निश्चित तारीख Date fixed for notifying the requisite number of stamps and folios.	अपेक्षित स्टाम्प और फोलिओ देने की तारीख Date of delivery of the requisite stamps and folios.	तारीख, जबकि देने के लिए प्रतिलिपि तैयार थी Date on which the copy was ready for delivery.	आवेदक को प्रतिलिपि देने की तारीख Date of making over the copy to the applicant.

dated.22.01.2011 of the District Project Co-ordinator, SSA, Bhadrak suppressing the facts that they have passed earlier +2 Science/Arts/Commerce Examination conducted by the Council of Higher Secondary Education, Orissa, Bhubaneswar which goes against the guidelines/resolution of the Council. They are all fake candidates and by committing fraud and in concealment/misrepresentation of fact, they have applied for the post of Sikshya Sahayak. He also state that, pursuant to the order of the Hon'ble High Court in W.P. (C) No. 7478 of 2011 and 18256 of 2011, the then D.P.C, SSA, Bhadrak conducted enquiry and found that a large number of candidates having vocational/upasastri qualification have committed fraud in both way by concealing the fact of their earlier +2 Arts/Science/Commerce pass certificates and by giving false undertaking to the effect that they have not passed +2 Arts/Science/Commerce examination or equivalent examination from CHSE, Odisha or from equivalent Council/Board/University before appearing at the vocational/upasastri course examination at the time of receipt of engagement order. Accordingly many of the fake vocational/upasastri candidates were issued with disengagement notices and against such action of the DPC, SSA, Bhadrak; the Vocational/Upashastri candidates approached the Hon'ble High Court in different writ petitions. The petitioners in W.P. (C) No.5077 of 2014 and others being the genuine candidates intervened all those cases opposing

COMPARED BY

-7+-

-105-

the fake Vocational and Upashastri candidates and praying for engagement as Sikshya Sahayak. To clarify the meaning of fraud and misrepresentation/concealment of facts they cited the provisions of Contract Act, 1872 that fraud means the active concealment of a fact by one having knowledge or belief of the fact or any other act fitted to deceive. As per said Act the meaning of misrepresentation that, any breach of duty which without an intention to deceive, gain an advantage to the person committing it or any one claiming under him by misleading another to his prejudice or to the prejudice of anyone claiming under him. In view of the said provision anything obtained by concealment of a fact or by misrepresentation of fact either to deceive or to gains an advantage or to the disadvantage of others is amount fraud. He submits that, as per provisions of the Odisha Higher Secondary Act, 1982, Higher Secondary course means course immediately following the High School Certificate course and covering a period of two academic years which is provided for either in a college or a Higher Secondary school and includes Art, Science, Commerce and Vocational courses. Higher Secondary Education means such general and vocational education forming in itself a complete purposive whole, which immediately follows secondary education as has been defined in the Orissa Secondary Education Act, 1952 and immediately precedes a stage of education controlled by any university. He also cited some points of the Orissa Higher Secondary Education

COMPARED BY

72-106-



प्रतिलिपि के लिए आवेदन की तारीख Date of application for the copy	स्टाम्प और फोलिओ की अपेक्षित संख्या सूचित करने की निश्चित तारीख Date fixed for notifying the requisite number of stamps and folios.	अपेक्षित स्टाम्प और फोलिओ देने की तारीख Date of delivery of the requisite stamps and folios.	तारीख, जबकि देने के लिए प्रतिलिपि तैयार थी Date on which the copy was ready for delivery.	आवेदक को प्रतिलिपि देने की तारीख Date of making over the copy to the applicant.

Regulations, 1982. As per regulation-107, any registered student of the council may be admitted to the annual examination in Arts,Science,Commerce courses, if he/she has completed in one or more affiliated institutions, recognized for the purpose of such examinations a regular courses of study, on the subjects in which the candidates wishes to be examined, for not less than two academic years after passing the High School Certificate examination conducted by the Board of Secondary Education, Orissa or some other examination recognized by the council as equivalent thereto and has been promoted to the second year class on the basis of the examinations conducted by that institution in the first year. As per regulation-109(1), no students shall ordinarily be admitted into an institution six weeks after the date of reopening of the institution or from the date of publication of results of the annual High School Certificate Examination of the Board of Secondary Education, Orissa whichever is later, provided that the council may permit a student two weeks more for admission with a late fees of Rs.10/- and regulation-109(2) says, a candidate who passes the supplementary High School Certificate examination of the Board of Secondary Education, Orissa may be admitted to an institution within three weeks after the publication of the results, provided that the council may extend the date of admission by 10 days with a late fee of Rs.10/-. Further he states that, as per Chapter-VIII(regulation-122), any registered student of the council may

COMPARED BY

-107-

be admitted to the annual examination in vocational courses, if he/she completed in any Higher Secondary School/Junior College recognized by the council as a vocational centre a regular course in a vocational subject for not less than two academic years after passing the High School Certificate Examination of the Board of Secondary Education, Orissa or some other examination recognized by the council as equivalent thereto and has been promoted to the second year class.

Further he submits that as per Section-2(i) and (j) of the said Act, it is clear that the course either general or vocational is to be treated Higher Secondary course/Higher Secondary education which is followed immediately from the stage of HSC. Therefore there cannot be two courses at the stage of Higher Secondary Education by any stretch of imagination to be prosecuted just after HSC. In the instant case all the fake vocational and upasastri candidates have prosecuted their vocational and upasastri courses after taking admission to the general courses of +2 Arts/Science/Commerce which was legally impermissible. Therefore all such vocational and upasastri candidates have committed fraud in obtaining either vocational or upasastri certificates. Therefore all the vocational/upashastri candidates have not only committed in taking admission to vocational/upashastri courses by suppressing the fact of earlier prosecution of general courses but also obtained such certificates so as to acquire C.T. qualification and further to get engagement as

-108-



प्रतिलिपि के लिए आवेदन की तारीख Date of application for the copy.	स्टाम्प और फोलिओ की अपेक्षित संख्या सूचित करने की निश्चित तारीख Date fixed for notifying the requisite number of stamps and folios.	अपेक्षित स्टाम्प और फोलिओ देने की तारीख Date of delivery of the requisite stamps and folios.	तारीख, जबकि देने के लिए प्रतिलिपि तैयार थी Date on which the copy was ready for delivery.	आवेदक को प्रतिलिपि देने की तारीख Date of making over the copy to the applicant.

Sikshya Sahayak. Hence, their engagement should be terminated and FIR should be lodged against them and they are not allowed to receive their salary and they should be ousted from their Sikshya Sahayak posts and the deserving candidates like the petitioners in W.P. (C) No.5077/2014 and similar writ petitions should be engaged in the said posts.

The learned advocate for the petitioners in W.P. (C) No.32023 of 2011 and others similar writ petitions Mr. R.N.Mishra submits that, the petitioners in aforesaid writ petitions have neither violated any rule or regulation of the CHSE nor have they committed any fraud in submitting the Vocational/Upasastri pass certificates as alleged by Surekha Samal and others. He also states that, as per the Black's law dictionary fraud means, (i) a knowing misrepresentation of knowing concealment of a material fact made to induce another to act to his or her detriment and (ii) a reckless misrepresentation made without justified believe in its truth to induce another person to act." As per chambers 20th century dictionary fraud means deceit, imposture, a cheat. As per the major law lexicon (4th edition) fraud means and includes any of the following acts committed by a party to a contract or with his connivance, or by his agent, with intent to deceive another party thereto or his agent, or to induce him to enter into the contract:- (1) the suggestion, as a fact, of that which is not true, by one who does not believe it to be true,(2) the active concealment of a fact by one having knowledge or belief of the fact,

COMPARED BY

-109-

(3) a promise made without any intention or performing it, (4) any other act fitted to deceive, (5) any such act or omission as the law specially declares to be fraudulent. Under the aforesaid premises the allegations against the vocational/upashastri candidates have to be considered in light of the aforesaid dictums and the law. He submits that there was no such prohibition to have both +2 Arts/Science and +2 vocational qualifications from CHSE. All those documents now forthcoming and filed by the petitioners in W.P.(C) No.5077/14 and other similar writ petitions either in the writ petition or before this Hon'ble forum are of the year 2011. But none of those letters or information obtain through RTI Act were in force at the relevant time when the vocational/upashastri candidates acquired the qualifications. Further all those letters or informations now relied upon by them giving the statement that one cannot have both +2 Arts/Science and +2 vocational qualification from the CHSE, Odisha. Besides that as it may once the vocational/upashastri candidates acquired the qualification much prior to issuance of those letters or instructions, those letters or instructions could not have any retrospective operation or affect. Hence it is incorrect to allege that the vocational/upashastri candidates have practiced fraud in the matter of engagement as Siskhya Sahayak. He also submits that in pursuance of the order of the Hon'ble High Court in W.P.(C) No.6452/2011 the candidates having vocational qualification were treated at par and the students having +2 Arts/Science,

COMPARED BY

70-110



प्रतिलिपि के लिए आवेदन की तारीख Date of application for the copy	स्टाम्प और फोलिओ की अपेक्षित संख्या सूचित करने की निश्चित तारीख Date fixed for notifying the requisite number of stamps and folios.	अपेक्षित स्टाम्प और फोलिओ देने की तारीख Date of delivery of the requisite stamps and folios.	तारीख, जबकि देने के लिए प्रतिलिपि तैयार थी Date on which the copy was ready for delivery.	आवेदक को प्रतिलिपि देने की तारीख Date of making over the copy to the applicant.

As such said candidates were allowed to apply for the post of SS. From the aforesaid facts it is crystal clear that there is no illegality or irregularity committed by those candidates having both +2 Arts/Science and +2 vocational qualifications from the CHSE. The objectors are put to strict proof. In this matter he also cited the order of the Hon'ble High Court in the case of Dr. Pranaya Ballari Mohanty-vrs-Utkal University and others reported in 2014(I) OLR- 226. He also submits that in the case of Guru Nanak Dev University-vrs-Sanjaya Kumar Karwal and other reported in 2009(I) SCC 610 it has been settled by the Hon'ble Apex Court that only the examining body, i.e. the University has the right to withdraw or cancel a certificate. In the instant case till date the certificates issued in favour of the vocational/upashastri candidates by the appropriate authorities have not yet been cancelled or recalled by the examining body, i.e. the CHSE. So, this Hon'ble forum cannot declare the certificate issued to the vocational/upashastri candidates as illegal or void. Therefore this forum has no authority or jurisdiction to declare such certificate as null and void. It is the admitted fact that the petitioners were duly issued with the certificates by the competent authority, i.e. the CHSE; therefore there is no question of fraud in the matter of issuance of certificates. Hence their selection as Sikshya Sahayaks cannot be faulted with and accordingly it needs no interference. He also submitted that if the certificates are wrongly issued in favour of such category of

COMPARED BY

-11-

candidates then also it cannot be recalled or cancelled by CHSE,Odisha now after about eight to ten years, moreover when all the candidates changed their position by virtue of such certificate and settled in their life. The claim of the vocational/upasastri candidates have been crystallized and the certificates so issued in their favour cannot be cancelled or recalled in view of the settle position of law laid down in the case of Dr. Pranaya Ballari Mohanty(supra). The judgement of Dr. Pranaya Ballari Mohanty was challenged in WA No. 30 of 2014 before the Division Bench of the Hon'ble High Court but it was dismissed. So the judgement reached its finality. He also submits that the Clause-2(I) of CHSE regulation never prohibits second +2 qualification for that this Hon'ble forum in the case of Smt. Abanti Panda in Misc. Case No.01/2011 has held a candidate having +2 vocational and +2 Arts qualification prior to 2011 cannot be debarred for selection to the post of GRS and an another order passed by this Hon'ble Forum vide order No.534 dated.04.04.2015 pursuant to the order passed in O.A.No.179(c)/2015- Ratikanta Das-vrs-Collector, Bhadrak and others regarding the engagement in the post of Amin, because there was no bar at the relevant time when the candidate acquired the qualification and this Hon'ble forum or the Hon'ble Collector has no authority to cancel any certificate issued by the competent authority. He submitted that the undertaking taken from the vocational/upashastri candidates cannot be used against them as it was

MPARED BY



प्रतिलिपि के लिए आवेदन की तारीख Date of application for the copy.	स्टाम्प और फोलिओ की अपेक्षित संख्या सूचित करने की निश्चित तारीख Date fixed for notifying the requisite number of stamps and folios.	अपेक्षित स्टाम्प और फोलिओ देने की तारीख Date of delivery of the requisite stamps and folios.	तारीख, जबकि देने के लिए प्रतिलिपि तैयार थी Date on which the copy was ready for delivery.	आवेदक को प्रतिलिपि देने की तारीख Date of making over the copy to the applicant.

taken on coercion. The employee and employer relationship is that a Lamb & Lion relationship. The poor employee cannot have any bargain power before employer. Unless such candidates have given any undertaking they could not have given engagement. So such undertaking is redundant. Finally, he submits that for the reasons stated above the show cause notice issued to the vocational/upasastri candidates are unsustainable in law and therefore the complain petitions filed against them are also vague and baseless. Therefore the same be set aside and the Sikshya Sahayaks having vocational/upashastri qualification be allowed to continue in service with all consequential benefits.

Perused the order of the Hon'ble High Court, WNA submitted by the advocates and official records submitted by the District Project Co-ordinator, SSA, Bhadrak. Heard the advocates previous dates ~~as well as today~~. It is a fact that, some of the candidates were recruited to the post of Shikhya Sahayaks (during the year 2011) on the basis of +2 Vocational certificate which is equivalent to +2 Arts/Science/Commerce certificate prescribed by the Council of Higher Secondary Education, Odisha. These vocational certificates were obtained much prior to the recruitment around 2006,2007.....

COMPARED BY

Here two things are very crucial for the entire issue. (i) The students obtaining the Vocational certificates after completing +2 ARTS/Science/Commerce or concurrently having two

-113-

courses and obtaining certificates. But their certificates have not been withdrawn or cancelled by the competent authorities.

(ii) Secondly, it is the prerogative of the Examination conducting authority to cancel or withdraw the certificates issued by them and this Court has no jurisdiction to pass any comment on that.

It is very common in human nature when one has two certificates having equal importance he/she is most likely to produce the one which will suit the best. The restriction imposed by the CHSE, Odisha on this issue came in the year, 2011 and the students appeared their Vocational education much prior to that. Had it been any procedural deficiencies the recruiting authority or the Certificate Issuing Authority should have taken appropriate steps in the form of not allowing the candidates to appear in the examination or withdrawing their certificates.

COMPARED BY

It is a fact that, the successful candidates have given an undertaking that they have not passed the +2 ARTS/Science/Commerce stream prior to the Vocational Course. But subsequent arguments and production of documents show that this is not correct and there is suppression of facts. But this is not enough to disqualify the successful candidates and declare their recruitment as void.

Every Govt. Servant/Employee/Job Holder of this country is considered to be a privileged person. If one gets a Govt. Job/Service and

-80-114-



प्रतिलिपि के लिए आवेदन की तारीख Date of application for the copy.	स्टाम्प और फोलिओ की अपेक्षित संख्या सूचित करने की निश्चित तारीख Date fixed for notifying the requisite number of stamps and folios.	अपेक्षित स्टाम्प और फोलिओ देने की तारीख Date of delivery of the requisite stamps and folios.	तारीख, जबकि देने के लिए प्रतिलिपि तैयार थी Date on which the copy was ready for delivery.	आवेदक को प्रतिलिपि देने की तारीख Date of making over the copy to the applicant.

thereby secures his daily bread it is like enjoying the early dawn after coming out of the perpetual groom. When he/she comes so nearer to get a Govt. job/service, it is very natural that he/she has not smart enough to declare the true facts.

These Shikhya Sahayaks have already put in around 4 to 5 years of service till date. On the basis of the analysis made in the foregoing paras, this Court is not inclined to interfere in the recruitment of Shikhya Sahayaks made in the year 2011.

This is pronounced in the open Court today i.e. on 21.08.2015

COMPARED BY

COLLECTOR-CUM-CHIEF EXECUTIVE OFFICER,
ZILLA PARISHAD, BHADRAK

Khagendra Madhai
HEAD CLERK
RECORD ROOM
Collectorate, Bhadrak
15.9.15

Deputy Collector
Record Room, Collectorate,
Bhadrak

Deputy Collector
Record Room
Collectorate
Bhadrak

-81-

-115-

Annexure-13 series

BY REGD. POST

COUNCIL OF HIGHER SECONDARY EDUCATION: ORISSA
PRAJNAPITHA: SAMANTAPUR: BHUBANESWAR: -13

...

No. 3920 /CHSE(O)., Dt: 13/6/11
RTI-157/11

From

Dr.Gopa Ranjan Mishra,
Public Information Officer,
C.H.S.E.,Orissa,Bhubaneswar.

To

District Project Co-ordinator,
S.S.A.,Bhadrak.

Sub:-Supply of information under RTI Act.
Ref:-Your letter No.6387 dt.31.05.2011.

Sir,

With reference to your letter on the subject cited above, I am to say that the letter No.3142 dt.04.05.2011, No.3630 dt.27.05.2011 and No.3669 dt.31.05.2011 which were sent to the applicants are authentic.

This is for your kind information.

Yours faithfully,

Public Information Officer.
13.6.11

KA/-

Attested

District Project Co-ordinator
S. S. A., Bhadrak

-82-116-

BY HAND

COUNCIL OF HIGHER SECONDARY EDUCATION, ORISSA
PRAJNAPITHA: SAMANTAPUR: BHUBANESWAR-13

No. 3142 /CHSE(O)., Dt. 4/5/11
RTI-95/11

From

Dr.Gopa Ranjan Mishra,
Public Information Officer,
C.H.S.E.,Orissa,Bhubaneswar.

To

Sri Ramakanta Dash,
C/o:-Late Jogendra Dash,
At/Po-Arandua,
Via:-Basudevpur,
Dist:-Bhadrak

Sub:-Supply of information under RTI Act.
Ref:-Your application under RTI dt.16.04.2011

Sir,

With reference to your application under RTI Act dt.16.04.2011 on the subject cited above, I am to say that you have applied under RTI Act to get the information regarding whether after passing +2 Arts/Science and Commerce course a student can appear +2 Vocational stream or not. As per rule 2(i) of the C.H.S.E. Act and Regulation 1982, Higher Secondary Course means course immediately following the High School Certificate Course and covering a period of two academic years which is provided for either in college or a Higher Secondary School and includes Arts,Science, Commerce and Vocational courses. If a student appears and qualifies in H.S.Examination in Arts,Science and Commerce stream successfully under any recognised institution he/she [redacted] admission again in vocational stream of Higher Secondary Course.

This is for your kind information.

Yours faithfully,

Public Information Officer.

Attested

KA/-

Project Co-ordinator
S. S. A., Bhadrak

-~~89~~-117-

By Hand

COUNCIL OF HIGHER SECONDARY EDUCATION:ORISSA
PRAJNAPITHA SAMANTAPUR,BHUBANESWAR:13
-0-

No. 36220 /CHSE, Dt. 27/5/11
RTI.123/11

From,

Dr.Gopa Ranjan Mishra,
Public Information Officer.

To

Ramakanta Sahoo,
C/o-Mahendra Prasad Sahoo,
At- Kalidaspur,
Po- Narasinghapur,
PS- Basudevpur,
Dist-Bhadrak.

Sub:- Supply of information under RTI Act.

Ref:- Your application dt. 4.5.11.

Sir,

With reference to your application dt.4.5.2011 on the subject cited above, I am to say that, you have applied under RTI Act regarding admission procedure of a student. If a student takes admission in another College in 1st year having been failed in Annual HS Exam. conducted by the Council, the C.L.C from the 1st College needs to be released and required to be submitted in second College at the time of admission .

This is for your kind information.

Yours faithfully,

Public Information Officer.
27.5.11

G/

Project Co-ordinator
S. S. A., Bhadrak

By hand

COUNCIL OF HIGHER SECONDARY EDUCATION: ORISSA
PRANGYAPITHA: SAMANTAPUR: BHUBANESWAR-13

...

No.RTI.24/11 CHSE(O), dt. 31/5/11

From:-

Dr Gopa Ranjan Mishra,
Public Information Officer
CHSE(O), Bhubaneswar.

To
Ramakanta Sahoo,
C/o-Mahendra Prasad Sahoo
At-Kalidaspur, Po-Narasinghpur
Dist-Bhadrak.

Sub:- Supply of information under RTI Act.
Ref:- Your application dt.4.5.2011.

Sir,
With reference to your application dt.4.5.11 on the subject cited above, I am to say that you have applied under RTI Act to know whether a student can have two Registration Number. A student cannot have two Registration Number. In case a student fails in the A.H.S.Examination conducted by the Council and taken admission changing his stream he will get a new Registration Number as surrender of old registration number.

This for your kind information.

Yours faithfully,

Public Information Officer
28.5.11

Attested

True Copy Attested

Advocate

GCB

Asst Project Co-ordinator
S. S. A., Bhadrak

-119- ANNEXURE-2

IN THE HIGH COURT OF ORISSA: CUTTACK

W.P.(C) No. 720 of 2016

Jayantilata Sahoo and another... Petitioners

-VERSUS-

State of Odisha and others ... Opposite Parties

INDEX

Sl. No.		Description of documents	Pages
1.		Preliminary Counter affidavit by opposite parties 4 and 5.	1- 22
2.	Annexure-A/4	A copy of the advertisement dated 22.01.2011	23 - 26
3.	Annexure-B/4	A copy of the letter dated 15.02.2011	27
4.	Annexure-C/4	A copy of the letter dated 12.02.2010	28
5.	Annexure-D/4	Copies of the orders dated 03.05.2018	29 - 40

By the opposite parties 4 and 5 through

Cuttack

Dt. 12.2023 ADVOCATE

SHRI DURGESH NARAYAN RATH
ENROLMENT No.1650/2000
MOB:-9861041020

- 120 -

IN THE HIGH COURT OF ORISSA: CUTTACK

W.P.(C) No. 720 of 2016

Jayantilata Sahoo and another... Petitioners

-VERSUS-

State of Odisha and others ... Opposite Parties

COUNTER AFFIDAVIT FILED BY OPPOSITE PARTIES 4 and 5

I, Lizasmita Nayak, aged about 36 years, D/o-Kartik Chandra Nayak, At-Bachhada, P.O-Jagannathpur Bachhada, Dist-Bhadrak, do hereby solemnly affirm and state as follows:-

1. That I am the opposite party no.4 in this writ application. The present opposite parties 4 to 5 have has gone through the writ petition and understood the contents made therein. I have been authorized by opposite party nos. 4 and 5 to swear this affidavit.

2. That the petitioners in the above writ application has challenged the order under Annexure-12 passed by the Collector-cum-Chief

-121-

Executive Magistrate, opposite party no.2 rejecting the claim of the petitioners on the ground that since the certificate which was issued by the competent authority in favour of opposite parties 4 and 5 have not been cancelled and is valid till to date, at that circumstances, no decision can be taken with regard to illegal selection of opposite party nos. 4 and 5 for the post of Sikshya Sahayak.

3. That it is respectfully submitted that the deponent has gone through the writ application filed by the petitioners. As it is understood by the deponent, the entire case of the present petitioners is that present opposite party nos. 4 and 5, who were appointed as Sikshya Sahayaks by giving certificate +2 vocational, even though they had passed +2 CHSE Examination, therefore, since they were selected on the basis of the marks secured in +2 vocational, when they have already passed +2 CHSE examination, therefore, appearing in +2 vocational is illegal and by giving the said certificate, since they were selected, their

-122-

selection is to be declared as illegal. Earlier they had approached before this Hon'ble Court and this Hon'ble Court clubbed all the writ petitions together along with W.P.(C) No. 5077 of 2014 and directed opposite party no.2 to take a decision and accordingly, opposite party no.2 has taken a decision rejecting their claim, the petitioners prayed for quashing of the same and also prayed to re-cast the merit list by excluding the vocational and upasastri candidates those have obtained engagement by suppressing the material facts as described above and thereafter necessary direction be made to engage the petitioners as Sikshya Sahayak with all service benefit at par with others notionally. Therefore, the essence of the writ petition, as it is understood by the opposite parties 4 and 5 that according to the petitioners, since the opposite parties 4 and 5 were illegally selected, their selection is to be declared illegal and the petitioner is to be selected.

-123-

4. That it is respectfully submitted that without giving reply to each and every paragraph of the writ petition and denying the same to be true and reserving their right to give reply if necessary at the time of hearing of the case, the opposite parties 4 and 5 submit herewith that an advertisement was published on 22.01.2011 in pursuant to the State Government Resolution dated 10.01.2011, inviting applications for the post of Sikshya Sahayaks. The qualification required as per the advertisement at Clause-2 (a) is +2 qualification or its equivalent qualification. It was also required to pass C.T. qualification. For better appreciation of the case, a copy of the advertisement dated 22.01.2011 is annexed to the counter affidavit as **Annexure-A/4**.

5. That it is respectfully submitted that pursuant to the said advertisement, opposite parties 4 and 5 applied for the post of Sikshya Sahayak and accordingly they were selected. It is also further submitted that the opposite parties 4 and 5 appeared in +2 CHSE Examination in the

-124-

year 2005 and 2006 respectively and successfully passed the said examination. While the matter stood thus, they again took admission in +2 Vocational course. Subsequently they also passed +2 Vocational Course in the year 2006 and 2007 respectively. By submitting the vocational certificate and mark sheet they applied for the post of Sikshya Sahayak as per the advertisement. But their cases were not considered by the authority, even though the advertisement provided that the candidates having +2 and equivalent will be eligible for consideration for the post of Sikshya Sahayak. The CHSE passed an order on 15.02.2011 indicating therein clarification regarding equivalency of Higher Secondary Education by the CHSE Orissa, Bhubaneswar stating inter alia that as per the proceeding of the academic committee counsel held on 11.01.2010 and duly approved general body council in its meeting dated 13.01.2010 the followings are the criteria for deciding equivalent to different stream of higher secondary education. Higher Secondary vocational education having the subject as

- 125 -

mentioned below, i.e. Histrory, Political Science are equivalent to Arts Steam of the Higher Secondary Education. For better appreciation of the case, a copy of the letter dated 15.02.2011 is annexed to the counter affidavit as **Annexure-B/4**.

6. That it is respectfully submitted that the Secretary, Council of Higher Secondary Education wrote a letter to the District Project Coordinator, Bhadrak regarding clarification related to vocational and upasastri examination indicating therein that the vocational examination is equivalent to +2 Arts and Commerce stream of the Council and the Upasastri Examination conducted by the Jagannath Sanskrit Viswa Vidyalaya Puri is also equivalent to the council. A copy of the letter dated 12.02.2010 is annexed to the counter affidavit as **Annexure-C/4**.

7. That it is respectfully submitted that since the Council has declared that +2 examination under vocational course is equivalent to CHSE

-126-

examination and the opposite parties 4 and 5 submitted the vocational qualification certificate at the time of selection, their case was considered and engagement orders were issued in favour of the respondents 4 and 5 vide engagement orders dated 30.04.2011. From that date the opposite parties 4 and 5 are continuing in the said post. Subsequently they were made regularized as regular primary school teacher with effect from 02.05.2017 and 30.04.2017 respectively. For better appreciation of the case, copies of the orders dated 03.05.2018 indicating therein that the opposite parties 4 and 5 have already been made regularized as regular primary school teachers after completing six years as Junior Teacher and Sikhya Sahayak taken together are annexed to Counter affidavit as **Annexure-D/4 series**.

8. That it is respectfully submitted that some persons, those who are not selected as Sikshya Sahayaks made objection that when the persons have already passed +2 CHSE examination, they

- 127 -

cannot appear in +2 Vocational Course, therefore, subsequent appearance in the examination is illegal in view of the regulation of the council. Therefore, their selection are to be declared illegal. The petitioners relied that as per Clause 2 (i) of the CHSE regulation, a person cannot appar in the vocational course after completing the course in annual CHSE examination and accordingly the writ petition was filed by some of the persons bearing W.P.(C) No. 5077 of 2014, 10872 of 2013, 11112 of 2011 and 21461 of 2013. All the writ petitions were taken up by this Hon'ble Court and disposed of on 05.05.2015 directing the opposite party no.2 to take a decision with regard to the grievance of the present petitioners. Accordingly, the opposite party no.2 allowed the petitioners as well as thc present opposite parties 4 and 5 to participate in the hearing process. Accordingly, thc present opposite parties 4 and 5 submitted their statement. The entire stand of the present opposite parties 4 and 5 before thc opposite party no.2 that since the allegation was made with regard to fraud, as per

-128-

the Black Law Dictionary, fraud means a knowing concealment of the material facts made to induce another to act to his or her detriment. It was also stated before the opposite party no.2, that the allegation made against the opposite parties 4 and 5 that vocational Upasastri candidates have to be considered in the light of the aforesaid dictom and law. They submitted that there is no such provision to have both +2 Arts/ Science and +2 Vocational qualification from CHSE. The documents which were relied on by the petitioners by obtaining under RTI Act are of the year 2011. So since by the time if any restriction is given by the council in the year 2011, the opposite parties 4 and 5 have already completed their course since 2006 and 2007 respectively. Therefore, the document and or the order in 2011 cannot have any retrospective applicability to give any restriction for appearing in the examination. It was also stated that none of the documents of 2011 was there at the relevant point of time when the opposite parties appeared in the vocational/upasastri examination in order to

-129-

acquire the qualification. It is the case of the opposite parties 4 and 5 that in view of the judgment of this Hon'ble Court in W.P.(C) No. 6452 of 2011 the +2 Arts/Science was treated at par with the qualification of the vocational. This Hon'ble Court in W.P.(C) No. 6452 of 2011 observed that the cases of the candidates, who have completed +2 Vocational course with C.T. training shall be considered by the Collector-cum-CEOs, Zilla Parishad as per the instruction given by the Department of School & Mass Education Department and the provisional list of selected candidates already published shall be revised accordingly after considering the case of the opposite parties 4 and 5 and other candidates situated similarly. For better appreciation of the case, the order dated 18.03.2011 passed by this Hon'ble Court in W.P.(C) No. 6452 of 2011 is quoted hereunder.

W.P.(C) No. 6452 of 2011

18.03.2011 *Heard*

The sole grievance of the petitioners in this writ application is that they made applications pursuant to an

-130-

advertisement for being appointed as Sikshya Sahayak for which the eligibility qualification is Arts/Science/Commerce with Certified Teachers Training. The petitioners have completed 2 Vocational Courses from the Council of Higher Secondary Education. which is not being treated equivalent to Arts/ Science/Commerce in the District of Bhadrak though the CHSE has treated the same lo be equivalent to +2.

Mr. KK. Rath. learned counsel appearing for the School und Mass Education Department submits that he has obtained instruction that the +2 Vocational Course be treated as equivalent to +2 Arts/Science/Commerce and there was no impediment for considering the cases of the petitioners for appointment as Sikshya Sahayuk. He also produces a written instruction received by him that the Government in its Department of School and Mass Education has issued a letter dated 09.04.2011 as well as 17.03.2011 to all Collectors-cum-CEOs. Zilla Parishad in respect of equivalence of +2 Vocational

HIGH COURT OF ORISSA
FILED
13
APR 2024
REGISTRAR (JUDICIAL)

courses. In spite of this the case of the Vocational C.T. pass candidates have not been taken into consideration while preparing the draft merit list as well as many candidates have filed writ petitions before this Court and in the present writ petition, this Court has directed to consider the case of the petitioners, The Collector- Cum-CEOs of different Districts have therefore been requested to revise the draft merit list immediately after taking into account the candidates, who have passed +2 Vocational course with CT under intimation to the Department.

Mr. Das. learned counsel for the petitioners submits that in the meantime a provisional list of selected candidates have been published without considering the cases of the petitioners. In this view of the matter all the pending Misc. Cases as well as the writ petition is disposed of directing that the Cases of the petitioners/candidates, who have completed +2 Vocational course with CT. Training shall be considered by the Collectors-cum-CEOs, Zilla Parishad as

-132-

per the instruction given by the Department of School and Mass Education Department and the provisional list of selected candidates already published shall be revised accordingly after considering the cases of the petitioners and other candidates situated similarly. Fresh provisional merit lists of selected candidates shall be published. Since there are many similar matters pending and all the Collectors-cum-CEOs have been directed lo revise such provisional list of selected candidates, this order shall apply to the entire process of selection in the State. The interim order passed earlier stands Vacated.

A copy of this order be handed over to Mr. K.K.Rath, learned Counsel for the school and Mass Education Department.

Urgent certified copy of this order be granted on proper application.

Sd/- M.M. Das, J."

9. That it is respectfully submitted that the ground of the opposite party nos. 4 and 5 before the opposite party no.2 at the time of hearing that

-135-

the certificate which was issued by the competent authority, i.e. CHSE has not been disputed till to date. Therefore, the certificate which was issued and not yet been cancelled or recalled by the examining body, the Hon'ble forum, i.e. the opposite party no. 2 cannot declare the certificate issued to the vocational and upasastri candidates as illegal and void one. It is also submitted that if the certificates have been wrongly issued in favour of such category of candidates, then also it cannot be recalled/ cancelled by the CHSE after about 10 years, when all candidates have changed their position by virtue of such certificates and settled in their life.

10. That it is respectfully submitted that since council regulation does not have any restriction or any prohibition to appear in the 2nd +2 qualification, therefore, there is no illegality or irregularities committed by the opposite parties 4 and 5 by appearing the +2 Vocational Examination after completing +2 CHSE Examination. Therefore, by considering all the

-134-

grounds of the opposite party nos. 4 and 5, the opposite party no.2 had taken a right decision that since the opposite party nos. 4 and 5 appeared in the examination before any restriction was there by the authority in the year 2011 and more so, there was no restriction in the Council Regulation at the time when the opposite party nos. 4 and 5 appeared in the +2 Vocational examination, and lastly when the certificate has not yet been cancelled/ recalled by the CHSE, therefore, by taking into consideration all these aspects, the oppsotie party no.2 came to a conclusion that the selection made in favour of the opposite parties 4 and 5 cannot be declared illegal and accordingly rejected the case of the petitioners, which is challenged in the present writ petition.

11. That it is respectfully submitted that this Hon'ble Court has also decided in the case of one ***Basudev Guru v. State of Odisha and others*** (W.P.(C) No. 16810 of 2016 disposed of on 18.12.2018), where the similar questions had come up for consideration, such as

-135-

(i) After passing +2 H.S. examinations in any stream one cannot pursue +2 H.S. studies in an other stream including vocational stream. The student passed in vocational stream cannot appear at the +2 H.S. examinations again;

(ii) if a candidate fails in +2 H.S. examinations, she/he can pursue +2 H.S. course in any other stream and can appear at the +2 H.S. examinations after completion of the said course in that stream; and

(iii) since a student can pass the +2 H.S. course only once, if anybody has pursued and passed the 2nd +2 H.S. course fraudulently, the 2nd course certificate is to be rejected."

While dealing with these three questions, this Hon'ble Court decided at paragraph-15 that a bare reading of the above clause would go to show that a student can pass the +2 H.S examination only once, if he/she has pursued and passed the 2nd +2 H.S. course fraudulently, the

136

second course certificate is to be rejected. What constituted "fraud" has not been clarified. More so, acquisition of subsequent +2 H.S. qualification in vocational stream cannot be construed to be fraudulent acquisition of qualification. It does not confine any meaning whether acquisition of vocational qualification after +2 Arts/ Science/ Commerce is construed to be a second +2 H.S. course obtained fraudulently. Therefore, the question of acquisition of vocational qualification, after +2 H.S. course, cannot be construed to be fraudulent one. Thereby, the Council has misconstrued this fact and issued such letter, which cannot sustain in the eye of law.

This Hon'ble Court finally decided that the petitioners, who have acquired vocational qualification, shall be treated as genuine and on that basis they shall be eligible to be considered for selection to the post of Livestock Inspector and other future service prospects.

-137-

12. That it is respectfully submitted that the above judgment was passed by this Hon'ble Court relying on the decision in the case of ***Dr. (Smt.) Pranaya Ballari Mohanty v. Utkal University and others***, where this Hon'ble Court had taken into consideration the principles of promissory estoppels. This Hon'ble Court relied on paragraphs-13, 14 and 15 of the said judgment, wherein it has been indicated that once a student is admitted after satisfying all the qualifications, subsequent cancellation of admission cannot be made since he would be deprived of pursuing his studies in any other institution. Similarly, the principle of promissory estoppel has been considered by the apex Court in Union of India and others v. M/s.Anglo Afghan Agencies etc., AIR 1968 SC 718, Chowgule & Company (Hind) Pvt. Ltd. v. Union of India and others, AIR 1971 SC 2021, M/s.Motilal Padampat Sugar Mills Co. Ltd. v. The State of Uttar Pradesh and others, AIR 1979 SC 621, Union of India and others v. Godfrey Philips India Ltd., AIR 1986 SC 806, Delhi Cloth & General Mills Ltd. v. Union of

-138-

India and others, AIR 1987 SC 2414, Bharat Singh and others v. State of Haryana and others, AIR 1988 SC 2181 and many other subsequent decisions also. In view of the aforesaid authoritative pronouncement, applying the same principle in the present case, the authorities after lapse of 20 years cannot unsettle the settled position by arbitrary and unreasonable exercise of power and alter the position.

13. Therefore, when the opposite parties 4 and 5 had completed their course in the year 2006 and 2007 respectively, after completing more than 7 to 10 years, objection was raised by some outsiders that the certificates obtained by the opposite parties 4 and 5 are by way of fraudulent means. In view of the judgment of this Hon'ble Court in the case of Basudev Guru, it cannot be said that the opposite parties 4 and 5 obtained the certificate by fraudulent means. Rather the opposite parties 4 and 5 applied for the vocational course and took admission in the vocational course, completed the vocational course and

. 139 -

appeared in the examination conducted by the CHSE and after being successfully passed out, certificates were issued by the CHSE. The certificates and the mark sheet which were issued by the CHSE have not been tampered or manufactured. These certificates have been issued by the Council. The only objection the petitioners are raising that they cannot appear in the vocational course, after appearing in the +2 CHSE examination. That cannot be accepted, because there was no restriction by the Council.

14. That it is respectfully submitted that as it reliable learnt that the aforesaid judgment in the case of Basudev Guru has been carried before the Division Bench in W.A. No. 115 of 2019 and the matter was remanded for re-consideration where the same is pending sub judice.

15. That it is respectfully submitted that the law has already been settled by this Hon'ble Court in the case of ***Puspanjali Mishra v. State of Orissa***, 2015 (II) ILR-CUT 982 that once a person

- 140 -

has completed the course and by taking the same course has already entered into the service, after long gap the settled position cannot be unsettled by the authorities because of their mistake. Therefore, when the opposite parties 4 and 5 had already completed the course and basing upon such course, they have already selected and after completing six years of service, they have already taken as regular primary school teachers, at this juncture, disputing the selection of the year 2011 by the petitioners cannot be sustained. It is also further submitted that since the petitioners were not selected, they have challenged the selection of opposite parties 4 and 5 with a view that if the present opposite parties 4 and 5 will be oust from the service and the merit list of the year 2011 will be recast, their cases will be considered, which is not permissible as per the law decided by this Hon'ble Court in series of cases, that once the thing has been settled that cannot be unsettled after long lapse of years.

- 141 -

16. That it is respectfully submitted that the statements made in the writ petition, which have not been specifically replied by the opposite parties 4 and 5 and contrary to the above statement made in this counter are deemed to have been denied by the opposite parties 4 and 5 to be true, reserving their right to file additional affidavit, if necessary, for a just and proper adjudication of the case.

17. That the facts stated above are true to the best of my knowledge and as per the instruction of opposite party no.5.

Identified by

Lizasmita Nayak

Advocate

DEPONENT

CERTIFICATE

Certified that due to want of cartridge paper, thick white papers have been used.

Cuttack
Dt. 12.2023

ADVOCATE

DISTRICT PROJECT OFFICE 142 ANNEXURE A/11
SARVA SHIKSHA ABHIYAN (S.S.A.), BHADRAK



Notice No. 243 Date - 22/12/11

ଶିକ୍ଷାସହାୟକ / ସହାୟିକା ନିଯୋଜନ ବିଜ୍ଞାପନ

ଭଦ୍ରକ ରାଜସ୍ୱ ଜିଲ୍ଲାର ଶିକ୍ଷା ଜିଲ୍ଲାଗୁଡିକର ପ୍ରାଥମିକ ଓ ଉଚ୍ଚପ୍ରାଥମିକ ବିଦ୍ୟାଳୟମାନଙ୍କରେ ଆବଶ୍ୟକ ଶିକ୍ଷା ସହାୟକ ନିଯୋଜନ ପାଇଁ ଭଦ୍ରକ ଜିଲ୍ଲା ପରିଷଦ ତରଫରୁ ଦରଖାସ୍ତ ଆହ୍ୱାନ କରାଯାଉଅଛି । ଇଚ୍ଛୁକ ଯୋଗ୍ୟ ପ୍ରାର୍ଥୀ /ପ୍ରାର୍ଥୀନୀମାନେ ଯେକୌଣସି ଗୋଟିଏ ଶିକ୍ଷାଜିଲ୍ଲା ପାଇଁ ଆବେଦନ କରିପାରିବେ । ଏଥିପାଇଁ ଆବେଦନ ପତ୍ର ସହିତ ପ୍ରାର୍ଥୀ/ପ୍ରାର୍ଥୀନୀ କେବଳ ଗୋଟିଏ ଶିକ୍ଷାଜିଲ୍ଲା ପାଇଁ ଆବେଦନ କରିଛନ୍ତି ବୋଲି ଏକ ସତ୍ୟପାଠ୍ୟ (Affidavit) ସଂଲଗ୍ନ କରିବେ । ଯାଞ୍ଚ ସମୟରେ ଯଦି ପ୍ରାର୍ଥୀ / ପ୍ରାର୍ଥୀନୀମାନେ ଆବେଦନ କରିଥିବା ଶିକ୍ଷା ଜିଲ୍ଲା ବ୍ୟତୀତ ଅନ୍ୟ ଶିକ୍ଷା ଜିଲ୍ଲାରେ ଆବେଦନ କରିଥିବା ପ୍ରମାଣିତ ହୁଏ, ତେବେ ପ୍ରାର୍ଥୀଙ୍କର ଆବେଦନ ପତ୍ର ବିଚାରକୁ ନିଆନଯାଇ ନାକଚ କରି ଦିଆଯିବ । ସାର୍ଟିଫିକେଟ ଜାଲ ବୋଲି ପ୍ରମାଣିତ ହେଲେ ଆଇନ ଅନୁଯାୟୀ କାର୍ଯ୍ୟାନୁଷ୍ଠାନ ଗ୍ରହଣ କରାଯିବ ଏବଂ ନିଯୁକ୍ତି ରଦ୍ଦ କରାଯିବ । ଇଚ୍ଛୁକ ଯୋଗ୍ୟ ପ୍ରାର୍ଥୀ / ପ୍ରାର୍ଥୀନୀମାନେ ସାଧା କାଗଜରେ ନିମ୍ନ ପ୍ରଦତ୍ତ ଦରଖାସ୍ତ ଫର୍ମ ଅନୁସାରେ ଆବେଦନ ପତ୍ର ସର୍ବଶିକ୍ଷା ଅଭିଯାନ କାର୍ଯ୍ୟାଳୟ,ଭଦ୍ରକ ଦ୍ୱାରା ନିର୍ଦ୍ଧାରିତ ଜାଗୁଳାଇ ପ୍ରୋଜେକ୍ଟ ଉ.ପ୍ରା. ବିଦ୍ୟାଳୟ, ଦାଗୁରାଇ, ଭଦ୍ରକ ଶିକ୍ଷାଜିଲ୍ଲା - ୧ ପାଇଁ ଏବଂ ଜିଲ୍ଲାସ୍କୁଲ, ଭଦ୍ରକ ଶିକ୍ଷାଜିଲ୍ଲା-୨ ପାଇଁ ଆସନ୍ତା ତା ୧୦.୦୨.୨୦୧୧ ରିଖ ସୁଦ୍ଧା ରେଜିଷ୍ଟ୍ରୀ ଡାକରେ କିମ୍ବା ବ୍ୟକ୍ତିଗତ ଭାବେ ଦାଖଲ କରିବା ଆବଶ୍ୟକ । ତ୍ରୁଟିପୂର୍ଣ୍ଣ ବା ବିଳମ୍ବରେ ପ୍ରାପ୍ତ ଦରଖାସ୍ତ ଗୁଡିକ ବିଚାରକୁ ନିଆଯିବନାହିଁ । ଆବେଦନ ପତ୍ର ଜିଲ୍ଲା ପରିଷଦର ମୁଖ୍ୟନିର୍ବାହୀ ଅଧିକାରୀ ତଥା ଜିଲ୍ଲାପାଳଙ୍କୁ ସଂବୋଧିତ କରିବା ଆବଶ୍ୟକ ।

୧. ଏହି ଶିକ୍ଷା ସହାୟକ ନିଯୋଜନ ପାଇଁ ଶିକ୍ଷା ଜିଲ୍ଲାଓାରା ବିଭିନ୍ନ ଶ୍ରେଣୀର (Catagory) ପଦବୀ ସଂଖ୍ୟା ~~ଏବଂ ବିଭିନ୍ନ ବର୍ଗ ପାଇଁ ସଂରକ୍ଷଣ ଥିବା ପଦବୀ ସଂଖ୍ୟା~~ ଏଥିସହିତ ସଂଲଗ୍ନ କରାଗଲା । ଏହାର ୧୫୦% ଭାଗ ଯୋଗ୍ୟ ବିବେଚିତ ପ୍ରାର୍ଥୀଙ୍କୁ ତାଲିକାଭୁକ୍ତ କରାଯିବ ଯାହା ବର୍ଷକ ପାଇଁ ବୈଧ ରହିବ । ଏହି ଯୋଗ୍ୟ ବିବେଚିତ ଅସ୍ଥାୟୀ ଏବଂ ଚୁଡାନ୍ତ ପ୍ରାର୍ଥୀ ତାଲିକା (Provisional & Final Select List) ଶିକ୍ଷା ଜିଲ୍ଲା, ଜିଲ୍ଲା ପରିଷଦ ଏବଂ ଜିଲ୍ଲାପାଳଙ୍କ କାର୍ଯ୍ୟାଳୟ ମାନଙ୍କର ନୋଟିସ୍ ବୋର୍ଡରେ ସର୍ବସାଧାରଣଙ୍କ ଅବଗତି ନିମନ୍ତେ ପ୍ରକାଶିତ ହେବ । ଶିକ୍ଷା ସହାୟକମାନେ ଜିଲ୍ଲା ପରିଷଦ ଦ୍ୱାରା ନିଯୋଜିତ ହେବେ ।

୨. <u>ଶିକ୍ଷାଗତ ଯୋଗ୍ୟତା :-</u> ନିମ୍ନଲିଖିତ ଯୋଗ୍ୟତାଥାଇ ପ୍ରାର୍ଥୀ / ପ୍ରାର୍ଥୀନୀମାନେ ଯେକୌଣସି ଗୋଟିଏ ପଦବୀ ପାଇଁ ଆବଶ୍ୟକ ଯୋଗ୍ୟତା ଅନୁଯାୟୀ ଆବେଦନ କରିପାରିବେ ।

(କ) ଯୁକ୍ତ ଦୁଇ (+2) ବିଜ୍ଞାନ କିମ୍ବା ଉପଯୁକ୍ତ କର୍ତ୍ତୃପକ୍ଷଙ୍କ ଦ୍ୱାରା ଘୋଷିତ ତତୁଲ୍ୟ ପରୀକ୍ଷାରେ ପାସ୍ ଯୋଗ୍ୟତା ସହିତ ଓଡିଆ ମାତୃଭାଷାରେ ସପ୍ତମ ଶ୍ରେଣୀ ପାସ୍ ଯୋଗ୍ୟତା ଥାଇ ତାଲିମପ୍ରାପ୍ତ (ସି.ଟି.) (Recognised by NCTE) ।

(ଖ) ଯୁକ୍ତ ଦୁଇ (+2) କଳା / ବାଣିଜ୍ୟ କିମ୍ବା ଉପଯୁକ୍ତ କର୍ତ୍ତୃପକ୍ଷଙ୍କ ଦ୍ୱାରା ଘୋଷିତ ତତୁଲ୍ୟ ପରୀକ୍ଷାରେ ପାସ୍ ଯୋଗ୍ୟତା ସହିତ ଓଡିଆ ମାତୃଭାଷାରେ ସପ୍ତମ ଶ୍ରେଣୀ ପାସ୍ ଯୋଗ୍ୟତା ଥାଇ ତାଲିମପ୍ରାପ୍ତ (ସି.ଟି.) (Recognised by NCTE) ।

(ଗ) ଯୁକ୍ତ ଦୁଇ (+2) ବିଜ୍ଞାନ / କଳା / ବାଣିଜ୍ୟ କିମ୍ବା ଉପଯୁକ୍ତ କର୍ତ୍ତୃପକ୍ଷଙ୍କ ଦ୍ୱାରା ଘୋଷିତ ତତୁଲ୍ୟ ପରୀକ୍ଷାରେ ପାସ୍ ଯୋଗ୍ୟତା ସହିତ ଓଡିଆ ମାତୃଭାଷାରେ ସପ୍ତମ ଶ୍ରେଣୀ ପାସ୍ ଯୋଗ୍ୟତା ଥାଇ Rehabilitation Council of india (RCI) ଦ୍ୱାରା ସ୍ୱୀକୃତି ପ୍ରାପ୍ତ ସ୍ୱତନ୍ତ୍ର ଶିକ୍ଷାରେ ୨ ବର୍ଷିୟା ଡିପ୍ଲୋମା ତାଲିମ (2 Year Diploma in Education-Sepcial Education)

Attested

(ଘ) ସ୍ନାତକରେ ବିଜ୍ଞାନ କିମ୍ବା ଉପଯୁକ୍ତ କର୍ତ୍ତୃପକ୍ଷଙ୍କ ଦ୍ୱାରା ଘୋଷିତ ତତୁଲ୍ୟ ପରୀକ୍ଷାରେ ପାସ୍ ସହିତ ମାଟ୍ରିକ ପର୍ଯ୍ୟନ୍ତ ଓଡିଆ ମାତୃଭାଷା ଯୋଗ୍ୟତା ଥାଇ ବି.ଏଡ୍. ତାଲିମ ପ୍ରାପ୍ତ (Recognised by NCTE)

(ଙ) ସ୍ନାତକରେ କଳା କିମ୍ବା ଉପଯୁକ୍ତ କର୍ତ୍ତୃପକ୍ଷଙ୍କ ଦ୍ୱାରା ଘୋଷିତ ତତୁଲ୍ୟ ପରୀକ୍ଷାରେ ପାସ୍ ସହିତ ମାଟ୍ରିକ୍ ପର୍ଯ୍ୟନ୍ତ ଓଡିଆ ମାତୃଭାଷା ଯୋଗ୍ୟତା ଥାଇ ବି.ଏଡ୍. ତାଲିମ ପ୍ରାପ୍ତ (Recognised by NCTE) ।

(ଚ) ସ୍ନାତକରେ ବିଜ୍ଞାନ / କଳା କିମ୍ବା ଉପଯୁକ୍ତ କର୍ତ୍ତୃପକ୍ଷଙ୍କ ଦ୍ୱାରା ଘୋଷିତ ତତୁଲ୍ୟ ପରୀକ୍ଷାରେ ପାସ୍ ଯୋଗ୍ୟତା ସହିତ ମାଟ୍ରିକ୍ ପର୍ଯ୍ୟନ୍ତ ଓଡିଆ ମାତୃଭାଷା ଯୋଗ୍ୟତା ଥାଇ Rehabilitation Council of India (RCI) ଦ୍ୱାରା ସ୍ୱୀକୃତି ପ୍ରାପ୍ତ ସ୍ୱତନ୍ତ୍ର ଶିକ୍ଷା ପାଠ୍ୟକ୍ରମରେ ବି.ଏଡ୍. ତାଲିମ (1 Year B.Ed Special Education) ।

ଯୁକ୍ତ ଦୁଇ (+2) ବିଜ୍ଞାନ, କଳା, ବାଣିଜ୍ୟ କିମ୍ବା ତତୁଲ୍ୟ ପରୀକ୍ଷାରେ ପାସ୍ ସହିତ ଓଡିଆ ମାତୃଭାଷା ସପ୍ତମ ପର୍ଯ୍ୟନ୍ତ ଯୋଗ୍ୟତା ଥାଇ ଏବଂ ବିଜ୍ଞାନ ଓ କଳାରେ କିମ୍ବା ତତୁଲ୍ୟ ପରୀକ୍ଷାରେ ସ୍ନାତକ ପାସ୍ ସହିତ (ଓଡିଆ ମାତୃଭାଷା ଦଶମ ପର୍ଯ୍ୟନ୍ତ) ଯୋଗ୍ୟତାଥିବା ଅଣତାଲିମ ପ୍ରାପ୍ତ ତଫସିଲଭୁକ୍ତ ଜାତି, ଜନଜାତି ଓ ଶାରିରୀକ ଅକ୍ଷମ ପ୍ରାର୍ଥୀମାନେ ଯୋଗ୍ୟତା ଅନୁଯାୟୀ ଗୋଟିଏ ପଦପାଇଁ ଆବେଦନ କରିପାରିବେ । ଯଦି ସଂପୃକ୍ତ ଶିକ୍ଷା ଜିଲ୍ଲା ୟୁନିଟରେ ଆବଶ୍ୟକ ସଂଖ୍ୟକ ତଫସିଲଭୁକ୍ତ ଜାତି, ଜନଜାତି ଏବଂ ଶାରିରୀକ ଅକ୍ଷମର ତାଲିମପ୍ରାପ୍ତ ପ୍ରାର୍ଥୀ ନ ମିଳନ୍ତି ଏବଂ ଭାରତ ସରକାରଙ୍କ ଠାରୁ ଶିକ୍ଷାଗତ ଯୋଗ୍ୟତା ସମ୍ପର୍କିତ ରିହାତିର ନିର୍ଦ୍ଦିଷ୍ଟ ଆଦେଶ ପ୍ରାପ୍ତ ହେବା ପରେ ସେମାନଙ୍କ ବିଷୟକୁ ବିଚାରକୁ ନେଇ ନିଯୁକ୍ତି ଦିଆଯିବ ।

ସାଧାରଣ ବର୍ଗ ଏବଂ ଏସ୍.ଇ.ବି.ସି. ବର୍ଗର ଯୁକ୍ତ ଦୁଇ (+2) ବିଜ୍ଞାନ କିମ୍ବା ତତୁଲ୍ୟ ପରୀକ୍ଷାରେ ପାସ୍ ସହିତ ଓଡିଆ ମାତୃଭାଷା ସପ୍ତମ ପର୍ଯ୍ୟନ୍ତ, ବିଜ୍ଞାନରେ ସ୍ନାତକ କିମ୍ବା ତତୁଲ୍ୟ ପରୀକ୍ଷାରେ ପାସ୍ ସହିତ ଓଡିଆ ମାତୃଭାଷା ଦଶମ ପର୍ଯ୍ୟନ୍ତ ଯୋଗ୍ୟତା ଥିବା ଅଣତାଲିମ ପ୍ରାପ୍ତ ପ୍ରାର୍ଥୀମାନେ ତାଲିମପ୍ରାପ୍ତ ବିଜ୍ଞାନ (+2 Sc. C.T., TGT Sc.) ପଦବୀ ପାଇଁ ଯୋଗ୍ୟତା ଅନୁଯାୟୀ ଗୋଟିଏ ପଦ ପାଇଁ ଆବେଦନ କରିପାରିବେ । ଯଦି ସଂପୃକ୍ତ ଶିକ୍ଷା ଜିଲ୍ଲା ୟୁନିଟରେ ସେହି ପଦବୀ ପାଇଁ ଆବଶ୍ୟକ ସଂଖ୍ୟକ ତାଲିମ ପ୍ରାପ୍ତ ବିଜ୍ଞାନ ପ୍ରାର୍ଥୀ ନମିଳନ୍ତି ଏବଂ ଭାରତ ସରକାରଙ୍କ ଠାରୁ ଶିକ୍ଷାଗତ ଯୋଗ୍ୟତା ସମ୍ପର୍କିତ ରିହାତିର ଆଦେଶ ପ୍ରାପ୍ତ ହେବା ପରେ ସେମାନଙ୍କ ବିଷୟକୁ ବିଚାରକୁ ନେଇ ନିଯୁକ୍ତି ଦିଆଯିବ ।

ସମସ୍ତ ଅଣ ତାଲିମପ୍ରାପ୍ତ ପ୍ରାର୍ଥୀମାନେ ନିଯୋଜନ ହେବାର ପାଞ୍ଚ ବର୍ଷ ମଧ୍ୟରେ ନିଜ ଖର୍ଚ୍ଚରେ ତାଲିମପ୍ରାପ୍ତ ହେବେ ବୋଲି ଏକ Under taking ଦେବେ ।

୩. <u>ବୟସସୀମା :</u> ପ୍ରାର୍ଥୀମାନଙ୍କର ବୟସ ତା ୦୧.୧୨.୨୦୧୦ ରିଖ ସୁଦ୍ଧା ୪୨ ବର୍ଷରୁ ଅଧିକ ହୋଇନଥିବ କିମ୍ବା ୧୮ ବର୍ଷରୁ କମ୍ ହୋଇନଥିବା ଆବଶ୍ୟକ । ତଫସିଲଭୁକ୍ତ ଜାତି ଓ ଜନଜାତି, ପୂର୍ବତନ ସାମରିକ କର୍ମଚାରୀ ଏବଂ ମହିଳା ପ୍ରାର୍ଥୀ ଏବଂ ଏସ.ଇ.ବି.ସି. ପ୍ରାର୍ଥୀମାନଙ୍କ କ୍ଷେତ୍ରରେ ସର୍ବୋଚ୍ଚ ବୟସସୀମା ୫ ବର୍ଷ କୋହଳ କରାଯିବ । ଶାରିରୀକ ଭିନ୍ନକ୍ଷମ ପ୍ରାର୍ଥୀ ମାନଙ୍କ କ୍ଷେତ୍ରରେ ସର୍ବୋଚ୍ଚ ସୀମା ୧୦ ବର୍ଷ କୋହଳ କରାଯିବ ।

୪. <u>ନିଯୋଜନ :</u> ଶିକ୍ଷା ସହାୟକମାନେ ବାର୍ଷିକ ଚୁକ୍ତି ଅନୁଯାୟୀ ପ୍ରାଥମିକ / ଉଚ୍ଚ ପ୍ରାଥମିକ ତଥା ଉଚ୍ଚ ପ୍ରାଥମିକ ବିଦ୍ୟାଳୟର ଉନ୍ନତି ଶ୍ରେଣୀମାନଙ୍କରେ ଆବଶ୍ୟକ ଅନୁଯାୟୀ ନିଯୋଜିତ (ଏନଗେଜମେଣ୍ଟ) ହେବେ ।

୪.୧ ନିଯୋଜନ ପତ୍ର ପ୍ରଦାନ ପୂର୍ବରୁ ସଂପୃକ୍ତ ଜିଲ୍ଲା ପରିଷଦ ତରଫରୁ ମୁଖ୍ୟନିର୍ବାହୀ ଅଧିକାରୀ, ଜିଲ୍ଲା ପରିଷଦ ତଥା ଜିଲ୍ଲାପାଳ ଓ ଶିକ୍ଷା ସହାୟକଙ୍କ ମଧ୍ୟରେ ଷ୍ଟାମ୍ପ କାଗଜ ମାଧ୍ୟମରେ ନିର୍ଦ୍ଧାରିତ ଫର୍ମରେ ଏକ ଚୁକ୍ତିନାମା ସ୍ୱାକ୍ଷରିତ କରାଯିବ । ଅଣତାଲିମ ପ୍ରାପ୍ତ ପ୍ରାର୍ଥୀମାନେ ନିଜ ଖର୍ଚ୍ଚରେ ଟ୍ରେନିଙ୍ଗ ନେବେ ବୋଲି Undertaking ଦେବେ ।

୪.୨ ଶିକ୍ଷା ସହାୟକ / ସହାୟିକାମାନଙ୍କୁ ସେମାନେ ଆବେଦନ କରିଥିବା ଶିକ୍ଷା ଜିଲ୍ଲା ମଧ୍ୟରେ ନିଯୋଜନ କରାଯିବ ।

Attested

District Project Co-ordinator
S. S. A., BHADRAK

୫. କର୍ମନିୟୋଜନ ସଂସ୍ଥାରେ ପଞ୍ଜିକରଣ ଓ ଆବାସିକ ପ୍ରମାଣପତ୍ର : ବି.ଇଡି. ପ୍ରାର୍ଥୀ / ପ୍ରାର୍ଥିନୀ ବାଣୀବିହାର, ଜ୍ୟୋତିବିହାର ଓ ଭଞ୍ଜବିହାର (ଭୁବନେଶ୍ୱର, ସମ୍ବଲପୁର ଓ ବ୍ରହ୍ମପୁରରେ) ଥିବା ସ୍ୱତନ୍ତ୍ର ଏମ୍ପ୍ଲୟମେଣ୍ଟ ଏକ୍ସଚେଞ୍ଜରେ କିମ୍ବା ଭୁବନେଶ୍ୱରରେ ଥିବା ଷ୍ଟେଟ ଏମ୍ପ୍ଲୟମେଣ୍ଟ ଏକ୍ସଚେଞ୍ଜରେ ନାମ ପଞ୍ଜିକରଣ କରିଥିବାର ବୈଧ ପ୍ରମାଣପତ୍ର ଏବଂ ଆବାସିକ ପ୍ରମାଣପତ୍ର ଦାଖଲ କରିବା ଆବଶ୍ୟକ । ସେହିପରି ସି.ଟି. ପ୍ରାର୍ଥୀ ଏବଂ ଅଣତାଲିମପ୍ରାପ୍ତ ପ୍ରାର୍ଥୀମାନେ ଯେ କୌଣସି କର୍ମନିୟୋଜନ ସଂସ୍ଥାରେ ନିଜ ନାମ ପଞ୍ଜିକରଣ କରିଥିବାର ବୈଧ ପ୍ରମାଣ ପତ୍ର ଏବଂ ଆବାସିକ ପ୍ରମାଣ ପତ୍ର ଦାଖଲ କରିବା ଆବଶ୍ୟକ ।

୬. ସଂରକ୍ଷଣ ବ୍ୟବସ୍ଥା : ଓ.ଆର.ଭି. ଆକ୍ଟ ସଂଗେ ସଂଗେ ଅନ୍ୟ ପ୍ରାର୍ଥୀ ଯଥା – ପୂର୍ବତନ ସାମରିକ କର୍ମଚାରୀ (Ex-Servicemen) , ଭିନ୍ନକ୍ଷମ (Handicapped) ଏବଂ କ୍ରୀଡ଼ା କ୍ଷେତ୍ରରେ ସ୍ୱାତନ୍ତ୍ର୍ୟ ଅର୍ଜନ କରିଥିବା ବ୍ୟକ୍ତି (Eminent Sports personel) ଙ୍କ ନିମନ୍ତେ ସରକାରଙ୍କ ଦ୍ୱାରା ସଂକଳିତ ସଂରକ୍ଷଣ ନିୟମ ଲାଗୁ କରାଯିବ । ମହିଳା ପ୍ରାର୍ଥିନୀଙ୍କ ପାଇଁ ସଂପୃକ୍ତ ବର୍ଗର ୩୩. ୧/୩ ପ୍ରତିଶତ ସଂରକ୍ଷଣ ଲାଗୁହେବ ।

୭. ଉର୍ଦ୍ଦୁ ତାଲିମପ୍ରାପ୍ତ ସି.ଟି. ପ୍ରାର୍ଥୀ / ପ୍ରାର୍ଥିନୀମାନେ ସଂପୃକ୍ତ ଶିକ୍ଷା ଜିଲ୍ଲା ପାଇଁ ଆବେଦନ ପତ୍ର ଦାଖଲ କରିବେ । ସେମାନଙ୍କୁ ସଂପୃକ୍ତ ଶିକ୍ଷା ଜିଲ୍ଲାର ପ୍ରାଥମିକ ଓ ଉଚ୍ଚ ପ୍ରାଥମିକ ବିଦ୍ୟାଳୟମାନଙ୍କରେ ଆବଶ୍ୟକତା ଅନୁଯାୟୀ ଉର୍ଦ୍ଦୁ ମାତୃଭାଷା ପଢ଼ାଇବା ପାଇଁ ନିୟୋଜନ କରାଯିବ ।

୮. ତାଲିମ ପ୍ରାପ୍ତ ଶିକ୍ଷା ସହାୟକମାନଙ୍କୁ ମାସିକ ଟ. ୪୦୦୦/- ପାରିଶ୍ରମିକ ଆକାରରେ ଦିଆଯିବ ।

୯. ଅଣ ତାଲିମପ୍ରାପ୍ତ ଶିକ୍ଷା ସହାୟକମାନଙ୍କୁ ମାସିକ ଟ. ୩୫୦୦/- ପାରିଶ୍ରମିକ ଆକାରରେ ଦିଆଯିବ ।

୧୦. ନିମ୍ନ ପ୍ରଦତ୍ତ ଦରଖାସ୍ତ ଫର୍ମ ଅନୁସାରେ ଆବେଦନ କରାଯାଇ ପାରିବ । ଦରଖାସ୍ତ ସହ ମାଟ୍ରିକ ଠାରୁ ଆବେଦନ କରିଥିବା ପଦବୀ ପାଇଁ ଶିକ୍ଷାଗତ ଯୋଗ୍ୟତାର ପ୍ରମାଣପତ୍ର ଏବଂ ମାର୍କ ତାଲିକାରେ ଅବିକଳ ନକଲ (ଆଟେଷ୍ଟେଡ୍ କପି) କର୍ମନିୟୋଜନ ସଂସ୍ଥା ପଞ୍ଜିକରଣ ପ୍ରମାଣପତ୍ର, ଜାତିଗତ ଏବଂ ଆବାସିକ ପ୍ରମାଣପତ୍ର, ଭିନ୍ନକ୍ଷମ ହୋଇଥିଲେ ତାର ଅବିକଳ ପ୍ରମାଣପତ୍ର, ପ୍ରାର୍ଥୀଙ୍କର ୨ କିତା ବର୍ତ୍ତମାନର ସହିମୋହର ପାସପୋର୍ଟ ଆକାର ଫଟୋ ଏବଂ କେବଳ ଗୋଟିଏ ଶିକ୍ଷାଜିଲ୍ଲାରେ ଆବେଦନ କରିଛନ୍ତି ବୋଲି ସତ୍ୟପାଠ (Affidavit) ଇତ୍ୟାଦିର ସଂଯୋଗ ନଥିଲେ ଆବେଦନ ପତ୍ରଟିକୁ ଖାରଜ କରିଦିଆଯିବ ।

ଭଦ୍ରକ ଜିଲ୍ଲାର ଶିକ୍ଷା ଜିଲ୍ଲାୱାରି ଖାଲିଥିବା

ଶିକ୍ଷା ସହାୟକ ପଦବୀ ସଂଖ୍ୟା

ରାଜସ୍ୱ ଜିଲ୍ଲା	ଶିକ୍ଷା ଜିଲ୍ଲା	ତାଲିମପ୍ରାପ୍ତ ବିଜ୍ଞାନ ସ୍ନାତକ	ତାଲିମପ୍ରାପ୍ତ କଳା ସ୍ନାତକ	ତାଲିମପ୍ରାପ୍ତ +୨ ବିଜ୍ଞାନ (ସି.ଟି)	ତାଲିମପ୍ରାପ୍ତ +୨ କଳା (ସି.ଟି)	ମୋଟ
ଭଦ୍ରକ	ଭଦ୍ରକ-୧	୧୫୭	୪୧	୧୪୫	୧୩୬	୪୭୯
	ଭଦ୍ରକ-୨	୧୫୬	୫୦	୧୮୩	୧୭୩	୫୬୨
	ମୋଟ	୩୧୩	୯୧	୩୨୮	୩୦୯	୧୦୪୧

ଶିକ୍ଷାଜିଲ୍ଲା ଭଦ୍ରକ – ୧ ପାଇଁ ଜାଗୁଲାଇ ପ୍ରୋଜେକ୍ଟ ଉ.ପ୍ରା. ବିଦ୍ୟାଳୟ, ବାଗୁରାଇ ଓ ଶିକ୍ଷାଜିଲ୍ଲା ଭଦ୍ରକ – ୨ ପାଇଁ ଜିଲ୍ଲାସ୍କୁଲ ଭଦ୍ରକରେ ତା ୨୪/୦୧/୨୦୧୧ରିଖ ଠାରୁ ତା ୧୦/୦୨/୨୦୧୧ରିଖ ପର୍ଯ୍ୟନ୍ତ (ସରକାରୀ ଛୁଟିଦିନ ବ୍ୟତୀତ) ପୂର୍ବାହ୍ନ ୧୦ଘଟିକା ସମୟଠାରୁ ଅପରାହ୍ନ ୫ଘଟିକା ପର୍ଯ୍ୟନ୍ତ ଆବେଦନ ପତ୍ର ଗ୍ରହଣ କରାଯିବ ।

ଏହି ନିର୍ଘଣ୍ଟ ତାରିଖ ଓ ସମୟ ପରେ କୌଣସି ଆବେଦନପତ୍ର ଗ୍ରହଣ କରାଯିବ ନାହିଁ ।

ସ୍ୱା / ଜିଲ୍ଲାପାଳ ତଥା ଜିଲ୍ଲାପରିଷଦର ମୁଖ୍ୟାନିର୍ଦ୍ଦାହୀ ଅଧିକାରୀ

ଜିଲ୍ଲା ପରିଷଦ, ଭଦ୍ରକ

Attested

District Project Co-ordinator
S. S. A., BHADRAK

ଶିକ୍ଷା ସହାୟକ ପଦପାଇଁ ଆବେଦନ ପତ୍ର -25'-145-

୧. ଯେଉଁ ଶିକ୍ଷା ଜିଲ୍ଲାରେ ନିଯୁକ୍ତି ଚାହୁଁଛନ୍ତି ତାର ନାମ । ୨-ଯେଉଁ ପଦବୀରେ ନିଯୁକ୍ତି ଚାହୁଁଛନ୍ତି ତାର ନାମ । ୩-ପ୍ରାର୍ଥୀଙ୍କର ସଂପୂର୍ଣ୍ଣ ନାମ ୪-ପିତା କିମ୍ବା ସ୍ୱାମୀଙ୍କର ନାମ ୪-ବର୍ତ୍ତମାନର ଠିକଣା, ୫-ସ୍ଥାୟୀ ଠିକଣା ୬-ଜନ୍ମତାରିଖ (ପ୍ରମାଣପତ୍ରର ଅବିଜଳ ନକଲ ସଂଯୁକ୍ତ କରିବେ) ୭-ପ୍ରାର୍ଥୀ/ପ୍ରାର୍ଥୀନୀ କେଉଁ ବର୍ଗର ଅଟନ୍ତି । ୮-ଶିକ୍ଷାଗତ ଯୋଗ୍ୟତା, ଉତ୍ତୀର୍ଣ୍ଣ ହୋଇଥିବା ପରୀକ୍ଷାମାନଙ୍କର ନାମ, ଶିକ୍ଷାନୁଷ୍ଠାନର ନାମ, ବୋର୍ଡ଼ କିମ୍ବା ବିଶ୍ୱବିଦ୍ୟାଳୟର ନାମ, ଉତ୍ତୀର୍ଣ୍ଣ ବର୍ଷ, କେତେ ନମ୍ବର ପାଇଥିଲେ, %ନମ୍ବର, (ଯୁକ୍ତ ଦୁଇ /ସ୍ନାତକ / ପ୍ରଶିକ୍ଷଣ (CT /BEd/Spl.B.Ed)) ପରୀକ୍ଷାର ପ୍ରମାଣପତ୍ର ଓ ମାର୍କସିଟର ଅବିକଳ ନକଲ (Spl. Education) ରେ Diploma ଏବଂ Spl. B.Ed ପ୍ରାର୍ଥୀମାନେ RCI Registration ର ପ୍ରମାଣପତ୍ର ଅବିକଳ ନକଲ ସଂଯୋଗ କରିବେ । ୯ - କର୍ମନିଯୋଜନ କେନ୍ଦ୍ରର ନାମ ଏବଂ ରେଜିଷ୍ଟ୍ରେସନ ସଂଖ୍ୟା ଓ ତାରିଖ (ପ୍ରମାଣ ପତ୍ରର ଅବିକଳ ନକଲ ସଂଯୋଗ କରିବେ) । ୧୦ - ଜାତିଗତ ପ୍ରମାଣପତ୍ର (ଅବିକଳ ନକଲ ସଂଯୋଗ କରିବେ) ୧୧-ଅକର୍ମଣ୍ୟ ପ୍ରମାଣ ପତ୍ର (ଅବିକଳ ନକଲ ସଂଯୋଗ କରିବେ), ୧୨-ଆବାସିକ ପ୍ରମାଣପତ୍ର (ଅବିକଳ ନକଲ ସଂଯୋଗ କରିବେ) ୧୩-ଗୋଟିଏ ଶିକ୍ଷାଜିଲ୍ଲାରେ ଆବେଦନ କରିଥିବାର ସତ୍ୟପାଠ (Affidavit in Original) ସଂଯୋଗ କରିବେ । ୧୪-ଅଣତାଲିମ ପ୍ରାପ୍ତ ପ୍ରାର୍ଥୀ ନିଜ ଖର୍ଚ୍ଚରେ ୫ବର୍ଷ ମଧ୍ୟରେ ତାଲିମ ପ୍ରାପ୍ତ ହେବେ ବୋଲି Under Taking ସଂଯୋଗ କରିବେ । ୧୫-ଦୁଇକିତା ବର୍ତ୍ତମାନର ସହି ମୋହର ପାସ୍‌ପୋର୍ଟ ଆକାର ଫଟୋ ଦାଖଲ କରିବେ ।

ତାରିଖ -

ସ୍ଥାନ - ଆବେଦନକାରୀଙ୍କ ସ୍ୱାକ୍ଷର

ପ୍ରାପ୍ତି ସ୍ୱୀକାର

କ୍ରମିକ ସଂଖ୍ୟା

ପ୍ରାର୍ଥୀଙ୍କ ନାମ ଠିକଣା

ନିମ୍ନୋକ୍ତ ସଂଲଗ୍ନମାନ (Enclosures) ହସ୍ତଗତ ହୋଇଛି ।

କ) ମାଟ୍ରିକ ସାର୍ଟିଫିକେଟ, ଯୁକ୍ତ ଦୁଇ ସାର୍ଟିଫିକେଟ, ତାଲିମ(ସିଟି) ସାର୍ଟିଫିକେଟ, ସ୍ନାତକ, (ବି.ଇଡ଼ି. / ସ୍ପେସାଲ ବି.ଇଡ଼ି) ସାର୍ଟିଫିକେଟ (ଖ) ଯୁକ୍ତ ଦୁଇ ମାର୍କସିଟ, ତାଲିମ(ସିଟି) ମାର୍କସିଟ ଓ ସ୍ନାତକ / (ବି.ଇଡ଼ି / ସ୍ପେସାଲ ବି.ଇଡ଼ି.) ମାର୍କସିଟ / RCI Registration ର ପ୍ରମାଣପତ୍ର (ଗ) ଜାତିଗତ ପ୍ରମାଣପତ୍ର (Caste Certificate) (ଘ) ଆବାସିକ ପ୍ରମାଣପତ୍ର (Residential Certificate) (ଙ) ଗୋଟିଏ ଶିକ୍ଷା ଜିଲ୍ଲାରେ ଆବେଦନ କରିଥିବାର ସତ୍ୟପାଠ (Affidavit in Original) (ଚ) କର୍ମନିଯୋଜନ କେନ୍ଦ୍ରର ରେଜିଷ୍ଟ୍ରେସନ ପ୍ରମାଣ ପତ୍ର (ଛ) ଅଣତାଲିମ ପ୍ରାପ୍ତ ପ୍ରାର୍ଥୀ ନିଜ ଖର୍ଚ୍ଚ ୫ ବର୍ଷ ମଧ୍ୟରେ ତାଲିମ ପ୍ରାପ୍ତ ହେବେ ବୋଲି Under Taking (ଜ) ଅକର୍ମଣ୍ୟ ପ୍ରମାଣପତ୍ରର ଅବିକଳ ନକଲ (ଝ) ଦୁଇକିତା ବର୍ତ୍ତମାନର ସହି ମୋହର ପାସ୍‌ପୋର୍ଟ ଆକାର ଫଟୋ ।

ପ୍ରାପ୍ତି ସ୍ୱୀକାରକାରୀଙ୍କ ସ୍ୱାକ୍ଷର

ନାମ -

ପଦବୀ -

Attested

District Project Co-ordinator
S. S. A., BHADRAK

ସ୍ୱା /- ଜିଲ୍ଲାପାଳ ତଥା ଜିଲ୍ଲାପରିଷଦର ମୁଖ୍ୟନିର୍ବାହୀ ଅଧିକାରୀ

ଜିଲ୍ଲା ପରିଷଦ, ଭଦ୍ରକ

27-146- ANNEXURE-B/4

COUNCIL OF HIGHER SECONDARY EDUCATION: ORISSA
PRANGYAPITHA: SAMANTAPUR: BHUBANESWAR-13

No. 1395 /CHSE(O), dt. 15/2/11
Acc P 223/09

From:-

Dr J.K.Mahapatra,
Secretary.

To

Addl. Secretary to Government,
Govt. of Orissa, School & Mass
Education Department, Bhubaneswar.

Sub:- Clarification regarding equivalence of the H.S. Vocational Examinations conducted by the CHSE Orissa, Bhubaneswar.

Ref:- Letter No. 2562 /SME dt. 04.02.2011
II-SME(B)-41/09(Pt.II)

Sir,

With reference to the letter on the subject cited above, I am directed to inform you that as per the proceedings of the Academic Committee of the Council held on 11.01.2010 and duly approved by the General Body of the Council in its meeting held on 13.01.2010, the followings are the criteria for deciding equivalence to different streams of H.S. Examinations.

H.S. Vocational Examination having subjects as mentioned below as Basic Foundation Course.	Equivalent to Higher Secondary Examination in
History, Pol. Science and Economics	Arts Stream
Accounting, Cost Management etc.,	Commerce Stream
Physics, Chemistry, Mathematics or Biology.	Science Stream

This is for your kind information and necessary action.

Yours faithfully,

Secretary. 15.2.11

22/3/15

Public Information Officer,
C.H.S.E., Orissa,
Bhubaneswar.

-147-

-28

ANNEXURE-C/4

From:-
Secretary,
CHSE(O), BBSR.

To
The Project Director,
DRDA, Bhadrak.

Sub:- Clarification related to Vocational and Upasastri Examination.

Sir,
With reference to your letter No. 514 dt.6.2.08 I am directed to inform you that the Vocational Examination is equivalent to +2 Arts and Commerce stream of the Council and the Upasastri Examination which is conducted by Sri Jagannath Sanskrit Vishwavidyalaya Puri, is also equivalent with Council.

Secondly a candidate can appear in +2 Arts/SC/Comm/Voc Exam. after completion of 2 years duration of the Matriculation examination.

This is for your information.

Yours faithfully,

12-2-08

Secretary

-29- 148 -

ANNEXURE-D/4

DISTRICT PROJECT OFFICE
SARVA SIKSHA ABHIYAN, BHADRAK

Order No. 2332 /Date 03-06-17

In pursuance of Resolution No. 15107/SME, dated 01.08.2011, of the Govt. in School & Mass Education Department, Odisha, the following Trained Junior Teachers under Chandabali Block/NAC who have completed six years of continuous satisfactory engagement as Siksha Sahayaks and Junior Teachers, taken together, are hereby appointed as Regular Primary School Teachers (Level-V) in schools mentioned against their names. The scale of pay and other allowances shall be at par with the Primary School Teachers of Elementary Cadre (Level-V) under School & Mass Education Department, Odisha with effect from the date(s) mentioned against their names.

Sl. No.	Name of the Junior Teacher	Father's/Husband's name	Name of the School	Date of appointment as Regular Teacher (Level-V)
1	GOURANGA CHANDRA BHUYAN	F- BAIDYABHUYAN	KUAMARASARI UGUPS	01.03.14
2	MD. GOLAM MADANI	F- MAHAMMED KORESI	TENTULICHUA PS	26.05.15
3	JITENDRA KUMAR MISHRA	F- JAGABANDHU MISHRA	ARJANDAI AMALI NODAL UPS	02.07.15
4	DEBENDRA KUMAR PANDA	F- BHOLANATH PRASAD PANDA	SANAPANDI PRIMARY SCHOOL	20.04.16
5	LAMITA MINARS MALIK	H- SUBASH CHANDRA DAS	SARASWATI PRO UPS	26.10.16
6	BISWABHUSHAN JAGAMOHAN ROUT	F- NABOTTAM ROUT	JAGULA PRO UPS	22.03.17
7	CHINMAYEE PANDA	F- NISHIKANTA PANDA	NRUSINGHNATHPUR UPS	22.03.17
8	DEBASHISH MUDULI	F- DUSHASANA MUDULI	UGRATARA UGUP(ME) SCHOOL	22.03.17
9	DILLIP SEKHAR NAYAK	F- GADADHAR NAYAK	AMATIAPATANA UGUPS	22.03.17
10	KASTURI PARIDA	F- ADHARA CHANDRA PARIDA	BODAK UGMES	22.03.17
11	MADHURI PARIDA	F- ADHAR CHANDRA PARIDA	BHUNPUR UGMES	22.03.17
12	NIRUPAMA MOHANTY	F- MANENDRA MOHANTY	OSTIA UGUPS	22.03.17
13	PADMALAYA DAS	H- SRIKANTA SAHU	SORISHAPUA UP(ME)SCHOOL	22.03.17
14	RUDRAPRASAD RANA	F- NARENDRA PRASAD RANA	GOVT. UPGRADED HIGH SCHOOL BENTALPUR	22.03.17
15	SAGARIKA MISHRA	H- RAJKISHORE MISHRA	HALADIA UGUPS	22.03.17
16	SAROJ BARIK	F- BASANTA BARIK	SANDHAGADA PRO.UPS	22.03.17
17	SHIBASANKAR GIRI	F- BINAYA KUMAR GIRI	BAINCHA UGUP(ME) SCHOOL	22.03.17
18	SUSHANTA KUMAR MAHALIK	F- PUROSUTTAM MAHALIK	PACHHAPADA UGME SCHOOL	22.03.17
19	DEBASMITA PANDA	F- RABI NARAYAN PANDA	GANGADHARPUR PATANA PROUPS	23.03.17
20	PURNA CHANDRA NAYAK	F- PRAFULLA CHANDRA NAYAK	AMARNAGAR PRO.UPS	04.04.17

30 - 149 -

	F- BIJAYA KUMAR SAHOO	RAGHUNATH ROY UP(ME) SCHOOL MATTO	05.04.17
	F- RAI NAGAR DAS	MADALAPADA PRO.PS	05.04.17
	F- MAHESWAR DAS	DHAMARA UGMES	04.04.17
	F- LAKSHMIDHAR BARIK	GAINCHA PRO.PS	05.04.17
	F- RAJA SINGH	MOUSUDHA UGUP(ME) SCHOOL	05.04.17
26 BAIJAYANTI DEHURY	F- MAYADHAR DEHURY	B.A.T.M.E(UP) SSNOOL UNGRAHPUR	05.04.17
27 BIGHNESWAR DAS	F- GHANASHYAM DAS	P.D.I.G.U.P.M.E SCHOOL, KHERANGA	05.04.17
28 BIJAYA KUMAR MALIK	F- NAGENDRA MALIK	PANCHUTIKIRI G.P. NODAL HIGH SCHOOL	05.04.17
29 DAMODAR NAYAK	F- PURNACHANDRA NAYAK	KUASAHI PRO.PS	05.04.17
30 MAMATA RANI BAG	H- TUSHARKANTI BAG	BALIASAHI PRO.UPS	05.04.17
31 MINATILATA SAHOO	F- BIDYADHAR SAHOO	GOLADIA URDU UGUP SCHOOL	05.04.17
32 MINPRAVA ROUT	H- SAROJ KUMAR SAHOO	BATOL UGUP SCHOOL	05.04.17
33 MONALISHA BIJUYAN	F- RAJAKISHORE BIJUYAN	BINATARA NODAL UPS	05.04.17
34 NANIGOPAL DALAPATI	F- SRINIBAS DALAPATI	SABITRISARAI PRO.PS	05.04.17
35 RABINDRA MARANDI	F- BAIDHAR MARANDI	SANDHAGADA PRO.UPS	05.04.17
36 SANITA DAS	H- ARUN KUMAR MALIK	OSTIA UGUP SCHOOL	05.04.17
37 SANTOSH RANJAN SAHOO	F- MAHENDRA PRASAD SAHOO	KANDASAHI PS	05.04.17
38 SASMITA RANI SIRAL	H- TAPAN BEHERA	UTTARASAHI PRO.UPS	05.04.17
39 SAVITRI ROUT	H- BIDYDHAR SWAIN	PARAMANANDAPUR PRO.UPS	05.04.17
40 SHASHI REKHA DHAL	F- SUDARSAN DHAL	MALLILATA DAS NODAL UP(ME) SCHOOL	05.04.17
41 SINGRAY KISKU	F- NIMAI KISKU	RENGUPATI PRO.UPS	05.04.17
42 ALOK KUMAR MAHURI	F- SATYENDRA KUMAR MAHURI	BAGHANATA PS	06.04.17
43 AMBIKAMANI SINGH	H- RAMESWAR SINGH	SAMUKAVEDI PRO.UPS	06.04.17
44 BANAMALI DAS	F- KANHU CHARAN DAS	MOHANTYSAHI PRO.PS	06.04.17
45 KHIROD KUMAR DAS	F- GAYADHAR DAS	DEULIGAON PRO.PS	06.04.17
46 RANJAN KUMAR SOREN	F- KAILASH CHANDRA SOREN	GOVT. U.G. HIGH SCHOOL RAMESWARPUR KARANDIMAL	06.04.17
47 SUBHALAXMI MALLICK	F- RATIKANTA MALLICK	GHATAPUR NODAL UP(ME) SCHOOL	06.04.17
48 SUNIA MAJHI	H- RAJANDRA MAJHI	PANCHUTIKIRI G.P. NODAL HIGH SCHOOL	06.04.17
49 BISWARANJAN MALLICK	F- BHIMASEN MALLICK	KIATENTULI PRO.PS	07.04.17
50 LALI RANI MAJHI	F- SURENDRA MAJHI	SINGITI NODAL UP(ME) SCHOOL	07.04.17
51 PADMAR HO	F- BIRASINGH HO	SINGITI NODAL UP(ME) SCHOOL	07.04.17
52 BAILOCHAN DEHURI	F- BAIDHAR DEHURI	CHANDAKUDA PRO.PS	08.04.17
53 SEFALYA KUMAR MAHALIK	F- SREEMANTA KUMAR MAHALIK	PANASAPADA PRO.UPS	08.04.17

54	SANJAY KUMAR MCHAKUD	F- NARAYAN MCHAKUD	SAILENDRAPALLI PRO.UPS	08.04.17
55	BASUDEV NAIK	F- PEON NAIK	CHHATRAPADA PS	09.04.17
56	KSHIROD CHANDRA NAIK	F- GOPABANDHU NAIK	AMBILIGAN PS	09.04.17
57	RAJANIKANTA SETHI	F- LAXMIDHAR SETHI	TENTULIKHI PS	09.04.17
58	SHAMBHUNATH MURMU	F- HARISH CHANDRA MURMU	BHUINPUR UGMES	09.04.17
59	ARUPANANDA MANDAL	F- NRUSIKESH MANDAL	BIJAYAPATANA NODAL UPS	11.04.17
60	DILLIP TUDU	F- KARU TUDU	KARANAPOKHARI PRO.UPS	11.04.17
61	KANKU CHARAN TUDU	F- LAXMAN TUDU	DHANARAJ NODAL HIGH SCHOOL, NARASINGHPUR	11.04.17
62	BARI HEMBRAM	F- CHANDRAMOHAN HEMBRAM	DHANAKHANI PRO.PS	13.04.17
63	BIJAY KUMAR NAIK	F- HADIBANDHU NAIK	KISMAT PRO.UPS	15.04.17
64	DEBASMITA PARIDA	F- KHAGESWAR PARIDA	SOMPUR PRO. UPS	15.04.17
65	KAMALAKANTA BEHERA	F- KSHETRA MOHAN BEHERA	SANDHAGADA PRO.UPS	15.04.17
66	PRIYANKA NAYAK	H- BISWARANJAN MALLICK	SAMUKAVEDI PRO.UPS	15.04.17
67	SUCHITA SAHOO	F- KASINATH SAHOO	KASHIJALAGOTHA PRO. UPS	15.04.17
68	UMAKANTA MALLIK	F- ANADI MALLIK	JANTUALI NUAGAON UP(ME) SCHOOL	15.04.17
69	PRAJNAPARAJITA NAYAK	F- PRASANTA KUMAR NAYAK	SUNDARPUR DOHARAPATANA M.E.SCHOOL	16.04.17
70	SUJIT KUMAR PARIDA	F- DHANESWAR PARIDA	PALATPUR PRO.UPS	16.04.17
71	BINODINI SWAIN	F- ADWAITA PRASAD SWAIN	MATHADRA NODAL UPS	25.04.17
72	MINATILATA SAHOO	H- AMIYA KUMAR SAHOO	SAHADEVDAS PATANA P.S.	05.05.17
73	SUMITA BASKEY	H- DASARATHI MURMU	FARSHIBANDHA PRO.UPS	25.04.17
74	RAJASHREE BISWAL	H- SWADHIN KUMAR DAS	PAHANA PS	27.04.17
75	JAYSMITA MOHAPATRA	F- GANGADHAR MOHAPATRA	KARANAPALLI UGMES	29.04.17
76	BALARAM DAS	F- NARAYAN DAS	CHHENAKHIA PRO.PS	30.04.17
77	HARAPRIYA BEHERA	H- NISHAKAR SAHOO	MALLEI PRO. PS, NARENDRAPUR	30.04.17
78	MANORANJAN DHAL	F- SURENDRA DHAL	SANAPANKI PS	30.04.17
79	ANJU RANA	H- BIKARTAN RANA	KADUANASI PRO.PS	30.04.17
80	SUBHRANJALI PATTANAIK	F- RAMESH CHANDRA PATTANAIK	NAIKULASAHI PRO. PS	30.04.17
81	SUMANTA KUMAR MANDAL	F- PARAMESWAR MANDAL	ANAKHASAHI PS	30.04.17
82	ARJUNA PATRA	F- UDAYA NATH PATRA	BHIMPUR PRO PS	01.05.17
83	RASMITA SAHU	H- SUJIT KUMAR BEHERA	KOLIADIA PS	01.05.17
84	HOLDEN PRAVA BAL	H- PRIYA RANJAN PRADHAN	JAGULA PRO.UPS	02.05.17
85	KABITA RANI SAHOO	H- SUDARSHAN BEHERA	BIJAYAPATANA LUNAPARI PRO.PS	02.05.17
86	ANURADHA MAHALIK	H- RAMESH CHANDRA MAHALIK	BIJAYAPATANA NODAL UPS	02.05.17

		F- KRUPASINDHU SAHU	LAKSHMIPS	05.05.17
88	RAJESH CHANDRA MAHALIK	F- BIJAYA MAHALIK	BANCHUA PROPS	05.05.17
89	[illegible]	F- AJIT KUMAR MAHNA	KARANJAPATI UGMES	05.05.17
[illegible]	[illegible]	F- BASANTA MAJHI	MANDALPADA PROPS	05.05.17
[illegible]	[illegible]	H- RABINDRANATH PATRA	BADGHATI PRO.PS, DODHIA	09.05.17
92	GOLOKA JENA	H- PRASHANT KUMAR JENA	KANDIASAHI PROPS	09.05.17
93	SHISUPRCHARAN NAYAK	F- PADMALOCHAN NAYAK	FARSHBANDHA PRO.UPS	10.05.17
94	LAXMIDHAR PANDA	F- DHANESWAR PANDA	P.O.I.G.U.P U.E. SCHOOL, ANANTANGA	10.05.17
95	SASMITARANI SINGH	H- SURESH SINGH	PANCHUTIKIRI G.P. NODAL HIGH SCHOOL	13.05.17
96	SHANTI SINGH	H- GOUTAM SINGH	KAITHAKHOLA WEST P.S	13.05.17
97	MANI KISKU	H- LAXMAN MAJHI	PARAMANANDAPUR PRO.UPS	13.05.17
98	NIRUPAMA MURMU	F- HARISH CHANDRA MURMU	MUAN PROJECT U.P. SCHOOL	13.05.17
99	AMAR KUMAR NAIK	F- KASHINATH NAIK	TALABANDHA PS	20.05.17
100	BISWANATH TUDU	F- TALAKESWAR TUDU	BALIAJODA NODAL UPS	20.05.17
101	GURUBARU SINGH	H- PURNACHANDRA SINGH	POKHARISAHI PRO.PS	20.05.17
102	BALADHAR SINGH	F- SUDARSAN SINGH	HARISHPUR PRO.UPS	20.05.17
103	JHANSIRANI MAJHI	H- BIJAY KUMAR MARNDI	AMARNAGAR PRO.UPS	20.05.17
104	JHUMI MARANDI	H- SANATAN SOREN	ERAMATIKIRI PS	20.05.17
105	MALATI BUDHANI	H- BIMAL CHANDRA SING	GANGADHARPUR PRO.PS	21.02.18
106	MALATI PANIADIA	F- ASHIMANYU PANIADIA	HALADIA UGUF SCHOOL	20.05.17
107	MANU MURMU	F- BHIMA MURMU	CHAMARAI NODAL HIGH SCHOOL, NARASINGHPUR	20.05.17
108	MOHESH KUMAR SINGH	F- KALI CHARAN SINGH	ANAKHASAHI PS	20.05.17
109	NANDITARANI DAS	F- KRUSHNA CHANDRA DAS	ORASAHI NODAL UPS	20.05.17
110	PUNGI TUDU	H- DHIRENDRA KISKU	MUAGAON PRO.PS	20.05.17
111	RADHAGOBINDA MAJHI	F- BA DHAR MAJHI	KRUSHNAPURPADA PRO.PS	20.05.17
112	RAJANIKANTA DEHURY	F- NAURI DEHURY	TARUKHA PS	20.05.17
113	RUHYA SOREN	F- BHAGABAT SOREN	KUDAKANTHI PS	20.05.17
114	SASMITA SINGH	H- PURNA CHANDRA SINGH	KAKHARUDIHI PRO.PS	20.05.17
115	SRIRAM SOREN	F- RENTA SOREN	BIJIPUR PRO.PS	20.05.17
116	SURENDRA KISKU	F- SUNU KISKU	BARAHAMPUR PS	20.05.17
117	SUSHILA MURMU	F- KRUSHNA CHANDRA MURMU	MATTO FEEDER PS	20.05.17
118	TULSIRANI MAJHI	H- MADHABANANDA MURMU	BIJAYAPATANA NODAL UPS	23.05.17
119	ARUNOKATH SAHU	H- AJAY KUMAR SAHOO	BODAK UGMES	18.07.17
120	ARSHAYA KUMAR PANDA	F- [illegible] CHARAN PANDA	SATHIBANKUDA NODAL UPS	20.07.17

.35 -152

121	SANTOSH KUMAR ROUT	F- GOURAHARI ROUT	GHAMAL PRO PS	01.08.17
122	SUSANTA KUMAR BAL	F- SUDARSANA BAL	GOVT UPGRADED HIGH SCHOOL, SENTALPUR	01.08.17
123	ANITA DAS	F- SUKDEV DAS	PAMAHNI PS	05.08.17
124	BIDYABIJAYINI DAS MOHAPATRA	F- SRIDHAR DAS	ITUA PRO. UP. SCHOOL	28.08.17
125	RABINDRA ROUT	RAMACHANDRA ROUT	[illegible] PRO UPS	25.08.17
126	RAGHUNATH HEMBRAM	F- [illegible] HEMBRAM	JAYMANGALA NODAL UPS, [illegible]	25.08.17
127	SASMITA MOHANTY	F- SANATAN MOHANTY	[illegible] NODAL UPS	[illegible].08.17
128	ASMITA PANDA	F- [illegible] NANDA PANDA	HALAGIRI UGMES	[illegible]
129	[illegible] CHARAN DAS	F- KRUSHNA DAS	BIJAYANAGAR UGMES	01.[illegible].17
130	ANANDA CHANDRA [illegible]	F- BRUNDABAN MAHALIK	BIJAYANAGAR UGMES	01.11.17
131	[illegible]GIRATHI SAMAL	F- SURENDRA SAMAL	JAGULA PRO UPS	02.11.17
132	MAMATARANI MOHANTY	F- UMESH CHANDRA MOHANTY	SARITA M.E. SCHOOL	02.11.17
133	NIBEDITA SAHOO	F- MADHUSUDAN SAHOO	R.R.UPMES, MATTO	03.11.17
134	BASANTILATA SINGH	F- LALMOHAN SINGH	POTAPOKHARI PRO.PS	24.11.17
135	DASARATHI MURMU	F- HARISCHANDRA MURMU	FARSHIBANDHA PRO.UPS	17.12.17
136	LAXMIPRIYA MURMU	F- MANDAL MURMU	PAIKIRAPUR PRO.PS	19.12.17
137	SANJAYA KUMAR DAS	F- ANANTA KUMAR DAS	JENAPUR PRO.UPS	19.12.17
138	SUKUMAR SINGH	F- SADHU CHARAN SINGH	KASTURIKANA PS	18.12.17
139	MAHESWAR DUARY	F- SICHESWAR DUARY	SHASIKADEIPUR PS	21.12.17
140	BIPLAB KUMAR PATRA	F- PRAFULLA KUMAR PATRA	NARASINGHAPRASAD PRO.UPS	23.12.17
				19.03.18

10/5/18

Collector-cum-Chairman,
SSA, Bhadrak

Memo No. 2339 /Date. 03-05-18

Copy to persons concerned for information and necessary action.

Copy forwarded to the Headmasters concerned for information and necessary action.

3.5.18

District Project Co-ordinator,
SSA, Bhadrak

Memo No. 2340 /Date. 03-05-18

Copy forwarded to the Block Education Officer, Chandabali/ D.E.O. Bhadrak for information and necessary action

3.5.18

District Project Co-ordinator,
SSA, Bhadrak

34 - 153 -

DISTRICT PROJECT OFFICE,
SARVA SIKSHYA ABHIYAN, BHADRAK

Order No. 2330 /Date. 03-05-18

In pursuance of Resolution No. 15107/SME/ dated.01.08.2011 of the Govt. in School & Mass Education Department, Odisha, the following Trained Junior Teachers under Basudevpur Block/Mplty who have completed six years of continuous satisfactory engagement as Sikshya Sahayaks and Junior Teachers taken together are hereby appointed as Regular Primary School Teachers (Level-V) in schools mentioned against their names. The scale of pay and other allowances shall be at par with the Primary School Teachers of Elementary Cadre (Level-V) under School & Mass Education Department, Odisha with effect from the date(s) mentioned against their names.

Sl. No.	Name of the Junior Teacher	Father's/ Husband's name	Name of the School	Date of appointment as Regular Teacher (Level-V)
1	SARASWATI MUKHI	H- SWAPNA SAGAR MUKHI	LUNGA PS	05.02.14
2	SARAT KUMAR MAHANI	F- NARENDRA PRASAD MAHANI	PARAPOKHARI PRO.UPS	27.06.15
3	SUMALINI DASH	H- PABITRA MOHAN PANDA	BACHHADA PS	31.06.16
4	BIJAYALAXMI PATTANAIK	H- RAMA CHANDRA RAY	ARUHA PS	11.07.16
5	KRUPASINDHU PANDA	F- BANCHHANIDHI PANDA	TALIA PS	26.02.16
6	JAYANTI KAR	H- RAMESH CHANDRA PARHI	SAMACHAKA PS	23.07.16
7	SUSHAMARANI DASH	H- PADMALOCHAN AGASTI	BHAIRABPUR UGMES	24.07.16
8	AJIT KUMAR MOHARANA	F- KAPIL CHARAN MOHARANA	SUGO PATANA NODAL UPS	22.03.17
9	ANIRUDHA GANTHIA	F- SARAT CHANDRA GANTHIA	SUNAMUHIN PRO.UPS	22.03.17
10	BIJAY KUMAR BEHERA	F- DAITYARI BEHERA	BADAKIARI PRO.PS	22.03.17
11	DILLIP KUMAR BARIK	F- SHIBA PRASAD BARIK	RADHANATHPUR ADIA PS	22.03.17
12	GADADHAR MAHAKUD	F- MAHESWAR MAHAKUD	KASHIPUR PRO.UPS	22.03.17
13	GAJENDRA SAHOO	F- NARAYAN SAHOO	LAXMIPRASAD PS	22.03.17
14	GANGADHAR BERA	F- PARAMESWAR BERA	BRAJANANDAPUR PRO.UPS	22.03.17
15	GAYATRI PRADHAN	H- SAMIRAN SAMAL	MATIGADIA BEHERASAHI PRO.PS	22.03.17
16	HEMANTA KUMAR MALLICK	F- BIRABHADRA MALLICK	MULADIHI PRO.UPS	12.04.17
17	JAYANTI ROUT	H- DINBANDHU ROUT	PARAPOKHARI PRO.UPS	22.03.17
18	JYOSTNA RANI BARIK	H- ANIL KUMAR BARIK	SUGO PATANA NODAL UPS	22.03.17
19	JYOTIRMAYA AGASTI	F- DINABANDHU AGASTI	UPENDRANATH UP(ME) SCHOOL, CHHATRAPADA MELAKSAHI	22.03.17

20	K. KULAMANI SAHU	F- KALAKAR SAHU	SUKDEVPUR PRO.UPS	22.03.17
21	KAILASH CHANDRA BARIK	F- LAMBODAR BARIK	B.C.NODAL UP(ME) SCHOOL, CHAKRADHARPUR	22.03.17
22	KHARAVELA PALAI	F- NARAYAN PALAI	CHHATRIA UGUP SCHOOL	22.03.17
23	LALMOHAN MANDAL	F- BANKIM CHANDRA MANDAL	RADHABALLAVAPUR UGMES	22.03.17
24	LAXMIPRIYA MISHRA	H- BAIKUNTHANATH DAS	PADHUAN KUMARPUR U.P(ME) SCHOOL, PADHUAN	22.03.17
25	MAHENDRA PRASAD BEHERA	F- BHAGIRATHI BEHERA	BALIMUNDA UP(ME) SCHOOL	22.03.17
26	MANAS RANJAN PATRA	F- NISHAKAR PATRA	MANMATHPUR PRO.UPS	22.03.17
27	MANINDRA KUMAR PRADHAN	F- MAHENDRA PRASAD PRADHAN	LANJIPADA PRO.PS	22.03.17
28	MANJULATA SAHOO	H- KAILASH CHANDRA BEHERA	MANDALAPOKHARI PS	22.03.17
29	MANORANJAN DHAL	F- SRIKANTA DHAL	TILADA PRO.PS	22.03.17
30	MEETANJALI NAYAK	H- PIYUSH RANJAN ROUT	D.P.M.ES, KUMBHIRAGADIA	22.03.17
31	MUKESH CHANDRA SAHOO	F- KRUSHNA CHANDRA SAHOO	RADHANATHPUR ADIA PS	22.03.17
32	NIBEDITA MALLICK	F- MADHABANANDA MALLICK	ERAM PS	22.03.17
33	NIKUNJA BEHARI MOHAPATRA	F- BIJAY KUMAR MOHAPATRA	ADHUAN NODAL UPS	22.03.17
34	NILADREE BIHAREE MOHAPATRA	F- BIJOY KUMAR MOHAPATRA	GOVT. UPGRADED HIGH SCHOOL, KUMARPUR	22.03.17
35	PRAMODEENI ROUT	H- CHITTARANJAN BHOI	PADHUAN KUMARPUR U.P(ME) SCHOOL, PADHUAN	22.03.17
36	RAJANIKANTA BEHERA	F- JHAMPA BEHERA	N.C.NODAL UPS, JAGANNATHPUR	22.03.17
37	RAJEEB LOCHAN OJHA	F- GIRISH CHANDRA OJHA	BALARAMPUR PRO.UPS	22.03.17
38	RAJESH KUMAR MOHANTY	F- ANIL KUMAR MOHANTY	M.S.NODAL UPS, NATIAPAL	22.03.17
39	RAMAKANTA BEHERA	F- NITYANANDA BEHERA	CHARABATIA UGMES	22.03.17
40	RAMAKANTA RANA	F- BHAGIRATHI RANA	KASHIPUR PRO.UPS	22.03.17
41	RAMESH CHANDRA PANDA	F- GANESH PRASAD PANDA	RADHABALLAVAPUR UGMES	22.03.17
42	RASMITA BHOI	H- BHABANI SANKAR DEY	KATUNIADI PRO.PS, PADHUAN	22.03.17
43	RATIKANTA BISWAL	F- SUDHAKAR BISWAL	N.C.NODAL UPS, JAGANNATHPUR	22.03.17
44	SANJULATA SAHOO	H- KAILASH CHANDRA BEHERA	NUAGAON UGMES	22.03.17
45	SANTANU KUMAR DAS	F- ANIRUDHA DAS	J.K. NODAL HIGH SCHOOL, PADMAPUR	22.03.17
46	SANTOSH KUMAR NAYAK	F- GOBINDA CHANDRA NAYAK	ANATIRA PRO.PS	22.03.17
47	SANTOSH KUMAR PATI	F- SHYAM SUNDAR PATI	GOVT. U.G. HIGH SCHOOL, GOBINDAPUR	22.03.17
48	SASHIKANTA PARIDA	F- UPENDRA PRASAD PARIDA	ARUHA PS	22.03.17
49	SASMITA BHUYAN	H- LAXMIDHAR MALLICK	MULADIHI PRO.UPS	22.03.17
50	SASMITA MALIK	H- MUKTIKANTA MALIK	NUAGAON UGMES	22.03.17
51	SHASWATI BARIK	H- SUSANTA KUMAR BEJ	RADHABALLAVAPUR UGMES	22.03.17
52	SHIB PRASAD BARIK	F- MAHENDRA PRASAD BARIK	BALARAMPUR PRO.UPS	22.03.17

53	SUCHISMITA SAHU	H- BIJAY KUMAR PANDA	SARAPOKHARIADI PRO.UPS	22.03.17
54	SUKANTI PRADHAN	H- HEMANTA KUMAR BEHERA	BASUDEVPUR UGMES	22.03.17
55	SUKUMAR PANDA	F- RATNAKAR PANDA	RUKUNADEIPUR PRO.UPS	08.06.17
56	SUNIL KUMAR PARIDA	F- MUKTIKANTA PARIDA	CHANDIA, ALABAGA K.G.M.E. SCHOOL	22.03.17
57	SUSANTA KUMAR PATRA	F- TRILOCHAN PATRA	BINAPANI PRO.UPS, SANAKRUSHNAPUR	22.03.17
58	SUVASHREE SUVADARSINI PALAI	H- SUSHANTA KUMAR NAYAK	SUDARSANPUR UGMES	22.04.17
59	TUNI DAS	H- KAILASH CHANDRA NATH	D.M.NODAL UPS, RADHAKANTAPUR	22.03.17
60	SNIGDHARANI BARIK	H- DIPTIRANJAN MAHAKUD	BALINAGAR UGMES	23.03.17
61	ANJANA MALIK	H- YAJNESH CHANDRA MAHALIK	PADHUAN HARIJAN PS	04.04.17
62	APARAJITA BHOI	H- TAPAS KUMAR NAYAK	SABARPUR URDU UGMES	04.04.17
63	GOPA RANJAN MALIK	F- GOURANGA MALIK	NANDAPUR UGMES	04.04.17
64	KAUSALYA DAS	H- LAXMAN DAS	UHADA UGMES	04.04.17
65	LATIKA SETHI	H- AMAR NARAYAN MOHANTY	SABARPUR URDU UGMES	04.04.17
66	MADHUSMITA MALIK	F- SHYAMSUNDAR MALIK	CHHENAKHIA PRO.PS	04.04.17
67	MADHUSMITA NAYAK	H- SHISIR KUMAR ROUT	SUKDEVPUR PRO.UPS	04.04.17
68	MALATI LATA ROUT	H- GAJENDRA PRASAD NAYAK	S.S.J.S.NODAL UPS, SUAN	04.04.17
69	RAMAKANTA DALAI	F- BANDHU DALAI	BIDEIPUR BANGALIPADA UGMES	04.04.17
70	RAMESH CHANDRA NATH	F- BAISHNAB CHARAN NATH	MANDARI UP(ME) SCHOOL	04.04.17
71	RASHMIRANJAN MUDULI	F- RABINDRA MUDULI	BIDEIPUR GADI PS	04.04.17
72	SABITRI BARIK	H- SURENDRA PALLAI	OLAGADA PRO.UPS	04.04.17
73	SANJUKTA BHOI	H- RAMA CHANDRA BHOI	UHADA UGMES	04.04.17
74	SUKANTILATA SAHOO	H- SATYAJIT BEHERA	JAGANNATHPUR PS	04.04.17
75	SUSAMA DEY	H- MANAGOBINDHA PRADHAN	MOHANPUR PS	04.04.17
76	TAPAS RANJAN SETHI	F- MANI BHADRA SETHI	TULUNTULA NODAL UPS	04.04.17
77	NAMITARANI DAS	H- RAJESH KUMAR MOHANTY	BANSHIPATANA PS	04.05.17
78	SHASHIREKHA BEHERA	H- BIKARATAN BEHERA	CHUDAMANI PS	04.05.17
79	PRIYANKA PRIYADARSINI SATAPATHY	H- MANORANJAN SAHOO	L.D. NODAL UPS, BARANDUA	05.01.18
80	ABANTI LATA NAYAK	H- TANMAYA KUMAR LENKA	SRIDHAR EKADASHI NODAL HIGH SCHOOL, LUNGA	05.04.17
81	BHAGABATI BASKEY	H- AMBAI SOREN	BRAJANANDAPUR PRO.UPS	05.04.17
82	BIDYULATA SWAIN	H- SOURINDRA MOHAN CHAND	NANDAPATANA PRO.UPS	05.04.17
83	GAYADHAR MALLICK	F- SHYAMSUNDAR MALLICK	BIDEIPUR GADI PS	05.04.17
84	ITISHREE SAHOO	H- ABHIMANYU SAHOO	KALIDASPUR PRO.UPS	05.09.17
85	KUSUM LATA MALIK	H- BUDHIRAM MALIK	GUAGAON PRO.UPS	05.04.17

27-156-

86	PRASANT KUMAR MALIK	F- KINURAM MALIK	GARUDESWAR NODAL UPS	05.04.17
87	RABINDRA SETHI	F- NISHAKAR SETHI	BALIGHAI PRO.PS	05.04.17
88	RANJITA SETHI	H- NARENDRA NATH SETHI	BADAHABELISAHI PS	05.04.17
89	SUCHITRA BEHERA	H- KAMALAKANTA BEHERA	BINAPANI PRO.UPS, SANAKRUSHNAPUR	05.04.17
90	SUSANTA KUMAR BEHERA	F- INDRAMANI BEHERA	AYODHYA PRO.UPS	05.04.17
91	SASMITA PANDUA	H- PRAVAT KUMAR MANDAL	DANGUASAHI PRO.PS	08.05.17
92	BIRUPAKSHYA DAS	F- RABINDRANATH DAS	BALIMUNDA PS	07.04.17
93	GITANJALI NAYAK	F- MUKTIKANTA NAYAK	NAGENDRA NATH UP(ME) SCHOOL, CHUDAMANI ADIA	08.04.17
94	ABHISHEKA KUMAR PATRA	F- GOPAL CHANDRA PATRA	JEEVANPUR PRO. UPS	09.04.17
95	SMITARANI MALLICK	H- SUSANTA KUMAR PARIDA	N.G.NODAL UPS, JAGANNATHPUR	13.04.17
96	SNEHALATA MALIK	H- PRAFULLA KUMAR MALIK	SUAN HARIJAN PS	13.04.17
97	SUKANTA KUMAR MALIK	F- RATNAKAR MALIK	JHADESWARI PS	13.04.17
98	TAPAN KUMAR BARIK	F- PANCHANAN BARIK	BALIMED NODAL UPSS	13.04.17
99	PUSPA MOHALIK	H- RAMESH MOHALIK	SANDHAGADA PRO.UPS	15.04.17
100	RAJESH KUMAR DAS	F- DIBAKAR DAS	APARTIPUR PRO.UPS	15.04.17
101	SARBESWAR MALIK	F- UCHHABA MALIK	KHEMESWAR UP(ME) SCHOOL, CHUDAMANI	15.04.17
102	SAROJ KUMAR SETHI	F- NARAYAN CHANDRA SETHI	MALLIKADEIPUR PS	15.04.17
103	TUTUNA SAHOO	F- SHIBA PRASAD SAHOO	KHEMESWAR UP(ME) SCHOOL, CHUDAMANI	15.04.17
104	ANUSAYA MOHAPTRA	H- SOURAV NARAYAN JENA	JAMINIKANTA UGMES	16.04.17
105	CHANDAMANI MALIK	H- ASHOK MALIK	JAMINIKANTA UGMES	16.04.17
106	SWARNAPRAVA SAHU	F- UPENDRA SAHU	BARABATIA UGUP SCHOOL	26.04.17
107	AMRUTA PRITAM PARHI	F- UMAKANTA PARHI	BACHHIPUR PS	07.03.18
108	ARATI BEHERA	H- NARENDRA PRASAD SAHOO	SUAN HARIJAN PS	30.04.17
109	BHAGYALATA PANDA	H- PRADIPTA KUMAR SAHOO	ARUHA PS	30.04.17
110	BISWAMOHAN JENA	F- MAHENDRA JENA	KHEMESWAR UP(ME) SCHOOL, CHUDAMANI	30.04.17
111	CHITRANGADA PANIGRAHI	H- RAJKISHORE PARHI	KANTHISAHI PRO.PS	30.04.17
112	DAMAYANTI BEHERA	H- RAMESH CHANDRA SAHOO	BACHHADA PS	30.04.17
113	DAMODAR BEHERA	F- GAJANAN BEHERA	SANAKURUBATIA PRO.PS	30.04.17
114	DEBASMITA SAHOO	H- DIGANTA KUMAR SAHOO	TENTULIA PRO.PS	30.04.17
115	GOUTAM BEHERA	F- RAJENDRA PRASAD BEHERA	KISMAT KRUSHNAPUR PS	30.04.17
116	JYOTISHREE JYOTIRMAYEE BARIK	F- BANAMALI BARIK	BHAIRABPUR UGUPS	06.05.17
117	KAILASH CHANDRA BEHERA	F- MANIBHADRA BEHERA	BALIGHAI PRO.PS	30.04.17
118	KALPANA MANJARI MALIK	H- SHREEKANTA MALIK	LANJIPADA PRO.PS; ADHUAN	30.04.17

286 - 157

119	MADHUSMITA SAHOO	H- SUMENDRA BEHERA	GOBINDABINDHA PS	30.04.17
120	MANASI MOHANTY	H- ASHOK KUMAR ROUT	ERAM PS	30.04.17
121	MANASI RANI NAYAK	H- PRASANTA KUMAR SAMAL	NUAGAON HARIJAN PS	30.04.17
122	MANORAMA BEHERA	H- BIDYADHAR SAHOO	PARA PS	30.04.17
123	MINATI BEHERA	H- MUKESH CHANDRA SAHOO	PADHUAN PS	30.04.17
124	NIRMAL KUMAR NAYAK	F- RAMAKANTA NAYAK	KASHIMALAGOTH PRO.PS	30.04.17
125	PRAMILA MANJARI BEHERA	H- MANORANJAN NAYAK	ERAM PS	30.04.17
126	PRIYANKA BEHERA	H- SASHIKANTA BEHERA	ARUHA PS	30.04.17
127	RASMITA BEHERA	F- GANGADHAR BEHERA	ISWARPUR PS	30.04.17
128	ROJI PRADHAN	H- K. SANTOSH PRADHAN	S.S.J.S.NODAL UPS, SUAN	30.04.17
129	RUKMANI BEHERA	H- PRADEEP KUMAR BEHERA	ADHUAN NODAL UPS	30.04.17
130	RUPASHREE BHOI	H- RAKESH KUMAR NAYAK	BACHHADA PS	30.04.17
131	SANDEEP KUMAR BEJ	F- PURNA CHANDRA BEJ	CHARIPOKHARIA PRO.PS	30.04.17
132	SANJAYA PANDA	F- NITYANANDA PANDA	BINAPANI PRO.UPS	30.04.17
133	SANJUKTA BEHERA	H- MURALIDHAR MOHANTY	LAXMIDEI NODAL UPS, BARANDUA	30.04.17
134	SAPAN KUMAR SAHOO	F- SRIDHAR SAHOO	MANMATHPUR PRO.UPS	30.04.17
135	SARAT KUMAR BARIK	F- SUDHAKAR BARIK	BINAYAKPUR PS	30.04.17
136	SASMITA MOHANTY	H- DILLIP KUMAR BEHERA	KAMINI KUMARI PRO. UPS, MADHUSUDANPUR	30.04.17
137	STALINA BHOI	F- KALANDI BHOI	MANDARI HARIJAN PS	30.04.17
138	SUJATA MOHARANA	H- GOBINDA CHANDRA MOHARANA	CHHATRIA UGUP SCHOOL	30.04.17
139	SUKANTI PATRA	H- GOLAK PRASAD PUHAN	RADHAMOHANPUR UGMES	30.04.17
140	SURYA KANTA SWAIN	F- RAMAKANTA SWAIN	UHADA UGMES	30.04.17
141	SUSREE SUBHRANSITA MALLICK	H- BHASKAR CHANDRA PALAI	MANDARI PS	30.04.17
142	SWARNAPRAVA TRIPATHY	H- DEEPAK KUMAR MISHRA	NUAGAON UGMES	30.04.17
143	UPALI APARAJITA PARIDA	H- SUBHASHISA SAHOO	BALIMED NODAL UPS	30.04.17
144	USHARANI SAHOO	H- PRUTHWIRAJ SAHOO	MANDARI PS	30.04.17
145	MADHUSMITA LENKA	H- SUSANTA KUMAR NAYAK	ARTUNG PS	01.05.17
146	MANDAKINI BEHERA	H- RAMESH CHANDRA BEHERA	ARTUNG PS	01.05.17
147	AVIMANYU SETHI	F- ARJUN SETHI	BAGADA DASH SAHI PRO.PS	02.05.17
148	BIDYADHAR MALIK	F- SARBESWAR MALIK	KASHIPUR PRO.UPS	02.05.17
149	DEEPIKARANI PALAI	H- TUSHARKANTA DAS	ERTAL HARIJAN PS	02.05.17
150	LIZASMITA NAYAK	F- KARTIK CHANDRA NAYAK	MANGALAPADA PS	02.05.17
151	NARAHARI MANNA	F- GOURAHARI MANNA	UPENDRANATH GHERI PS, BIDEIPURPAL	02.05.17

37-158.

152	NIRANJAN NAYAK	F- BAIKUNTHA NAYAK	B.C.NODAL UP(ME) SCHOOL, CHAKRADHARPUR	02.05.17
153	PUSPANJALI MALIK	F- DAITARI MALIK	BIDEIPUR NODAL UP(ME) SCHOOL	02.05.17
154	SAKUNTALA PATRA	H- PRATAP KUMAR ROUT	AMAJIA UGMES	02.05.17
155	SMITARANI DASH	H- CHANDRA SEKHAR PANDA	DHATAPUR PS	02.05.17
156	TILOTTAMA NAYAK	H- SUKANTA KUMAR GHADAI	NANDAPUR UGMES	02.05.17
157	NITYANANDA SETHY	F- GHANASHYAM SETHY	BALIBINDHA PS	05.05.17
158	RANJULATA BARIK	H- SUBRAT KUMAR NAYAK	RASH BIHARI VIDYA MANDIR(ME), GUAGADIA	05.05.17
159	SARMILA NAYAK	H- RAMAKANTA SAHOO	KALIDASPUR PRO.UPS	06.05.17
160	LAXMI BEHERA	H- KALANDI BISWAL	NUAGAON HARIJAN PS	08.05.17
161	ANITA BHOI	H- RASHMI RANJAN PALAI	M.S.NODAL UPS, NATIAPAL	09.05.17
162	MAMATARANI SAHOO	H- DEBABRATA DASH	NAIKANIDIHI PRO. UPS	14.05.17
163	SMRUTI REKHA MOHAKUD	F- AMULYA MOHAKUD	GOPALASAHI PRO.PS, CHUDAMANI	14.05.17
164	JYOTSNARANI MALIK	H- RANJAN KUMAR MALIK	NATAGADIA PRO.PS	01.06.17
165	SUMITRA MANJARI SING	F- SADASIBA SING	DIPIORA PRO.UPS	01.06.17
166	MAMATAMAYEE MANDAL	F- KRUSHNA CHANDRA MANDAL	PADHUAN PS	02.06.17
167	GITANJALI NATH	H- SURENDRA GAHAN	SUAN HARIJAN PS	13.06.17
168	GITANJALI BEHERA	H- BIJAY KUMAR BEHERA	BINAYAKPUR UP(ME) SCHOOL	20.06.17
169	MAHESWAR JENA	F- BAULI JENA	BELASAUNLIA NODAL UPS	01.07.17
170	SURYAKANTA BEHERA	F- KRUSHNA CHANDRA BEHERA	BINAPANI PRO.UPS	21.07.17
171	SUBASH CHANDRA BARIK	F- BAINA BARIK	APARTIPUR PRO.UPS	29.08.17
172	BICHITRA KUMAR DAS	F- PURNANANDA DAS	NUAGAON UGMES	30.08.17
173	DEBASISH GHOSH	F- NARANDRA GHOSH	KALIDASPUR PRO.UPS	30.08.17
174	SUBHANGINI SAHOO	H- RASHMIRANJAN DAS	BINAYAKPUR MES	30.08.17
175	CHINMAYEE MOHAPATRA	F- KHAGESWAR MOHAPATRA	JAGANNATHPUR PS	01.09.17
176	RANJITA NAYAK	H- DIPTIKANTA MOHAPATRA	SARAPOKHARIADI PRO.UPS	10.09.17
177	GAYATRI MALLICK	F- GOURANGA CHANDRA MALLICK	PADMAPUR PS	01.10.17
178	ABHIMANYU SAHOO	F- RAGHUNATH SAHOO	GOBINDABINDHA PS	01.11.17
179	BISHNU MOHAN SAHOO	F- KRUSHNA CHANDRA SAHOO	D.M.NODAL UPS, RADHAKANTAPUR	09.11.17
180	RATIKANTA PANIGRAHI	F- UMAKANTA PANIGRAHI	RADHAMOHANPUR UGMES	09.11.17
181	SANJAYA KUMAR DUTTA	F- CHINTAMANI DUTTA	PATANAMISHRAPUR PS	09.11.17
182	SUJATA DAS	H- GAGAN BIHARI BARIK	MAKHALPUR PS	09.11.17
183	AKSHAYA KUMAR DAS	F- NISHAKAR DAS	G.D.NODAL UPS, BRAHMANIGAON	14.11.17
184	SUVALAXMI MOHANTY	H- GOBARDHAN SWAIN	SARAPOKHARIADI PRO.UPS	15.11.17

40 -159-

185	PRADOSH KUMAR BISWAL	F- AJAYA KUMAR BISWAL	BRAJANANDAPUR PRO.UPS	23.12.17
186	SARITA SAHOO	H- HEMANTA KUMAR PANDA	NUAGAON HARIJAN PS	23.12.17
187	NILIMA PRIYADARSINI DASH	F- RAMAKANTA DASH	BANAMALI DAS NODAL HIGH SCHOOL, ARANDUA	11.01.18
188	PRATIMA TRIPATHY	H- SUSANT KU PANDA	S.S.J.S.NODAL UPS, SUAN	27.01.18
189	SUMATI HATI	H- SARBESWAR PRADHAN	SUNGUDA PS	27.01.18
190	JALADHAR BEHERA	F- ANADI CHARAN BEHERA	TULUMTULA NODAL UPS	02.03.18
191	KESHAB CHANDRA BARIK	F- SHYAMSUNDAR BARIK	BARIKBASTI PRO.PS	02.03.18
192	HEMAPRAVA DAS	H- SUSHIL KUMAR DAS	KISMAT KRUSHNAPUR UPMES	08.03.18
193	BIDYUTPRAVA KAR	H- BASANTA KUMAR DASH	GOVT. U.G. HIGH SCHOOL, KUMARPUR	12.03.18
194	PRASANTA KUMAR PARHI	F- DHANESWAR PARHI	N.R.NODAL UPS, NARENDRAPUR	16.03.18
195	BICHITRA KUMAR PANDA	F- JANARDAN PANDA	CHHATRIA UGUP SCHOOL	17.03.18
196	GANGADHAR SETHI	F- JAGABANDHU SETHI	TAMBAKHANDI PS	20.03.18

Collector-cum-Chairman,
SSA, Bhadrak

Memo No. 2331 /Date. 03-05-18

Copy to persons concerned for information and necessary action.

Copy forwarded to the Headmasters concerned for information and necessary action.

3.5.18
District Project Co-ordinator,
SSA, Bhadrak

Memo No. 2332 /Date. 03-05-18

Copy forwarded to the Block Education Officer, Basudevpur/ D.E.O.,Bhadrak for information and necessary action.

3.5.18
District Project Co-ordinator,
SSA, Bhadrak

Memo No. 2333 /Date. 03-05-18

Copy submitted to the State Project Director, OPEPA, Bhubaneswar/ Director, Elementary Education Odisha,Bhubaneswar/ Addl. Secretary to Govt., S & M.E.Deptt.,Odisha, Bhubaneswar for favour of kind information and necessary action.

3.5.18
District Project Co-ordinator,
SSA, Bhadrak

True Copy Attested

Advocate

CHIEF JUSTICE'S COURT -160- 18 JAN 2016 HIGH COURT OF ORISSA

ANNEXURE-3

IN THE HIGH COURT OF ORISSA, CUTTACK.

W.P. (C) NO. 790 /2016.

CODE NO. 310701

In the matter of

An application under Article 226 & 227 of the Constitution of India;

And

In the matter of:

An application challenging the illegal and arbitrary order dtd.21.8.2015 of the Opp. Party No.2 in connection with the matters of appointment as Sikshya Sahayak.

And

In the matter of :

1. Jayantilata Sahoo, aged about 33 years, W/o. Abhaya Kumar Behera, At/P.O. Alabaga, Via. Ertal, Dist. Bhadrak.

Presented in Court 13/1/16. B.O.

2. Jyotirmayee Nayak, aged about 37 years, W/o. Sukanta Kishore Nayak, At. Chinol, P.O. Guagadia, Via. Ertal, Dist. Bhadrak.

.... **Petitioners.**

- Versus -

1. State of Orissa, represented through it's Commissioner-cum-Secretary, School and Mass Education Department, Orissa, At-Secretariat Building, Bhubaneswar, P.O. Bhubaneswar. District. Khurda.

2. Collector-cum-Chief Executive Officer, Zilla Parishad, Bhadrak, At/P.O/Dist. Bhadrak.

3. District Project Coordinator of Bhadrak S.S.A. Bhadrak, At/P.O/Dist. Bhadrak.

4. Lija Smita Nayak, aged about 29 years, D/o. Kartik Ch. Nayak, At- Bachhada, P.O. Jagannathpur Bachhada, Dist. Bhadrak. At present working as Sikshya Sahayak, Under Bhadrak District.

5. Sanju Rana, aged about 30 years, D/o. Lambodhar Rana, At/P.O. Binayakpur,P.S. Basudevpur , Dist. Bhadrak. At present working as Sikshya Sahayak, Under Bhadrak District.

.. .. **Opposite Parties**

-161-

IN THE HIGH COURT OF ORISSA AT CUTTACK

W.P.(C) No. 720 of 2016

Jayantilata Sahoo & Others .... **Petitioner**

Mr. M.K. Sahoo, Advocate

- Versus -

State of Odisha & Ors. .... **Opp. Party**

Addl. Government Advocate
Mr. D.N. Rath, Adv. for O.P. Nos. 1 & 5
Mr. D.R. Nanda, Adv. for intervener

CORAM:
JUSTICE SASHIKANTA MISHRA

ORDER
28.03.2024

Order No.
22.

1. This matter is taken up through hybrid mode.

2. Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned counsel for the opposite parties.

3. It is agreed by learned counsel for the parties that the issue involved is covered by the judgment of this Court rendered in the connected mater in **Surekha Samal and others vs. State of Odisha** (W.P.(C) No.18706 of 2015, disposed of on 28.03.2024)

4. Therefore, in view of the reasons stated in the detailed judgment passed in Surekha Samal (supra), this writ petition is allowed. The impugned order under Annexure-12 is hereby quashed. The opposite party Nos. 2 and 3 are directed to recast the merit list by excluding the Vocational/ Upasastri candidates (opposite party Nos. 4 and 5) and by issuing appropriate order of engagement in favour of the petitioners either as Sikshya Sahayaks or in any equivalent post without any further delay and in any case, not later than four months.

5. Issue urgent certified copy as per rules.

Sd/- S. Mishra, J

A.K. Rana

2

-1625

IN THE HIGH COURT OF ORISSA AT CUTTACK

W.P.(C) No. 18706 of 2015

Application under Articles 226 & 227 of Constitution of India.

AFR **Surekha Samal & Ors.** ..... **Petitioners**

- Versus -

State of Orissa & others ..... **Opp. Parties**

Advocate(s) appeared in this case:-

For Petitioners : M/s. Mahendra Kumar Sahu, & Mr. S.K. Rath, Advocates.

For Opp. Parties : Mr. S.N. Pattnaik, Addl. Government Advocate

[illegible].N. Rath & P.K. Rout. (O.P. No.4)

[illegible] Behera, Advocate (O.P. Nos. 5 & 6)

CORAM:

JUSTICE SASHIKANTA MISHRA

JUDGMENT
28th March, 2024

SASHIKANTA MISHRA, J.

The order dated 21.08.2015 passed by the Collector-cum- Chief Executive Officer, Zilla Parishad, Bhadrak in Misc. Case No. 03/2014 pursuant to direction of

- 163 -

this Court in W.P.(C) No. 5077 of 2014 and batch is under challenge in the present writ application.

FACTS

2. An advertisement was issued by the Collector, Bhadrak on 08.12.2011 inviting applications from eligible candidates for engagement as Sikshya Sahayaks. The educational qualification required was, the candidate must have passed +2 examination in Science/Arts/Commerce or its equivalent examination conducted by appropriate authority and C.T. training. Pursuant to such advertisement, the petitioners submitted their applications. A merit list was prepared for all categories of candidates. Petitioner No.1 was placed at serial No. 1 of the merit list, Petitioner No.2 at serial No.4 of UR merit list and petitioner No.3 at serial No. 1 of SEBC female list. In the initial provisional merit list of different categories, vocational course qualified candidates were not included, for which some of them approached this Court in W.P.(C) No. 6438 of 2011 and 6458 of 2011. By order dated 18.03.2011, a coordinate Bench of this Court disposed of the writ applications directing that the cases of

HIGH COURT ORISSA

the candidates (petitioners therein), who have completed +2 Vocational course with C.T. training shall be considered by the Collector-cum-CEO, Zilla Parishad and the provisional list of selected candidates already published shall be revised accordingly. Pursuant to such order, a fresh merit list was prepared including the candidates with Vocational qualification. At this stage some of the candidates similarly situated as the petitioners submitted grievance before the authorities (opposite party Nos. 2 and 3) that the Vocational candidates and Upasastri candidates had obtained those certificates by suppressing the fact of their passing +2 Arts/Commerce/Science examination earlier which amounts to fraud. Further, some bonafide candidates having Vocational qualification approached this Court in W.P.(C) No. 7478 of 2011, which was disposed of by order dated 24.03.2011 directing the District Project Coordinator, SSA, Bhadrak to consider and dispose of the representation submitted by those petitioners within three weeks. Accordingly, opposite party Nos. 2 and 3 conducted enquiry into the allegations regarding submission of fake certificates but without completing the same, published a second merit

list including their names with the remark that enquiry is pending against the Vocational and Upasastri candidates. Being aggrieved, some similarly situated persons including the petitioners approached this Court in W.P.(C) No. 18256 of 2011, which was disposed of by order dated 15.07.2011 with direction to the authorities to complete the enquiry and thereafter consider the representation of the petitioners in the light of the enquiry report. It was however, made clear that the authorities shall not appoint the candidates against whom the enquiry is pending till it is complete. Notwithstanding such order, the opposite party Nos. 2 and 3 asked the Vocational/ Upasastri candidates to furnish an undertaking that they had not passed +2 Arts/Commerce/Science before obtaining Vocational/ Upasastri certificates. The concerned candidates submitted individual undertakings that they had not passed any other +2 course examination other than Vocational/ Upasastri examination and if such undertaking is found to be incorrect they shall be disengaged from the post of Sikshya Sahayak. As such, opposite party Nos. 2 and 3 issued conditional engagement orders in favour of those candidates making it

HIGH COURT ORISSA

subject to the order of this Court in W.P.(C) No. 7478 of 2011 with further stipulation that if any irregularity is detected in future, the engagement would be terminated. The enquiry proceeded thereafter and on its completion it was established that all those candidates had passed +2 examination earlier but had suppressed such fact to further prosecute Vocational/ Upasastri courses in contravention of the CHSE Act and Regulations and Sanskrit University Regulations. Accordingly, all six candidates including the private opposite party Nos. 4, 5 and 6 were issued with second show cause notices for disengagement and private opposite parties approached this Court in W.P.(C) No.32024 of 2011, in which an interim order of protection was granted. At this stage, the petitioners and similarly placed candidates, who had been deprived of appointment because of the inclusion of the Vocational/Upasastri candidates filed independent writ applications challenging the engagement of the so called fake candidates. Several such writ applications having been filed, all were clubbed together and finally disposed of by a common order passed by this Court on 05.05.2014 in W.P.(C) No. 5077 of 2014 and batch. After taking note of the

relevant facts, a coordinate Bench of this Court, inter alia, held as follows:

> *"In view of the above, the Collector-cum-C.E.O. S.S.A. Bhadrak is directed to take a decision by taking into consideration the show cause reply along with the enquiry report after supplying copy of the enquiry report to the petitioners and affording reasonable opportunity of hearing to them.*
>
> *It is needless to mention that if the petitioners have committed fraud by submitting the +2 Vocational course certificates contrary to the C.H.S.E. Act and the Regulations then they shall be disengaged and consequently the merit list shall be recast. The entire exercise shall be completed within a period of three months from the date of receipt of the certified copy of this order.*
>
> *However this Court has not expressed any opinion on the merits of the case.* [Emphasis added]

Pursuant to such order, the petitioners and the Vocational/ Upasastri candidates including the private opposite parties appeared before the Collector and participated in the hearing. After considering the contentions raised by the parties, the Collector vide order dated 21.08.2015, copy of which is enclosed as Annexure-12, refused to interfere in the matter by holding that even though there is evidence of suppression of facts by the Vocational/ Upasastri candidates but the examination conducting authority had not cancelled or withdrawn the certificates issued in their favour. Furthermore, those persons had put in around four to five

years of service. Assailing the order of the Collector, the petitioners have approached this Court seeking the following relief:

> *"Under the above facts and circumstances of the case, it is humbly prayed that this Hon'ble High Court be pleased to allow the writ application by granting following reliefs-*
>
> *A. An appropriate writ/writs be issued quashing the impugned order under Annexure-12 and in consequence thereof the conditional engagement orders be declared illegal and arbitrary.*
>
> *B. An appropriate writ / writs be issued to recast the merit list by excluding the fake Vocational and Upasastri candidates those have obtained engagement by suppressing the material facts as described above and thereafter necessary direction be made to engage the petitioners as Sikshya Sahayak with all benefits at par with others functionally.*
>
> *(C) Or in alternative, any other writ(s), direction(s), order(s) be issued as this Hon'ble Court may deem fit and proper"*

3. Counter affidavit has been filed by the opposite party Nos. 2 and 3 seeking to justify the impugned order. It was reiterated that the certificates issued by the Council of Higher Secondary Education, Odisha or Shri Jagannath Sanskrit University, Puri in favour of the Vocational/Upasastri candidates had not been cancelled and that the selection committee cannot ignore the certificates nor has authority to cancel the same.

4. Counter affidavit has also been filed on behalf of private opposite party No.4. It is stated that the certificate issuing authority not having cancelled the certificate issued in her favour, the opposite party No.3 cannot declare the same as illegal and void. Moreover, the restriction regarding appearing of candidate in Vocational examination after passing +2 examination came in the year 2011 whereas she had obtained such qualification in the year 2008. In any case, having already issued a certificate it would not be permissible for the authority to cancel/withdraw the certificate as the law of estoppel would apply.



5. In the rejoinder filed by the petitioners it has been stated that the candidates in question are guilty of wilful suppression of fact, which was accepted by the Collector and therefore, it was not proper for him to not interfere in the matter. It was clearly proved that the private opposite parties had submitted false undertakings, which amounts to fraud.

6. Heard Mr. M.K. Sahoo, learned counsel for the petitioners; Mr. S.N. Pattnaik, learned Addl. Government

Advocate for the State and Mr. D.N. Rath, learned counsel appearing for the private opposite party No.4.

7. Mr. Sahoo forcefully argues that having accepted that Vocational/Upasastri candidates had suppressed material facts and had submitted false undertakings only for the purpose of getting engagement as Sikshya Sahayak, it was not open to the Collector to not interfere in the matter. Referring to the reasoning adopted by the Collector for his disinclination to interfere in the matter, Mr. Sahoo would argue that wilful suppression of facts and submission of false undertakings amounts to playing fraud on the authorities, which can never be countenanced in law. As such, the engagement orders issued in favour of these candidates are null and void. It is for these illegal appointments that bonafide candidates like the petitioners were deprived of their legitimate right of being engaged as Sikshya Sahayaks at the relevant time.

HIGH COURT ORISSA

8. Mr. S.N. Pattnaik, learned Addl. Government Advocate would argue that the Collector only found that the candidates had submitted false undertakings but the

concerned authorities had not cancelled/withdrawn the certificates issued in their favour. Whether the certificates were required to be cancelled/withdrawn is a matter to be decided by the certificate issuing authority but not the authority conducting selection of candidates for Sikshya Sahayaks. According to Mr. Pattnaik therefore, the Collector on equitable considerations rightly refused to interfere in the matter as the candidates in question have in the meantime rendered five to six years of service.

9. Mr. D.N. Rath, learned counsel for opposite party No.4 submits that there was no restriction at the relevant time for a candidate to obtain educational course after having passed +2 examination. Such restriction came only in the year 2011. Mr. Rath further submits that even assuming that the candidates (private opposite parties) had submitted any wrong undertaking, the same cannot nullify their certificates as long as the same have not been withdrawn/cancelled by the appropriate authority.

10. The basic facts of the case as narrated in the writ application are not disputed. The enquiry was conducted by

HIGH COURT OF ORISSA
FILED
23 APR 2024
172
REGISTRAR (JUDICIAL)

the Collector in pursuance of direction of this Court in W.P.(C) No.18256 of 2011. Further, the Collector was directed to consider the matter by hearing all the concerned parties and on consideration of the enquiry report. The enquiry report as such, has not been brought on record by any of the parties but attention of this Court has been drawn to the undertakings given by the private opposite parties, copies of which are enclosed as Annexture-4 series. In each of these undertakings the executants have solemnly affirmed that they have not passed the examination before appearing at the Vocational/ Upasasthi examination. This undertaking was found to be false as would be evident from a perusal of the impugned order passed by the Collector. In this regard, the finding of the Collector is as follows:

HIGH COURT
ORISSA



> *"(i) The students obtaining the Vocational certificates after completing +2 ARTS/Science/Commerce or concurrently having two courses and obtaining certificates. But their certificates have not been withdrawn or cancelled by the competent authorities."*

The Collector further held as follows:

> *"It is a fact that, the successful candidates have given an undertaking that they have not passed the +2 ARTS/Science/Commerce stream prior to the Vocational Course. But subsequent arguments and production of documents show that this is not correct*

> *and there is suppression of facts. But this is not enough to disqualify the successful candidates and declare their recruitment as void.*
>
> *(emphasis supplied)*

It is therefore, clear that the Collector had accepted the factual position that the private opposite parties had suppressed the fact of passing +2 examination prior to the Vocational course. At this stage, it would apposite to consider whether it was permissible under the relevant Rules/Regulations for the private opposite parties to have acquired Vocational qualification after completing +2 course.

11. Mr. Rath, learned counsel appearing for the private opposite party No.4 has referred to the CHSE Regulations to argue that the prohibition came in the year 2011, whereas the private opposite parties had acquired such qualification prior to that. Mr. Sahoo, learned counsel for the petitioner on the other hand with reference to the Odisha Higher Secondary Education Act, 1982 submits that a Higher Secondary course covering a period of two years can be obtained immediately following the High School Certificate course. This also includes Vocational course. This implies, both Higher Secondary course (+2)/Vocational course can

only be acquired after High School Certificate course, and therefore, are of the same level. In such view of the matter, Vocational course, which itself is a Higher Secondary course cannot be obtained after passing the +2 examination, which is also a Higher Secondary course. In this regard it would be profitable to refer to Section 2(i) and 2(j) of the Orissa Higher Secondary Education Act, 1982, which are quoted hereinbelow:



"2(i) – "Higher Secondary Course" means course "immediately" following the High School Certificate Course and covering a period of two academic years which is provided for in any College or a Higher Secondary School and includes Arts, Science, Commerce and Vocational Courses".

2(J)- "Higher Secondary Education" means such general and Vocational Education forming in itself a complete purposive whole, which immediately follows Secondary Education as has been defined in the Orissa Secondary Education Act, 1952 and immediately precedes a stage of education controlled by any university."

12. Further, Regulation, 107 of the Odisha Higher Secondary Education Regulation, 1982 provides that only a registered student is allowed to appear in one of the examinations for not less than completion of two academic years after passing HSC Examination. Regulation 109 provides that no student shall be admitted to an institution

beyond six weeks from the date of publication of the HSC result.

13. In the case of **Somasnata Rath vs. State of Odisha and others**[1] a coordinate Bench of this Court had the occasion to deal with a similar matter with reference to the Regulations of Shree Jagannath Sanskrit Viswa Vidyalaya and held that a candidate after qualifying +2 Arts/Science/Commerce cannot take admission into Upasastri course and that Upasastri course is equivalent to +2 Arts. Another Coordinate Bench, in the case of **Basudev Guru & Ors. vs. State of Odisha & Ors.**[2] held that as the petitioners therein had acquired +2 Vocational course after passing the +2 Arts course cannot use such qualification to their benefit. Thus, there seems to be no dispute with regard to the legal position that under the Regulations of the CHSE, +2 course is equivalent to Vocational/ Upasastri course and therefore, a candidate having passed in one cannot acquire the qualification in the other. Both are mutually exclusive. Of course a candidate, who fails in the +2 examination can

[1] W.P.(C) No. 7031 of 2016, decided on 12.05.2016
[2] W.P.(C) No. 16810 of 2016, decided on 21.02.2024

acquire Vocational qualification but a pass candidate cannot. Thus, the certificates issued to the Vocational/ Upasastri candidates including the private opposite parties in the present writ application being contrary to law have no legal force and cannot therefore enure to their benefit. It is well settled that a certificate obtained in contravention of the Regulations/ Rules can have no legal sanctity.

14. It has been argued at length that the private opposite parties could manage to obtain the Vocational certificates by suppressing the fact of their passing +2 examination and by obtaining duplicate transfer certificates from the institutions where they had appeared for the High School Certificate examination. This Court need not enter into this controversy or render any factual finding thereon as it has already been held that irrespective of the manner in which the private opposite parties managed to obtain the Vocational/ Upasastri certificates, the same can have no legal sanctity.

15. Added to the above is the question of submission of false undertaking, which according to the petitioners is an act of fraud. What amounts to fraud is no longer a matter of

debate. A deliberate misrepresentation/ suppression of facts resorted to for obtaining any benefit amounts to fraud. The oft-quoted observation of the Supreme Court in the case of **S.P. Chengalvaraya Naidu v. Jagannath**[3], are noteworthy.

> *"6. The facts of the present case leave no manner of doubt that Jagannath obtained the preliminary decree by playing fraud on the court. A fraud is an act of deliberate deception with the design of securing something by taking unfair advantage of another. It is a deception in order to gain by another's loss. It is a cheating intended to get an advantage. Jagannath was working as a clerk with Chunilal Sowcar. He purchased the property in the court auction on behalf of Chunilal Sowcar. He had, on his own volition, executed the registered release deed (Ex. B-15) in favour of Chunilal Sowcar regarding the property in dispute. He knew that the appellants had paid the total decretal amount to Master Chunilal Sowcar. Without disclosing all these facts, he filed the suit for the partition of the property on the ground that he had purchased the property on his own behalf and not on behalf of Chunilal Sowcar. Non-production and even non-mentioning of the release deed at the trial is tantamount to playing fraud on the court. We do not agree with the observations of the High Court that the appellants-defendants should have easily produced the certified registered copy of Ex. B-15 and non-suited the plaintiff. A litigant, who approaches the court, is bound to produce all the documents executed by him which are relevant to the litigation. If he withholds a vital document in order to gain advantage on the other side then he would be guilty of playing fraud on the court as well as on the opposite party."*
>
> *[Emphasis added]*

16. The ratio of **S.P. Chengalvaraya Naidu** (supra) has been relied upon in several decisions. Further, the maxim "*fraus et jus nunquan cohabitant*"- fraud and justice never

[3] (1994) 1 SCC 1

dwell together - cannot be lost sight of. If a person guilty of committing fraud is granted the benefit of such act, it would tantamount to perpetuating the illegality.

17. Coming to the impugned order, this Court observes that the Collector has accepted that the candidates in question had suppressed material facts and had submitted false undertakings but then by adopting a strange reasoning laced with highly misplaced sympathy for the wrongdoers, the Collector withdrew his hands off the matter. As a quasi-judicial authority it was incumbent upon the Collector to exercise the lawful authority conferred upon him to set right the wrong. Sadly the Collector chose to reward the wrong doers apparently on equitable considerations.

HIGH COURT ORISSA सत्यमेव जयते

18. It is well settled that once a fraud is proved, it will deprive the person of all advantages or benefits obtained thereby. This Court has already held that the Vocational/ Upasastri certificates obtained by the private opposite parties can have no force of law and therefore, notwithstanding the fact that they have not been withdrawn/cancelled by the

concerned authority, the same cannot be taken into consideration.

19. In the case of **Ram Preeti Yadav v. U.P. Board of High School and Intermediate Education**[4], the Supreme Court held that non-cancellation/withdrawal of the certificates by the concerned authority will not raise any equity in favour of the certificate holders in this case and therefore, no relief can be granted to them in equitable grounds. This Court further finds that the Collector has gone on to refer to the human rights to justify the production of one of the two certificates possessed by the private opposite parties in order to take the benefit of employment. The Collector held as follows:



HIGH COURT ORISSA

> *"Every Govt. Servant/Employee/Job Holder of this country is considered to be a privileged person. If one gets a Govt. Job/Service and thereby secures his daily bread it is like enjoying the early dawn after coming out of the perpetual groom. When he/she comes so nearer to get a Govt. job/service, it is very natural that he/she has not smart enough to declare the true facts"*

20. Having himself held that the concerned candidates were guilty of suppression of facts, the Collector still

---

[4] (2003) 8 SCC 311

proceeded to applaud them as 'smart enough' for doing so. This Court refrains from saying anything more in this aspect. It would suffice to say that such absurd reasoning cannot ever be countenanced in law.

21. Thus, from a conspectus of the analysis of facts and law and the discussion made hereinbefore, this Court finds that the private opposite parties having suppressed material facts before the appropriate authority at the relevant time could not have been selected for appointment and actually appointed. In the process, right of the bonafide candidates like the petitioners was sealed. This Court therefore, has no hesitation in holding that the impugned order cannot be sustained in the eye of law.

22. In the result, the writ petition is allowed. The impugned order under Annexure-12 is hereby quashed. The opposite party Nos. 2 and 3 are directed to recast the merit list by excluding the Vocational/ Upasastri candidates (opposite party Nos. 4, 5 and 6) and by issuing appropriate order of engagement in favour of the petitioners either as

Sikshya Sahayaks or in any equivalent post without any further delay and in any case, not later than four months.

Sd/- S. Mishra, J

Orissa High Court, Cuttack.
The 28th March, 2024/ A.K. Rana, P.A.



Comp. by S. Sahoo
05-04-24

Date of Application :- 05.
Date of Notification :- C.O.
Date of Supply :- 06
Date of Ready :- 06.
Date of Delivery :- 06

04.24

C.A. No-31 208 /2024

MEMO OF COSTS

		Rs.	P.
Application Fee.....		10	00
Searching Fee.....		—	—
Folios / No. Pages...~~22~~	2	44	00
Hologram Fees.....~~22~~	1	22	00
Photo Copier Fee...~~22~~	1	22	00
For Typed Copies.....~~A~~	5	—	—
Total		98	00

(Rupees Ninety Eight
.....only)

04/04/24
ASO/SO

EXAMINER OF COPIES
CUM
SUPERINTENDENT
COPYING SECTION

True Copy Attested

Advocate

CERTIFIED TO BE A TRUE COPY
6/4/24
Assistant Registrar (Estt.)
ORISSA HIGH COURT
Authorised Under Section-76,Act-I of 1872

-183

ANNEXURE-4

DISTRICT PROJECT OFFICE
SARVA SHIKSHA ABHIYAN (S.S.A.), BHADRAK

ସର୍ବ ଶିକ୍ଷା ଅଭିଯାନ

ପଢିବ ପଢନ୍ତୁ ପଢିବ ବଢନ୍ତୁ

Notice No. 243 Date - 22.1.11

ଶିକ୍ଷାସହାୟକ / ସହାୟିକା ନିଯୋଜନ ବିଜ୍ଞାପନ

ଭଦ୍ରକ ରାଜସ୍ୱ ଜିଲ୍ଲାର ଶିକ୍ଷା ଜିଲ୍ଲାଗୁଡିକର ପ୍ରାଥମିକ ଓ ଉଚ୍ଚପ୍ରାଥମିକ ବିଦ୍ୟାଳୟମାନଙ୍କରେ ଆବଶ୍ୟକ ଶିକ୍ଷା ସହାୟକ ନିଯୋଜନ ପାଇଁ ଭଦ୍ରକ ଜିଲ୍ଲା ପରିଷଦ ତରଫରୁ ଦରଖାସ୍ତ ଆହ୍ୱାନ କରାଯାଉଅଛି । ଇଚ୍ଛୁକ ଯୋଗ୍ୟ ପ୍ରାର୍ଥୀ /ପ୍ରାର୍ଥିନୀମାନେ ଯେକୌଣସି ଗୋଟିଏ ଶିକ୍ଷାଜିଲ୍ଲା ପାଇଁ ଆବେଦନ କରିପାରିବେ । ଏଥିପାଇଁ ଆବେଦନ ପତ୍ର ସହିତ ପ୍ରାର୍ଥୀ/ପ୍ରାର୍ଥିନୀ କେବଳ ଗୋଟିଏ ଶିକ୍ଷାଜିଲ୍ଲା ପାଇଁ ଆବେଦନ କରିଛନ୍ତି ବୋଲି ଏକ ସତ୍ୟପାଠ୍ୟ (Affidavit) ସଂଲଗ୍ନ କରିବେ । ଯାଞ୍ଚ ସମୟରେ ଯଦି ପ୍ରାର୍ଥୀ / ପ୍ରାର୍ଥିନୀମାନେ ଆବେଦନ କରିଥିବା ଶିକ୍ଷା ଜିଲ୍ଲା ବ୍ୟତୀତ ଅନ୍ୟ ଶିକ୍ଷା ଜିଲ୍ଲାରେ ଆବେଦନ କରିଥିବା ପ୍ରମାଣିତ ହୁଏ, ତେବେ ପ୍ରାର୍ଥୀଙ୍କର ଆବେଦନ ପତ୍ର ବିଚାରକୁ ନିଆନଯାଇ ନାକଚ କରି ଦିଆଯିବ । ସାର୍ଟିଫିକେଟ ଜାଲ ବୋଲି ପ୍ରମାଣିତ ହେଲେ ଆଇନ ଅନୁଯାୟୀ କାର୍ଯ୍ୟାନୁଷ୍ଠାନ ଗ୍ରହଣ କରାଯିବ ଏବଂ ନିଯୁକ୍ତି ରଦ୍ଦ କରାଯିବ । ଇଚ୍ଛୁକ ଯୋଗ୍ୟ ପ୍ରାର୍ଥୀ / ପ୍ରାର୍ଥିନୀମାନେ ସାଧା କାଗଜରେ ନିମ୍ନ ପ୍ରଦତ୍ତ ଦରଖାସ୍ତ ଫର୍ମ ଅନୁସାରେ ଆବେଦନ ପତ୍ର ସର୍ବଶିକ୍ଷା ଅଭିଯାନ କାର୍ଯ୍ୟାଳୟ,ଭଦ୍ରକ ଦ୍ୱାରା ନିର୍ଦ୍ଧାରିତ ଜାଗୁଲାଇ ପ୍ରୋଜେକ୍ଟ ଉ.ପ୍ରା. ବିଦ୍ୟାଳୟ, ବାଗୁରାଇ, ଭଦ୍ରକ ଶିକ୍ଷାଜିଲ୍ଲା - ୧ ପାଇଁ ଏବଂ ଜିଲ୍ଲାସ୍କୁଲ, ଭଦ୍ରକ ଶିକ୍ଷାଜିଲ୍ଲା-୨ ପାଇଁ ଆସନ୍ତା ତା ୧୦.୦୨.୨୦୧୧ ତିଖ ସୁଦ୍ଧା ରେଜିଷ୍ଟ୍ରୀ ଡାକରେ କିମ୍ବା ବ୍ୟକ୍ତିଗତ ଭାବେ ଦାଖଲ କରିବା ଆବଶ୍ୟକ । ତ୍ରୁଟିପୂର୍ଣ୍ଣ ବା ବିଳମ୍ବରେ ପ୍ରାପ୍ତ ଦରଖାସ୍ତ ଗୁଡିକ ବିଚାରକୁ ନିଆଯିବନାହିଁ । ଆବେଦନ ପତ୍ର ଜିଲ୍ଲା ପରିଷଦର ମୁଖ୍ୟନିର୍ବାହୀ ଅଧିକାରୀ ତଥା ଜିଲ୍ଲାପାଳଙ୍କୁ ସଂବୋଧିତ କରିବା ଆବଶ୍ୟକ ।

୧. ଏହି ଶିକ୍ଷା ସହାୟକ ନିଯୋଜନ ପାଇଁ ଶିକ୍ଷା ଜିଲ୍ଲାଦ୍ୱାରା ବିଭିନ୍ନ ଶ୍ରେଣୀର (Catagory) ପଦବୀ ସଂଖ୍ୟା ~~ଏବଂ ବିଭିନ୍ନ ବର୍ଗ ପାଇଁ ସଂରକ୍ଷଣ ଥିବା ପଦବୀ ସଂଖ୍ୟା~~ ଏଥିସହିତ ସଂଲଗ୍ନ କରାଗଲା । ଏହାର ୧୫୦% ଭାଗ ଯୋଗ୍ୟ ବିବେଚିତ ପ୍ରାର୍ଥୀଙ୍କୁ ତାଲିକାଭୁକ୍ତ କରାଯିବ ଯାହା ବର୍ଷକ ପାଇଁ ବୈଧ ରହିବ । ଏହି ଯୋଗ୍ୟ ବିବେଚିତ ଅସ୍ଥାୟୀ ଏବଂ ଚୁଡାନ୍ତ ପ୍ରାର୍ଥୀ ତାଲିକା (Provisional & Final Select List) ଶିକ୍ଷା ଜିଲ୍ଲା, ଜିଲ୍ଲା ପରିଷଦ ଏବଂ ଜିଲ୍ଲାପାଳଙ୍କ କାର୍ଯ୍ୟାଳୟ ମାନଙ୍କର ନୋଟିସ୍ ବୋର୍ଡରେ ସର୍ବସାଧାରଣଙ୍କ ଅବଗତି ନିମନ୍ତେ ପ୍ରକାଶିତ ହେବ । ଶିକ୍ଷା ସହାୟକମାନେ ଜିଲ୍ଲା ପରିଷଦ ଦ୍ୱାରା ନିଯୋଜିତ ହେବେ ।

୨. <u>ଶିକ୍ଷାଗତ ଯୋଗ୍ୟତା :-</u> ନିମ୍ନଲିଖିତ ଯୋଗ୍ୟତାଥାଇ ପ୍ରାର୍ଥୀ / ପ୍ରାର୍ଥିନୀମାନେ ଯେକୌଣସି ଗୋଟିଏ ପଦବୀ ପାଇଁ ଆବଶ୍ୟକ ଯୋଗ୍ୟତା ଅନୁଯାୟୀ ଆବେଦନ କରିପାରିବେ ।

(କ) ଯୁକ୍ତ ଦୁଇ (+2) ବିଜ୍ଞାନ କିମ୍ବା ଉପଯୁକ୍ତ କର୍ତ୍ତୃପକ୍ଷଙ୍କ ଦ୍ୱାରା ଘୋଷିତ ତତୁଲ୍ୟ ପରୀକ୍ଷାରେ ପାସ୍ ଯୋଗ୍ୟତା ସହିତ ଓଡିଆ ମାତୃଭାଷାରେ ସପ୍ତମ ଶ୍ରେଣୀ ପାସ୍ ଯୋଗ୍ୟତା ଥାଇ ତାଲିମପ୍ରାପ୍ତ (ସି.ଟି.) (Recognised by NCTE) ।

(ଖ) ଯୁକ୍ତ ଦୁଇ (+2) କଳା / ବାଣିଜ୍ୟ କିମ୍ବା ଉପଯୁକ୍ତ କର୍ତ୍ତୃପକ୍ଷଙ୍କ ଦ୍ୱାରା ଘୋଷିତ ତତୁଲ୍ୟ ପରୀକ୍ଷାରେ ପାସ୍ ଯୋଗ୍ୟତା ସହିତ ଓଡିଆ ମାତୃଭାଷାରେ ସପ୍ତମ ଶ୍ରେଣୀ ପାସ୍ ଯୋଗ୍ୟତା ଥାଇ ତାଲିମପ୍ରାପ୍ତ (ସି.ଟି.) (Recognised by NCTE) ।

(ଗ) ଯୁକ୍ତ ଦୁଇ (+2) ବିଜ୍ଞାନ / କଳା / ବାଣିଜ୍ୟ କିମ୍ବା ଉପଯୁକ୍ତ କର୍ତ୍ତୃପକ୍ଷଙ୍କ ଦ୍ୱାରା ଘୋଷିତ ତତୁଲ୍ୟ ପରୀକ୍ଷାରେ ପାସ୍ ଯୋଗ୍ୟତା ସହିତ ଓଡିଆ ମାତୃଭାଷାରେ ସପ୍ତମ ଶ୍ରେଣୀ ପାସ୍ ଯୋଗ୍ୟତା ଥାଇ Rehabilitation Council of india (RCI) ଦ୍ୱାରା ସ୍ୱୀକୃତି ପ୍ରାପ୍ତ ସ୍ୱତନ୍ତ୍ର ଶିକ୍ଷାରେ ୨ ବର୍ଷିୟ ଡିପ୍ଲୋମା ତାଲିମ (2 Year Diploma in Educat on-Sepcial Education)

Attested

(ଘ) ସ୍ନାତକରେ ବିଜ୍ଞାନ କିମ୍ବା ଉପଯୁକ୍ତ କର୍ତ୍ତୃପକ୍ଷଙ୍କ ଦ୍ୱାରା ଘୋଷିତ ତତୁଲ୍ୟ ପରୀକ୍ଷାରେ ପାସ୍ ସହିତ ମାଟ୍ରିକ ପର୍ଯ୍ୟନ୍ତ ଓଡିଆ ମାତୃଭାଷା ଯୋଗ୍ୟତା ଥାଇ ବି.ଏଡ୍. ତାଲିମ ପ୍ରାପ୍ତ (Recognised by NCTE)

(ଙ) ସ୍ନାତକରେ କଳା କିମ୍ବା ଉପଯୁକ୍ତ କର୍ତ୍ତୃପକ୍ଷଙ୍କ ଦ୍ୱାରା ଘୋଷିତ ତତୁଲ୍ୟ ପରୀକ୍ଷାରେ ପାସ୍ ସହିତ ମାଟ୍ରିକ୍ ପର୍ଯ୍ୟନ୍ତ ଓଡିଆ ମାତୃଭାଷା ଯୋଗ୍ୟତା ଥାଇ ବି.ଏଡ୍. ତାଲିମ ପ୍ରାପ୍ତ (Recognised by NCTE) ।

(ଚ) ସ୍ନାତକରେ ବିଜ୍ଞାନ / କଳା କିମ୍ବା ଉପଯୁକ୍ତ କର୍ତ୍ତୃପକ୍ଷଙ୍କ ଦ୍ୱାରା ଘୋଷିତ ତତୁଲ୍ୟ ପରୀକ୍ଷାରେ ପାସ୍ ଯୋଗ୍ୟତା ସହିତ ମାଟ୍ରିକ୍ ପର୍ଯ୍ୟନ୍ତ ଓଡିଆ ମାତୃଭାଷା ଯୋଗ୍ୟତା ଥାଇ Rehabilitation Council of India (RCI) ଦ୍ୱାରା ସ୍ୱୀକୃତି ପ୍ରାପ୍ତ ସ୍ୱତନ୍ତ୍ର ଶିକ୍ଷା ପାଠ୍ୟକ୍ରମରେ ବି.ଏଡ୍. ତାଲିମ (1 Year B.Ed Special Education) ।

ଯୁକ୍ତ ଦୁଇ (+2) ବିଜ୍ଞାନ, କଳା, ବାଣିଜ୍ୟ କିମ୍ବା ତତୁଲ୍ୟ ପରୀକ୍ଷାରେ ପାସ୍ ସହିତ ଓଡିଆ ମାତୃଭାଷା ସପ୍ତମ ପର୍ଯ୍ୟନ୍ତ ଯୋଗ୍ୟତା ଥାଇ ଏବଂ ବିଜ୍ଞାନ ଓ କଳାରେ କିମ୍ବା ତତୁଲ୍ୟ ପରୀକ୍ଷାରେ ସ୍ନାତକ ପାସ୍ ସହିତ (ଓଡିଆ ମାତୃଭାଷା ଦଶମ ପର୍ଯ୍ୟନ୍ତ) ଯୋଗ୍ୟତାଥିବା ଅଣତାଲିମ ପ୍ରାପ୍ତ ତଫସିଲଭୁକ୍ତ ଜାତି, ଜନଜାତି ଓ ଶାରିରୀକ ଅକ୍ଷମ ପ୍ରାର୍ଥୀମାନେ ଯୋଗ୍ୟତା ଅନୁଯାୟୀ ଗୋଟିଏ ପଦପାଇଁ ଆବେଦନ କରିପାରିବେ । ଯଦି ସଂପୃକ୍ତ ଶିକ୍ଷା ଜିଲ୍ଲା ୟୁନିଟରେ ଆବଶ୍ୟକ ସଂଖ୍ୟକ ତଫସିଲଭୁକ୍ତ ଜାତି, ଜନଜାତି ଏବଂ ଶାରିରୀକ ଅକ୍ଷମର ତାଲିମପ୍ରାପ୍ତ ପ୍ରାର୍ଥୀ ନ ମିଳନ୍ତି ଏବଂ ଭାରତ ସରକାରଙ୍କ ଠାରୁ ଶିକ୍ଷାଗତ ଯୋଗ୍ୟତା ସମ୍ପର୍କିତ ରିହାତିର ନିର୍ଦ୍ଦିଷ୍ଟ ଆଦେଶ ପ୍ରାପ୍ତ ହେବା ପରେ ସେମାନଙ୍କ ବିଷୟକୁ ବିଚାରକୁ ନେଇ ନିଯୁକ୍ତି ଦିଆଯିବ ।

ସାଧାରଣ ବର୍ଗ ଏବଂ ଏସ୍.ଇ.ବି.ସି. ବର୍ଗର ଯୁକ୍ତ ଦୁଇ (+2) ବିଜ୍ଞାନ କିମ୍ବା ତତୁଲ୍ୟ ପରୀକ୍ଷାରେ ପାସ୍ ସହିତ ଓଡିଆ ମାତୃଭାଷା ସପ୍ତମ ପର୍ଯ୍ୟନ୍ତ, ବିଜ୍ଞାନରେ ସ୍ନାତକ କିମ୍ବା ତତୁଲ୍ୟ ପରୀକ୍ଷାରେ ପାସ୍ ସହିତ ଓଡିଆ ମାତୃଭାଷା ଦଶମ ପର୍ଯ୍ୟନ୍ତ ଯୋଗ୍ୟତା ଥିବା ଅଣତାଲିମ ପ୍ରାପ୍ତ ପ୍ରାର୍ଥୀମାନେ ତାଲିମପ୍ରାପ୍ତ ବିଜ୍ଞାନ (+2 Sc. C.T., TGT Sc.) ପଦବୀ ପାଇଁ ଯୋଗ୍ୟତା ଅନୁଯାୟୀ ଗୋଟିଏ ପଦ ପାଇଁ ଆବେଦନ କରିପାରିବେ । ଯଦି ସଂପୃକ୍ତ ଶିକ୍ଷା ଜିଲ୍ଲା ୟୁନିଟରେ ସେହି ପଦବୀ ପାଇଁ ଆବଶ୍ୟକ ସଂଖ୍ୟକ ତାଲିମ ପ୍ରାପ୍ତ ବିଜ୍ଞାନ ପ୍ରାର୍ଥୀ ନମିଳନ୍ତି ଏବଂ ଭାରତ ସରକାରଙ୍କ ଠାରୁ ଶିକ୍ଷାଗତ ଯୋଗ୍ୟତା ସମ୍ପର୍କିତ ରିହାତିର ଆଦେଶ ପ୍ରାପ୍ତ ହେବା ପରେ ସେମାନଙ୍କ ବିଷୟକୁ ବିଚାରକୁ ନେଇ ନିଯୁକ୍ତି ଦିଆଯିବ ।

ସମସ୍ତ ଅଣ ତାଲିମପ୍ରାପ୍ତ ପ୍ରାର୍ଥୀମାନେ ନିଯୋଜନ ହେବାର ପାଞ୍ଚ ବର୍ଷ ମଧ୍ୟରେ ନିଜ ଖର୍ଚ୍ଚରେ ତାଲିମପ୍ରାପ୍ତ ହେବେ ବୋଲି ଏକ Under taking ଦେବେ ।

୩. <u>ବୟସସୀମା :</u> ପ୍ରାର୍ଥୀମାନଙ୍କର ବୟସ ତା ୦୧.୧୨.୨୦୧୦ ରିଖ ସୁଦ୍ଧା ୪୨ ବର୍ଷରୁ ଅଧିକ ହୋଇନଥିବ କିମ୍ବା ୧୮ ବର୍ଷରୁ କମ୍ ହୋଇନଥିବା ଆବଶ୍ୟକ । ତଫସିଲଭୁକ୍ତ ଜାତି ଓ ଜନଜାତି, ପୂର୍ବତନ ସାମରିକ କର୍ମଚାରୀ ଏବଂ ମହିଳା ପ୍ରାର୍ଥୀ ଏବଂ ଏସ୍.ଇ.ବି.ସି. ପ୍ରାର୍ଥୀମାନଙ୍କ କ୍ଷେତ୍ରରେ ସର୍ବୋଚ୍ଚ ବୟସସୀମା ୫ ବର୍ଷ କୋହଳ କରାଯିବ । ଶାରିରୀକ ଭିନ୍ନକ୍ଷମ ପ୍ରାର୍ଥୀ ମାନଙ୍କ କ୍ଷେତ୍ରରେ ସର୍ବୋଚ୍ଚ ସୀମା ୧୦ ବର୍ଷ କୋହଳ କରାଯିବ ।

୪. <u>ନିଯୋଜନ :</u> ଶିକ୍ଷା ସହାୟକମାନେ ବାର୍ଷିକ ଚୁକ୍ତି ଅନୁଯାୟୀ ପ୍ରାଥମିକ / ଉଚ୍ଚ ପ୍ରାଥମିକ ତଥା ଉଚ୍ଚ ପ୍ରାଥମିକ ବିଦ୍ୟାଳୟର ଉନ୍ନତି ଶ୍ରେଣୀମାନଙ୍କରେ ଆବଶ୍ୟକ ଅନୁଯାୟୀ ନିଯୋଜିତ (ଏନଗେଜମେଣ୍ଟ) ହେବେ ।

୪.୧ ନିଯୋଜନ ପତ୍ର ପ୍ରଦାନ ପୂର୍ବରୁ ସଂପୃକ୍ତ ଜିଲ୍ଲା ପରିଷଦ ତରଫରୁ ମୁଖ୍ୟନିର୍ବାହୀ ଅଧିକାରୀ, ଜିଲ୍ଲା ପରିଷଦ ତଥା ଜିଲ୍ଲାପାଳ ଓ ଶିକ୍ଷା ସହାୟକଙ୍କ ମଧ୍ୟରେ ଷ୍ଟାମ୍ପ କାଗଜ ମାଧ୍ୟମରେ ନିର୍ଦ୍ଧାରିତ ଫର୍ମରେ ଏକ ଚୁକ୍ତିନାମା ସ୍ୱାକ୍ଷରିତ କରାଯିବ । ଅଣତାଲିମ ପ୍ରାପ୍ତ ପ୍ରାର୍ଥୀମାନେ ନିଜ ଖର୍ଚ୍ଚରେ ଟ୍ରେନିଙ୍ଗ ନେବେ ବୋଲି Undertaking ଦେବେ ।

୪.୨ ଶିକ୍ଷା ସହାୟକ / ସହାୟିକାମାନଙ୍କୁ ସେମାନେ ଆବେଦନ କରିଥିବା ଶିକ୍ଷା ଜିଲ୍ଲା ମଧ୍ୟରେ ନିଯୋଜନ କରାଯିବ ।

Attested

District Project Co-ordinator
S. S. A., BHADRAK

କର୍ମନିୟୋଜନ ସଂସ୍ଥାରେ ପଞ୍ଜିକରଣ ଓ ଆବାସିକ ପ୍ରମାଣପତ୍ର :-

ବି.ଇଡି. ପ୍ରାର୍ଥୀ / ପ୍ରାର୍ଥିନୀ ବାଣୀବିହାର, ଜ୍ୟୋତିବିହାର ଓ ଭଞ୍ଜବିହାର (ଭୁବନେଶ୍ୱର, ସମ୍ବଲପୁର ଓ ବ୍ରହ୍ମପୁରରେ) ଥିବା ସ୍ୱତନ୍ତ୍ର ଏମ୍ପ୍ଲୟମେଣ୍ଟ ଏକ୍ସଚେଞ୍ଜରେ କିମ୍ବା ଭୁବନେଶ୍ୱରରେ ଥିବା ଷ୍ଟେଟ ଏମ୍ପ୍ଲୟମେଣ୍ଟ ଏକ୍ସଚେଞ୍ଜରେ ନାମ ପଞ୍ଜିକରଣ କରିଥିବାର ବୈଧ ପ୍ରମାଣପତ୍ର ଏବଂ ଆବାସିକ ପ୍ରମାଣପତ୍ର ଦାଖଲ କରିବା ଆବଶ୍ୟକ । ସେହିପରି ସି.ଟି. ପ୍ରାର୍ଥୀ ଏବଂ ଅଣତାଲିମପ୍ରାପ୍ତ ପ୍ରାର୍ଥୀମାନେ ଯେ କୌଣସି କର୍ମନିୟୋଜନ ସଂସ୍ଥାରେ ନିଜ ନାମ ପଞ୍ଜିକରଣ କରିଥିବାର ବୈଧ ପ୍ରମାଣ ପତ୍ର ଏବଂ ଆବାସିକ ପ୍ରମାଣ ପତ୍ର ଦାଖଲ କରିବା ଆବଶ୍ୟକ ।

୬. ସଂରକ୍ଷଣ ବ୍ୟବସ୍ଥା : ଓ.ଆର.ଭି. ଆକ୍ଟ ସଂଗେ ସଂଗେ ଅନ୍ୟ ପ୍ରାର୍ଥୀ ଯଥା - ପୂର୍ବତନ ସାମରିକ କର୍ମଚାରୀ (Ex-Servicemen) , ଭିନ୍ନକ୍ଷମ (Handicapped) ଏବଂ କ୍ରୀଡ଼ା କ୍ଷେତ୍ରରେ ସ୍ୱାତନ୍ତ୍ର୍ୟ ଅର୍ଜନ କରିଥିବା ବ୍ୟକ୍ତି (Eminent Sports personel) ଙ୍କ ନିମନ୍ତେ ସରକାରଙ୍କ ଦ୍ୱାରା ସଂକଳିତ ସଂରକ୍ଷଣ ନିୟମ ଲାଗୁ କରାଯିବ । ମହିଳା ପ୍ରାର୍ଥିନୀଙ୍କ ପାଇଁ ସଂପୃକ୍ତ ବର୍ଗର ୩୩. ୧/୩ ପ୍ରତିଶତ ସଂରକ୍ଷଣ ଲାଗୁହେବ ।

୭. ଉର୍ଦ୍ଦୁ ତାଲିମପ୍ରାପ୍ତ ସି.ଟି. ପ୍ରାର୍ଥୀ / ପ୍ରାର୍ଥିନୀମାନେ ସଂପୃକ୍ତ ଶିକ୍ଷା ଜିଲ୍ଲା ପାଇଁ ଆବେଦନ ପତ୍ର ଦାଖଲ କରିବେ । ସେମାନଙ୍କୁ ସଂପୃକ୍ତ ଶିକ୍ଷା ଜିଲ୍ଲାର ପ୍ରାଥମିକ ଓ ଉଚ୍ଚ ପ୍ରାଥମିକ ବିଦ୍ୟାଳୟମାନଙ୍କରେ ଆବଶ୍ୟକତା ଅନୁଯାୟୀ ଉର୍ଦ୍ଦୁ ମାତୃଭାଷା ପଢ଼ାଇବା ପାଇଁ ନିୟୋଜନ କରାଯିବ ।

୮. ତାଲିମ ପ୍ରାପ୍ତ ଶିକ୍ଷା ସହାୟକମାନଙ୍କୁ ମାସିକ ଟ. ୪୦୦୦/- ପାରିଶ୍ରମିକ ଆକାରରେ ଦିଆଯିବ ।

୯. ଅଣ ତାଲିମପ୍ରାପ୍ତ ଶିକ୍ଷା ସହାୟକମାନଙ୍କୁ ମାସିକ ଟ. ୩୫୦୦/- ପାରିଶ୍ରମିକ ଆକାରରେ ଦିଆଯିବ ।

୧୦. ନିମ୍ନ ପ୍ରଦତ୍ତ ଦରଖାସ୍ତ ଫର୍ମ ଅନୁସାରେ ଆବେଦନ କରାଯାଇ ପାରିବ । ଦରଖାସ୍ତ ସହ ମାଟ୍ରିକ ଠାରୁ ଆବେଦନ କରିଥିବା ପଦବୀ ପାଇଁ ଶିକ୍ଷାଗତ ଯୋଗ୍ୟତାର ପ୍ରମାଣପତ୍ର ଏବଂ ମାର୍କ ତାଲିକାରେ ଅବିଜଳ ନକଲ (ଆଟେଷ୍ଟେଡ୍ କପି) କର୍ମନିୟୋଜନ ସଂସ୍ଥା ପଞ୍ଜିକରଣ ପ୍ରମାଣପତ୍ର, ଜାତିଗତ ଏବଂ ଆବାସିକ ପ୍ରମାଣପତ୍ର, ଭିନ୍ନକ୍ଷମ ହୋଇଥିଲେ ତାର ଅବିକଳ ପ୍ରମାଣପତ୍ର, ପ୍ରାର୍ଥୀଙ୍କର ୨ କିତା ବର୍ତ୍ତମାନର ସହିମୋହର ପାସପୋର୍ଟ ଆକାର ଫଟୋ ଏବଂ କେବଳ ଗୋଟିଏ ଶିକ୍ଷାଜିଲ୍ଲାରେ ଆବେଦନ କରିଛନ୍ତି ବୋଲି ସତ୍ୟପାଠ (Affidavit) ଇତ୍ୟାଦିର ସଂଯୋଗ ନଥିଲେ ଆବେଦନ ପତ୍ରଟିକୁ ଖାରଜ କରିଦିଆଯିବ ।

ଭଦ୍ରକ ଜିଲ୍ଲାର ଶିକ୍ଷା ଜିଲ୍ଲାୱାରି ଖାଲିଥିବା

ଶିକ୍ଷା ସହାୟକ ପଦବୀ ସଂଖ୍ୟା

ରାଜସ୍ୱ ଜିଲ୍ଲା	ଶିକ୍ଷା ଜିଲ୍ଲା	ତାଲିମପ୍ରାପ୍ତ ବିଜ୍ଞାନ ସ୍ନାତକ	ତାଲିମପ୍ରାପ୍ତ କଳା ସ୍ନାତକ	ତାଲିମପ୍ରାପ୍ତ +୨ ବିଜ୍ଞାନ (ସି.ଟି)	ତାଲିମପ୍ରାପ୍ତ +୨ କଳା (ସି.ଟି)	ମୋଟ
ଭଦ୍ରକ	ଭଦ୍ରକ-୧	୧୫୭	୪୧	୧୪୫	୧୩୬	୪୭୯
	ଭଦ୍ରକ-୨	୧୫୬	୫୦	୧୮୩	୧୭୩	୫୬୨
	ମୋଟ	୩୧୩	୯୧	୩୨୮	୩୦୯	୧୦୪୧

ଶିକ୍ଷାଜିଲ୍ଲା ଭଦ୍ରକ - ୧ ପାଇଁ ଜାଗୁଳାଇ ପ୍ରୋଜେକ୍ଟ ଉ.ପ୍ରା. ବିଦ୍ୟାଳୟ, ବାଗୁରାଇ ଓ ଶିକ୍ଷାଜିଲ୍ଲା ଭଦ୍ରକ - ୨ ପାଇଁ ଜିଲ୍ଲାସ୍କୁଲ ଭଦ୍ରକରେ ତା ୨୪/୦୧/୨୦୧୧ରିଖ ଠାରୁ ତା ୧୦/୦୨/୨୦୧୧ରିଖ ପର୍ଯ୍ୟନ୍ତ (ସରକାରୀ ଛୁଟିଦିନ ବ୍ୟତୀତ) ପୂର୍ବାହ୍ନ ୧୦ଘଟିକା ସମୟଠାରୁ ଅପରାହ୍ନ ୫ଘଟିକା ପର୍ଯ୍ୟନ୍ତ ଆବେଦନ ପତ୍ର ଗ୍ରହଣ କରାଯିବ ।

ଏହି ନିର୍ଘଣ୍ଟ ତାରିଖ ଓ ସମୟ ପରେ କୌଣସି ଆବେଦନପତ୍ର ଗ୍ରହଣ କରାଯିବ ନାହିଁ ।

ସ୍ୱା /- ଜିଲ୍ଲାପାଳ ତଥା ଜିଲ୍ଲାପରିଷଦର ମୁଖ୍ୟନିର୍ବାହୀ ଅଧିକାରୀ

ଜିଲ୍ଲା ପରିଷଦ, ଭଦ୍ରକ

Attested

District Project Co-ordinator
S. S. A., BHADRAK

ଶିକ୍ଷା ସହାୟକ ପଦପାଇଁ ଆବେଦନ ପତ୍ର

୧- ଯେଉଁ ଶିକ୍ଷା ଜିଲ୍ଲାରେ ନିଯୁକ୍ତି ଚାହୁଁଛନ୍ତି ତାର ନାମ । ୨-ଯେଉଁ ପଦବୀରେ ନିଯୁକ୍ତି ଚାହୁଁଛନ୍ତି ତାର ନାମ । ୩-ପ୍ରାର୍ଥୀଙ୍କର ସଂପୂର୍ଣ୍ଣ ନାମ ୪-ପିତା କିମ୍ବା ସ୍ୱାମୀଙ୍କର ନାମ ୪-ବର୍ତ୍ତମାନର ଠିକଣା, ୫-ସ୍ଥାୟୀ ଠିକଣା ୬-ଜନ୍ମତାରିଖ (ପ୍ରମାଣପତ୍ରର ଅବିକଳ ନକଲ ସଂଯୁକ୍ତ କରିବେ) ୭-ପ୍ରାର୍ଥୀ/ପ୍ରାର୍ଥିନୀ କେଉଁ ବର୍ଗର ଅଟନ୍ତି । ୮-ଶିକ୍ଷାଗତ ଯୋଗ୍ୟତା, ଉତ୍ତୀର୍ଣ୍ଣ ହୋଇଥିବା ପରୀକ୍ଷାମାନଙ୍କର ନାମ, ଶିକ୍ଷାନୁଷ୍ଠାନର ନାମ, ବୋର୍ଡ଼ କିମ୍ବା ବିଶ୍ୱବିଦ୍ୟାଳୟର ନାମ, ଉତ୍ତୀର୍ଣ୍ଣ ବର୍ଷ, କେତେ ନମ୍ବର ପାଇଥିଲେ, ଶତକଡା ନମ୍ବର, (ଯୁକ୍ତ ଦୁଇ /ସ୍ନାତକ / ପ୍ରଶିକ୍ଷଣ (CT /BEd/Spl.B.Ed)) ପରୀକ୍ଷାର ପ୍ରମାଣପତ୍ର ଓ ମାର୍କସିଟର ଅବିକଳ ନକଲ (Spl. Education) ରେ Diploma ଏବଂ Spl. B.Ed ପ୍ରାର୍ଥୀମାନେ RCI Registration ର ପ୍ରମାଣପତ୍ର ଅବିକଳ ନକଲ ସଂଯୋଗ କରିବେ । ୯ - କର୍ମନିଯୋଜନ କେନ୍ଦ୍ରର ନାମ ଏବଂ ରେଜିଷ୍ଟ୍ରେସନ ସଂଖ୍ୟା ଓ ତାରିଖ (ପ୍ରମାଣ ପତ୍ରର ଅବିକଳ ନକଲ ସଂଯୋଗ କରିବେ) । ୧୦ - ଜାତିଗତ ପ୍ରମାଣପତ୍ର (ଅବିକଳ ନକଲ ସଂଯୋଗ କରିବେ) ୧୧-ଅକର୍ମଣ୍ୟ ପ୍ରମାଣ ପତ୍ର (ଅବିକଳ ନକଲ ସଂଯୋଗ କରିବେ), ୧୨-ଆବାସିକ ପ୍ରମାଣପତ୍ର (ଅବିକଳ ନକଲ ସଂଯୋଗ କରିବେ) ୧୩-ଗୋଟିଏ ଶିକ୍ଷାଜିଲ୍ଲାରେ ଆବେଦନ କରିଥିବାର ସତ୍ୟପାଠ (Affidavit in Original) ସଂଯୋଗ କରିବେ । ୧୪-ଅଣତାଲିମ ପ୍ରାପ୍ତ ପ୍ରାର୍ଥୀ ନିଜ ଖର୍ଚ୍ଚରେ ୫ବର୍ଷ ମଧ୍ୟରେ ତାଲିମ ପ୍ରାପ୍ତ ହେବେ ବୋଲି Under Taking ସଂଯୋଗ କରିବେ । ୧୫-ଦୁଇକିତା ବର୍ତ୍ତମାନର ସହି ମୋହର ପାସ୍‌ପୋର୍ଟ ଆକାର ଫଟୋ ଦାଖଲ କରିବେ ।

ତାରିଖ -

ସ୍ଥାନ -

ଆବେଦନକାରୀଙ୍କ ସ୍ୱାକ୍ଷର

ପ୍ରାପ୍ତି ସ୍ୱୀକାର

କ୍ରମିକ ସଂଖ୍ୟା

ପ୍ରାର୍ଥୀଙ୍କ ନାମ

ଠିକଣା

ନିମ୍ନୋକ୍ତ ସଂଲଗ୍ନମାନ (Enclosures) ହସ୍ତଗତ ହୋଇଛି ।

କ) ମାଟ୍ରିକ ସାର୍ଟିଫିକେଟ, ଯୁକ୍ତ ଦୁଇ ସାର୍ଟିଫିକେଟ, ତାଲିମ(ସିଟି) ସାର୍ଟିଫିକେଟ, ସ୍ନାତକ, (ବି.ଇଡ଼ି. / ସ୍ପେସାଲ ବି.ଇଡ଼ି) ସାର୍ଟିଫିକେଟ (ଖ) ଯୁକ୍ତ ଦୁଇ ମାର୍କସିଟ, ତାଲିମ(ସିଟି) ମାର୍କସିଟ ଓ ସ୍ନାତକ / (ବି.ଇଡ଼ି / ସ୍ପେସାଲ ବି.ଇଡ଼ି.) ମାର୍କସିଟ / RCI Registration ର ପ୍ରମାଣପତ୍ର (ଗ) ଜାତିଗତ ପ୍ରମାଣପତ୍ର (Caste Certificate) (ଘ) ଆବାସିକ ପ୍ରମାଣପତ୍ର (Residential Certificate) (ଙ) ଗୋଟିଏ ଶିକ୍ଷା ଜିଲ୍ଲାରେ ଆବେଦନ କରିଥିବାର ସତ୍ୟପାଠ (Affidavit in Original) (ଚ) କର୍ମନିଯୋଜନ କେନ୍ଦ୍ରର ରେଜିଷ୍ଟ୍ରେସନ ପ୍ରମାଣ ପତ୍ର (ଛ) ଅଣତାଲିମ ପ୍ରାପ୍ତ ପ୍ରାର୍ଥୀ ନିଜ ଖର୍ଚ୍ଚ ୫ ବର୍ଷ ମଧ୍ୟରେ ତାଲିମ ପ୍ରାପ୍ତ ହେବେ ବୋଲି Under Taking (ଜ) ଅକର୍ମଣ୍ୟ ପ୍ରମାଣପତ୍ରର ଅବିକଳ ନକଲ (ଝ) ଦୁଇକିଟା ବର୍ତ୍ତମାନର ସହି ମୋହର ପାସ୍‌ପୋର୍ଟ ଆକାର ଫଟୋ ।

ପ୍ରାପ୍ତି ସ୍ୱୀକାରକାରୀଙ୍କ ସ୍ୱାକ୍ଷର

ନାମ -

ପଦବୀ -

Attested

District Project Co-ordinator
S. S. A., BHADRAK

ସ୍ୱା /- ଜିଲ୍ଲାପାଳ ତଥା ଜିଲ୍ଲାପରିଷଦର ମୁଖ୍ୟନିର୍ବାହୀ ଅଧିକାରୀ
ଜିଲ୍ଲା ପରିଷଦ, ଭଦ୍ରକ

True Copy Attested

Advocate

-187- ANNEXURE-5

GOVERNMENT OF ODISHA
DEPARTMENT OF SCHOOL AND MASS EDUCATION.

No. 25070 /SME dt. 19-12-11
II-SME(B)139/11

From :

Aparajita Sarangi, IAS
Commissioner-cum-Secretary to Govt.

To

All Collector-cum-CEOs,
Zilla Parishads.

Sub : Engagement of SS during 2011-12.

Madam/Sir,

Instruction for recruitment of Sikshya Sahayaks has been communicated vide this Deptt. Letter No. 23895 dtd.05.12.2011. Although a number of clarifications were issued previously, yet uniformity was not maintained in the selection process for which a good number of Court Cases were filed. In order to avoid such unpleasant situation, clarifications with illustrations in some key areas are given below. This will help in the process of selection and bring uniformity throughout the State.

1.	Whether candidates having Sikshya Sashtri qualification shall be considered against BA/B.Sc. B.Ed. vacancies?	The Hon'ble High Court in order dt.10.05.11 in WPC No.7000/2011 Jitendra Kumar Panda & Others Vrs. State and Others have passed order to the effect that candidates having B.A. degree from a University and thereafter, 'Sikshya Sastri' shall be considered as TG Arts. In view of this, the above category of candidates shall be considered. It may be noted that candidates having Sastri with Sikshya Sastri qualification are not eligible.
2.	Whether candidates having upasashtri with CT qualification shall be considered as +2 CT candidates ?	Govt. of Odisha in erstwhile Education Deptt. Notification No.4399 dt.31.01.92 (copy enclosed) have decided that institutions imparting education up to Upsashtri level are equivalent to Higher Secondary Schools. On the basis of this equivalency, the candidates have undergone CT training. Therefore candidates having upshastri with CT qualification shall be considered as +2 Arts C.T. candidates.

3.	Whether candidates having +2 Vocational pass with CT qualification shall be considered for selection as Sikshya Sahayaks. If so they will be treated as Science or Arts candidates?	The Council of Higher Secondary Education their Letter No.6657 CHSE dt.14.08.06 (copy enclosed) have indicated that +2 Vocational Examination conducted by the CHSE is equivalent to the examination conducted by CHSE for +2 Arts, Commerce streams . Similarly the clarification issued by CHSE vide Letter No.5 dt.14.02.11 (copy enclosed) indicates the equivalency of subjects taught in vocational course with that of Arts, commerce & Science stream. Therefore candidates passed vocational course with CT prior to 13.01.10 shall be treated as +2 Arts CT. After 13.01.2010 candidates passed vocational course with basic foundation course subjects like History, Political Science. & Economics shall be treated as +2 Arts, Accounting cost management as +2 Commerce, Physics, Chemistry, Mathematics or Biology as +2 Science Candidates.
4.	Whether candidates after passing +2 Arts/Science/ Commerce course and then passed +2 Vocational stream are eligible for selection?	No, because as per rule-2(1) of CHSE Act & Regulation 1982, the candidate after passing +2 Arts/Science/Commerce can not take admission again in Vocational Stream of Higher Secondary Course.
5.	Whether candidates of other universities having BA/B.Sc. qualification are eligible or not ?	The BA/B.Sc. certificates which are equivalent to the certificates of Utkal University, Berhampur University, Sambalpur University, Fakir Mohan University and North Odisha University shall be considered.
6.	Whether candidates having B.Ed. qualifications from other Institutions / outside Odisha are eligible or not?	Candidates having B.Ed. qualification from other institutions recognized by NCTE shall be considered provided the candidates must satisfy other conditions of advertisement.
7.	Whether candidates passed Oriya examination (ME Standard) conducted by NIOS (National Institute of Open School) are eligible ?	The Board of Secondary Education Odisha has not declared the Oriya Examination (ME) Standard conducted by NIOS as equivalent.
8.	Procedure to be followed for filling up vacancies for sports man?	The General Administration Deptt. Resolution No. 24808 Gen dt.18.11.1985 (copy enclosed) indicates the procedure to be followed for filling up vacancies of Sports Quota. The same may be strictly adhered to.

9.	Whether Applications of Untrained candidates shall be received?	Only the applications of untrained candidates who belong to SC,ST & PH category candidates shall be received.
10.	Whether candidates having +3 Science/ Arts with BHE from Utkal University will be considered for BA/B.Sc. B.Ed. posts.	- No.-
11.	Whether candidates having qualification of Sikshya Bisarad from Hindi Sikshya Sammilan Allahabad will be considered?	-No.

It may be clarified that at the time of receiving applications from the candidates the submission of Employment Registration Card may not be insisted upon.

Yours faithfully,

Commissioner-cum- Secretary to Govt.

19/12/11

Memo No. 25071 **/SME, Date** 19-12-11

Copy along with copy of the enclosures forwarded to all DPCs for information and necessary action.

19/12/2011

Additional Secretary to Govt.

Memo No. 25072 **/SME, Date** 19-12-11

Copy to State Project Director, OPEPA for information and necessary action.

19/12/2011

Additional Secretary to Govt.

True Copy Attested

Advocate



VAKALATNAMA

IN THE HIGH COURT OF ORISSA, CUTTACK

W.A. ~~W.P.(c)~~ No. 125 of 2024

Between

Sanju Rana .....Petitioner

6618

-Versus-

State of Odisha & Ors .... Opp. Parties

Know all men by these presents, that by this **VAKALATNAMA**

I Sanju Rana, Aged about 38 yrs, S/o-Lambodhar Rana, At/P.O-Binayakpur, P.S-Baseleipur, Dist-Bhadrak, at Present working as Level-VA/ Teacher in

_____

_____ Appellant/Respondent/Petitioner/Opp. Party in the aforesaid Revision/Appeal Case do hereby appoint and retain DURGESH NARAYAN RATH Enr. No:O-1650/2000 Mob:-9861041020, AMIT KUMAR SAA Enr.No:O-3497/08 mob. 9438001396 and SOURAV DAS Enr.No:O-1178/22 mob. 8917452487

_____ Advocate(s), to appear for me/us, in the above case and to conduct and prosecute (or defend) the same and all proceeding that may be taken in respect of any application connected with the same, or any decree or order passed therein including all applications for return of documents or receipt of any moneys that may be payable to me/us in the said case and also in applications for review, and in appeals under Orissa High Court order and in applications for leave to appeal to Supreme Court. I/We authorize my/our Advocate (s) to admit any compromise lawfully in the said case.

Dated 19/04/2024

Received from the executants(s)
Satisfied and accepted as I hold
No brief for the other side.

Advocate Accepted as above	Advocate Accepted as above
Advocate Accepted as above	Advocate Accepted as above

Sanju Rana
Signature of Executants

HIGH COURT OF ORISSA
FILED
23 APR 2024
REGISTRAR (JUDICIAL)

IN THE HIGH COURT OF ORISSA: CUTTACK
(CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION)

I.A. No. 2259 of 2024
(Arising out of W.A. No. 1257 of 2024)
D- WA - 18426/2024

IN THE MATTER OF:

An application under Rule 27 A of Chapter VI read with Chapter XV of the Orissa High Court Rules.

AND

IN THE MATTER OF :

An application for stay of the judgment dated 28.03.2024 passed in W.P.(C) No. 720 of 2016 under Annexure-3 to the writ appeal.

AND

IN THE MATTER OF:

Sanju Rana, aged about 38 years, D/o- Lambodhar Rana, At/P.O- Binayakpur, P.S-Basudevpur, Dist-Bhadrak, at present working as Level-V (A) Teacher in

P.S- , Dist-Bhadrak.

..... Appellant

SEAL OF THE NOTARY PUBLIC R.C. MISHRA NOTARY Regd. CUTTACK

-VERSUS-

1. State of Orissa, represented through its Commissioner-cum-Secretary, School, & Mass Education Department, At-Lok Seva Bhawan, Bhubaneswar, Dist-Khurdha, Odisha.
2. Collector-cum-Chief Executive Officer, Zilla Parishad, Bhadrak, At/P.O/P.S/Dist-Bhadrak.
3. District Project Coordinator of Bhadrak S.S.A., Bhadrak, At/P.O/Dist-Bhadrak.
4. Jayantilata Sahoo, aged about 41 years W/o-Abhaya Kumar Behera, At/P.O-Alabaga, Via-Ertal, Dist-Bhadrak.
5. Jyotirmayee Nayak, aged about 45 years, W/o-Sukanta Kishore Nayak, At-Chinol, P.O-Guagadia, Via-Ertal, Dist-Bhadrak.

..... opposite parties

6. Sanju Rana, aged about 38 years, D/o-Lambodhar Rana, At/P.O-Binayakpur, P.S-Basudevpur, Dist-Bhadrak.

..... Proforma opposite party.

SEAL OF THE NOTARY PUBLIC R.C. MISHRA NOTARY BHADRAK Regn. GOVT. OF ODISHA

HIGH COURT OF ORISSA
FILED
23 APR 2024
REGISTRAR (JUDICIAL)

To

The Hon'ble, the Chief Justice of Orissa High Court and His Lordship's Companion Justices of the said Hon'ble Court.

The Humble petition of the Petitioner, named above.

MOST RESPECTFULLY SHEWETH:

1. That the appellant by means of this writ appeal has assailed the legality and propriety of the judgment dated 28.03.2024 passed by the Hon'ble Single Judge in W.P.(C) No. 720 of 2016 since the same has been passed affecting the right and livelihood of the appellant and in clear non-application of mind. It is also alleged by the appellant that the writ petitioners by misrepresentation of facts mislead this Hon'ble Court and accordingly the order dated 28.03.2024 has been passed by the Hon'ble Single Judge.

2. That in order to avoid repetition of facts, the facts stated in the writ appeal be treated as the facts of this misc. case petition.

3. That it is respectfully submitted that pursuant to the order passed by the Hon'ble Single Judge of this Hon'ble Court, the respondents 1 to 3 are going to take steps to oust the present appellant and appoint the respondents 4 and 5, pursuant to a

SEAL OF THE NOTARY PUBLIC
R.C. MISHRA
NOTARY, CUTTACK
Regd. No-21/05
GOVT. OF ODISHA

selection made in the year 2011 after 13 years, without considering their merit position and by affecting the gradation list of entire district, and by this process the livelihood of the present appellant is seriously affected, therefore, for the ends of justice and proper adjudication of the case, the order dated 28.03.2024 passed in W.P.(C) No. 720 of 2016 may be stayed, pending final decision of the writ appeal.

PRAYER.

Under the above circumstance, it is therefore humbly prayed that this Hon'ble Court may be graciously pleased to pass an order pending final decision of the writ appeal, by staying judgment passed by the Hon'ble Single Judge of this Hon'ble Court on 28.03.2024 in W.P.(C) No. 720 of 2016 under Annexure-3 to the writ appeal.

And for this act of kindness, as in duty bound, the petitioner shall ever pray.

By the petitioner through

CUTTACK

DT. 14.04.2024

Advocate

SEAL OF THE NOTARY PUBLIC GOVT. OF ODISHA

IN THE HIGH COURT OF ORISSA: CUTTACK

I.A. No. 2259 of 2024

(Arising out of W.A. No. 1251 of 2024)

IN THE MATTER OF :

Sanju Rana ..... Petitioner

Vrs.

State of Odisha and others ..... Opposite Parties

AFFIDAVIT

1. Name and address : Sanju Rana, aged about 38 years, D/o- Lambodhar Rana, At/P.O-Binayakpur, P.S-Basudevpur, Dist-Bhadrak
2. Father's Name : Lambodhar Rana
3. Number of proceeding pending in the High Court or would be instituted. : Nil
4. Statements of facts : As per the averments made in the I.A.

5. The facts stated above are true to the best of my knowledge and belief of the deponent.

SEAL OF THE NOTARY PUBLIC
R.C. MISHRA
NOTARY CUTTACK
Regd. No-21/05
GOVT. OF ODISHA

(35) 6

HIGH COURT OF ORISSA
FILED
23 APR 2024
REGISTRAR (JUDICIAL)

DECLARATION

I, Sanju Rana, aged about 38 years, ~~D/o~~ Lambodhar Rana, At/P.O-Binayakpur, P.S-Basudevpur, Dist-Bhadrak, do solemnly affirm and state that I am the petitioner in this I.A. and that the facts stated above are true to my own knowledge and are true to the best of my information, which I obtained from the personal sources.

I believe the information to be true for the following reasons : Basing upon official records and information. Solemnly declare at the above said this 17th day of April, 2024.

Identified by

Amit Saa , Sanju Rana

ADVOCATE DEPONENT

Solemnly affirm before me by Sanju Rana, who is identified before me by Shri ~~Amit Kumar Saa, Adv~~ whom I personally know.

This 17th day of April, 2024.

SEAL OF THE NOTARY PUBLIC CUTTACK

NOTARY PUBLIC, CUTTACK

ADVOCATE
DURGESH NARAYAN RATH
Enr. No:O-1650/2000
Mob:-9861041020

HIGH COURT OF ORISSA
FILED
23 APR 2024
REGISTRAR (JUDL.)

IN THE HIGH COURT OF ORISSA CUTTACK

W.A. NO. 1251 OF 2024

Sanju Rana Appellant/Petitioner

-VERSUS-

State & Ors. Respondent/Opp. party

MEMO OF UNDERTAKING

That the petitioner undertakes to produce English Translation copy of the oriya Annexure/s as and when this Hon'ble court required.

By the Petitioner through

Advocate

CUTTACK

DATE : 24 / 04 / 2024

D SCANNED

IN THE HIGH COURT OF ORISSA, CUTTACK

RVWPET/FAO/WA/WP(C)/CONTC/IA NO- D-WA-18426/24

D-RVWPET/ D-FA0/ D-WA/ D-CONTC/ D-WP(C)-

Sanju Rana .....PETITIONER

VRS

STATE OF ORISSA ..... Others .....OPP.PARTY

RECEIPT MEMO

RECEIVED THE WRIT PETITION/CONTEMPT/FAO/WA/IA/ADDITIONAL/COUNTER/ REJOINDER AFFIDEVIT/ EXTRA COPIES_____ SET WITH ANNEXURE.

ORISSA HIGH COURT 2ND. CLERK 14 NOV 2024

CUTTACK
DATE 14/5/2024

SCHOOL AND MASS EDUCATION
OFFICE OF THE ADVOCATE GENERAL
CUTTACK

S&ME

SCANNED

IN THE HIGH COURT OF ORISSA: CUTTACK
(CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION)

I.A. No. 3271 of 2024
(Arising out of W.A. No. 1254 of 2024) D-W.A. 18426/24

IN THE MATTER OF:

An application under Rule 27 A of Chapter VI read with Chapter XV of the Orissa High Court Rules.

AND

IN THE MATTER OF :

An application for dispensing with filing of certified copy of the judgment dated 28.03.2024 passed in W.P.(C) No. 720 of 2016 under Annexure-3 to the writ appeal.

AND

IN THE MATTER OF:

Sanju Rana ..... Petitioner

-VERSUS-

State of Orissa and others.

Opposite Parties

To

The Hon'ble, the Chief Justice of Orissa High Court and His Lordship's Companion Justices of the said Hon'ble Court.

SEAL OF THE NOTARY PUBLIC * P.C. MISHRA NOTARY CUTTACK No-21/05 * GOVT. OF ODISHA

The Humble petition of the Petitioner, named above.

MOST RESPECTFULLY SHEWETH:

1. That the appellant by means of this writ appeal has assailed the legality and propriety of the judgment dated 28.03.2024 passed by the Hon'ble Single Judge in W.P.(C) No. 720 of 2016 since the same has been passed affecting the right and livelihood of the appellant and in clear non-application of mind. It is also alleged by the appellant that the writ petitioners by misrepresentation of facts mislead this Hon'ble Court and accordingly the order dated 28.03.2024 has been passed by the Hon'ble Single Judge.

2. That in order to avoid repetition of facts, the facts stated in the writ appeal be treated as the facts of this misc. case petition.

3. That it is respectfully submitted that in W.P.(C) No. 720 of 2016 along with the present appellant, one Lija Smita Nayak was also arrayed as opposite party. The said Lija Smita Nayak and the present appellant were represented through one Advocate. Accordingly the certified copy of the judgment dated 28.03.2024 passed by the Hon'ble Single Judge in W.P.(C) No. 720 of 2016 has been annexed in the writ appeal filed by said Lija Smita

SEAL OF THE NOTARY PUBLIC R.C. MISHRA CUTTACK NOTARY Regd. No. 21/05 GOVT. OF ODISHA

CHIEF JUSTICE'S COURT
14 MAY 2024
HIGH COURT OF ORISSA

Nayak and a Xerox copy of the same has been annexed in the present writ appeal.

4. That it is respectfully submitted that since the certified copy is available in the writ appeal filed by one Lija Smita Nayak, which is analogous to the present writ appeal, therefore, filing of certified copy of the impugned judgment in the present writ appeal may be dispensed with and the present writ appeal may be heard along with the Writ Appeal filed by one Lija Smita Nayak bearing Diary No 18926 of 2024. Hence this I.A.

PRAYER.

Under the above circumstance, it is therefore humbly prayed that this Hon'ble Court may be graciously pleased to pass an order by dispensing with filing of the certified copy of the judgment dated 28.03.2024 passed in W.P.(C) No. 720 of 2016 under Annexure-3 to the writ appeal and this writ appeal may be heard analogously along with Writ Appeal filed by one Lija Smita Nayak, vide Diary No. 18926/2024

And for this act of kindness, as in duty bound, the petitioner shall ever pray.

By the petitioner through

CUTTACK

DT. 12. 05.2024

Advocate

SEAL OF THE NOTARY PUBLIC
R.C. MISHRA
NOTARY CUTTACK
Regd. No. 21/05
GOVT. OF ODISHA

IN THE HIGH COURT OF ORISSA: CUTTACK

I.A. No. 327 of 2024
(Arising out of W.A. No. 127 of 2024)

IN THE MATTER OF :

Sanju Rana ..... Petitioner
Vrs.

State of Odisha and others ..... Opposite Parties

AFFIDAVIT

1. Name and address : Sanju Rana, aged about 38 years, D/o- Lambodhar Rana, At/P.O-Binayakpur, P.S-Basudevpur, Dist-Bhadrak
2. Father's Name : Lambodhar Rana
3. Occupation : Teacher
3. Number of proceeding pending in the High Court or would be instituted. : Nil
4. Statements of facts : As per the averments made in the I.A.

5. The facts stated above are true to the best of my knowledge and belief of the deponent.

SEAL OF THE NOTARY PUBLIC
R.C. MISHRA
GOVT. OF ODISHA

CHIEF JUSTICE'S COURT
14 MAY 2024
HIGH COURT OF ORISSA

DECLARATION

I, Sanju Rana, aged about 38 years, D/o-Lambodhar Rana, At/P.O-Binayakpur, P.S-Basudevpur, Dist-Bhadrak, do solemnly affirm and state that I am the petitioner in this I.A. and that the facts stated above are true to my own knowledge and are true to the best of my information, which I obtained from the personal sources.

I believe the information to be true for the following reasons : Basing upon official records and information. Solemnly declare at the above said this 12th day of April, 2024.

Identified by

Ak Saa

Sanju Rana

ADVOCATE DEPONENT

Solemnly affirm before me by Sanju Rana, who is identified before me by Shri Ak Saa, whom I personally know.

This 12th day of April, 2024.

NOTARY PUBLIC, CUTTACK

SEAL OF THE NOTARY PUBLIC
R.C. MISHRA
NOTARY, CUTTACK
Regd. No.
GOVT. OF ODISHA

ADVOCATE

SCANNED

COMPUTERISED FILING COUNTER
ORISSA HIGH COURT,CUTTACK
ACKNOWLEDGEMENT SLIP

Seat No :
Branch No :
Receipt No : 63319/2024 Date Of Receiving : 13/05/2024 Time : 04:15:00 PM
Filing No : D- **WA 18426/2024**
Case No : **WA /0**
Received From : Petitioner
Filed By: ADVOCATE FOR PETITIONER
Document(s) Filed :

1- Legible Copy
2- RECEIPT (GOVT)

ORISSA
2ND.
1
CLEARANCE
ORISSA HIGH
2ND.
13
CLEARANCE
DEPUTY REGIS

IN THE HIGH COURT OF ORISSA: CUTTACK

O-W.A.No. 18426 of 2024

Sanju Rana ..... **Petitioner**

-Versus-

State of Odisha & Ors ..... **Opp. Party**

MEMO

As per defect pointed out by SR Legible Copy of Page No. 63, 64, 116, 148 to 152 is filed herewith for removal of defect.

Cuttack

Date: 13/05/24

Advocate for the Petitioner

Legible Copy

ORISSA HIGH COURT
2ND.
13 MAY '2024
CLEARANCE
DEPUTY REGISTRAR (J&E)

-63-

ସର୍ବ ଶିକ୍ଷା ଅଭିଯାନ

ସବିଶେଷ ପଢ଼ନ୍ତୁ ସବିଶେଷ ବଢ଼ନ୍ତୁ

ଜିଲ୍ଲାପ୍ରକଳ୍ପ କାର୍ଯ୍ୟାଳୟ, ସର୍ବଶିକ୍ଷା ଅଭିଯାନ, ଉତ୍ତ୍ରକ

ବିଜ୍ଞାପନ ନଂ. ୯୮୫୧ ତାରିଖ: ୦୮.୧୨.୨୦୧୧

ଶିକ୍ଷା ସହାୟକ/ସହାୟିକା ନିଯୋଜନ ବିଜ୍ଞାପନ

ଉତ୍ତ୍ରକ ରାଜସ୍ୱ ଜିଲ୍ଲାର ଶିକ୍ଷା ଜିଲ୍ଲାଗୁଡ଼ିକର ପ୍ରାଥମିକ ଓ ଉଚ୍ଚପ୍ରାଥମିକ ବିଦ୍ୟାଳୟମାନଙ୍କରେ ଆବଶ୍ୟକ ଶିକ୍ଷା ସହାୟକ ନିଯୋଜନ ପାଇଁ ଉତ୍ତ୍ରକ ଜିଲ୍ଲା ପରିଷଦ ତରଫରୁ ତରଖାସ୍ତ ଆହ୍ୱାନ କରାଯାଉଅଛି । ଉକ୍ତ ଯୋଗ୍ୟ ପ୍ରାର୍ଥୀ/ପ୍ରାର୍ଥିନୀମାନେ ଯେ କୌଣସି ଗୋଟିଏ ଶିକ୍ଷାଜିଲ୍ଲା ପାଇଁ ଆବେଦନ କରିପାରିବେ। ଏଥିପାଇଁ ଆବେଦନ ପତ୍ର ସହିତ ପ୍ରାର୍ଥୀ/ପ୍ରାର୍ଥିନୀ କେବଳ ଗୋଟିଏ ଶିକ୍ଷା ଜିଲ୍ଲାପାଇଁ ଆବେଦନ କରିଛନ୍ତି ବୋଲି ଏକ ସତ୍ୟପାଠ (Affidavit) ଫଇସ୍ଟାକରିବେ । ଯାହା ସମୟରେ ଯଦି ପ୍ରାର୍ଥୀ/ପ୍ରାର୍ଥିନୀମାନେ ଆବେଦନ କରିଥିବା ଶିକ୍ଷା ଜିଲ୍ଲା ବ୍ୟତିତ ଅନ୍ୟ ଶିକ୍ଷା ଜିଲ୍ଲାରେ ଆବେଦନ କରିଥିବା ପ୍ରମାଣିତ ହୁଏ ତେବେ ପ୍ରାର୍ଥୀଙ୍କର ଆବେଦନ ପତ୍ର ବିବାଉନ୍ଦୁ ନିଆ ନ ଯାଇ ନାକଚ କରିଦିଆଯିବ । ସାର୍ଟିଫିକେଟ୍ ଜାଲ ବୋଲି ପ୍ରମାଣିତ ହେଲେ ଥାଇନ୍ ଅନୁଯାୟୀ କାର୍ଯ୍ୟାନୁଷ୍ଠାନ ଗ୍ରହଣ କରାଯିବ ଏବଂ ନିଯୁକ୍ତି ରଦ୍ଦ କରାଯିବ । ଉକ୍ତ ଯୋଗ୍ୟ ପ୍ରାର୍ଥୀ/ପ୍ରାର୍ଥିନୀମାନେ ସାଧ୍ୟ ଜାଗଜରେ ନିମ୍ନ ପ୍ରଦର୍ଶ ତରଖାସ୍ତ ଫର୍ମ ଅନୁପାତରେ ଆବେଦନ ପତ୍ର ଜାଗୁଆଇ ପ୍ରକଳ୍ପ ଉ.ପ୍ରା. ବିଦ୍ୟାଳୟ, ବାଗୁରାଇ, ଉତ୍ତ୍ରକରେ ଆସନ୍ତା ୩୦.୧୦.୨୦୧୨ ତାରିଖ ସୁଦ୍ଧା ଯୋଜିଷ୍ଟ୍ରା ଡାକଘର ଜିମ୍ମା ବ୍ୟକ୍ତିଗତ ଭାବେ ଦାଖଲ କରିବା ଆବଶ୍ୟକ ।

ତ୍ରୁଟିପୂର୍ଣ୍ଣ ବା ବିଳମ୍ବରେ ପ୍ରାପ୍ତ ତରଖାସ୍ତ ଗୁଡ଼ିକୁ ବିଚାରକୁ ନିଆଯିବ ନାହିଁ । ଆବେଦନପତ୍ର ଜିଲ୍ଲାପରିଷଦର ମୁଖ୍ୟନିର୍ବାହୀ ଅଧିକାରୀ ତଥା ଜିଲ୍ଲାପାଳଙ୍କୁ ସଂବୋଧିତ କରିବା ଆବଶ୍ୟକ ।

(୨) ଏହି ଶିକ୍ଷା ସହାୟକ ନିଯୋଜନ ପାଇଁ ଶିକ୍ଷା ଜିଲ୍ଲାଗୁଡ଼ିକ ବିଭିନ୍ନ ଶ୍ରେଣୀର (Category) ପଦବୀ ସଂଖ୍ୟା ଏବଂ ବିଭିନ୍ନ ବର୍ଗ ପାଇଁ ସଂରକ୍ଷଣ ଥିବା ପଦବୀ ସଂଖ୍ୟା ନିମ୍ନ ପ୍ରକାର ଅଟେ।

ଶିକ୍ଷା ଜିଲ୍ଲାର ନାମ	ପଦବୀର ପ୍ରକାର	ମୋଟ ପଦବୀ ସଂଖ୍ୟା	ଶାରିରୀକ ଭିନ୍ନକ୍ଷମଙ୍କ ପାଇଁ ଉଦ୍ଦିଷ୍ଟ ପଦବୀ
ଉତ୍ତ୍ରକ - ୧	ବି.ଏସ୍ସି./ବି.ଏ.ବି.ଇଡି	୧୪୫	୫
	ଯୁକ୍ତ ହିନ୍ଦି ବିଜ୍ଞାନ /କଳା/ ବାଣିଜ୍ୟ ସି.ଟି.	୫୯୨	୬
ଉତ୍ତ୍ରକ - ୨	ବି.ଏସ୍.ସି/ବି.ଏ.ବି.ଇଡି	୧୨୩	୪
	+୨ ବିଜ୍ଞାନ/ କଳା/ ବାଣିଜ୍ୟ ସି.ଟି	୧୭୫	୫

+୨ ଯୋଗ୍ୟତା ସହ ଉର୍ଦ୍ଦୁ ଡାଲିମପ୍ରାପ୍ତ ସି.ଟି. ପ୍ରାର୍ଥୀ/ ପ୍ରାର୍ଥିନୀମାନେ ରାଜସ୍ୱ ଜିଲ୍ଲା ପାଇଁ ଆବେଦନ ପତ୍ର ଦାଖଲ କରିବେ । ସେମାନଙ୍କୁ ଜିଲ୍ଲାର ପ୍ରାଥମିକ ଓ ଉଚ୍ଚ ପ୍ରାଥମିକ ବିଦ୍ୟାଳୟମାନଙ୍କରେ ଆବଶ୍ୟକତା ଅନୁଯାୟୀ ନିଯୋଜନ କରାଯିବ । ଏହି ପଦବୀ ଗୁଡ଼ିକର ସଂଖ୍ୟା ଏବଂ ବିଭିନ୍ନ ବର୍ଗ ପାଇଁ ସଂରକ୍ଷଣ ଥିବା ପଦବୀ ନିମ୍ନ ପ୍ରକାର ଅଟେ ।

ପଦବୀର ପ୍ରକାର	ମୋଟ ପଦବୀ ସଂଖ୍ୟା	ଶାରିରୀକ ଭିନ୍ନକ୍ଷମଙ୍କ ପାଇଁ ଉଦ୍ଦିଷ୍ଟ ପଦବୀ
ଉର୍ଦ୍ଦୁ ସି.ଟି	୩୫	୧

(ଅନ୍ୟାନ୍ୟ ବର୍ଗର ବ୍ୟକ୍ତିଙ୍କ ନିମନ୍ତେ ସରକାରଙ୍କ ଦ୍ୱାରା ସଂଜଡିତ ସଂରକ୍ଷଣ ନିୟମାନୁଯାୟୀ ପଦବୀ ସଂରକ୍ଷିତ ରହିବ ।)

ଏଥାଇଁ ୧:୬୦½ ଭାଗ ଯୋଗ୍ୟତା ବିବେଚିତ ପ୍ରାର୍ଥୀଙ୍କୁ ଡାକିବାକୁ କରାଯିବ ଯାହା ବର୍ତ୍ତମାନ ପାଇଁ ବୈଧ ରହିବ । ଏହି ଯୋଗ୍ୟ ବିବେଚିତ ଆସାୟୀ ଏବଂ ରୁଦ୍ଧାର ପ୍ରାର୍ଥୀ ତାଲିକା (Provisional & Final Select List) ଶିକ୍ଷା ଜିଲ୍ଲା, ଜିଲ୍ଲା ପରିଷଦ ଏବଂ ଜିଲ୍ଲାପାଳଙ୍କ କାର୍ଯ୍ୟାଳୟ ମାନଙ୍କର ନୋଟିସ୍ ବୋର୍ଡରେ ସର୍ବସାଧାରଣଙ୍କ ଅବଗତି ନିମନ୍ତେ ପ୍ରଦର୍ଶିତ ହେବ । ଶିକ୍ଷା ସହାୟକମାନେ ଜିଲ୍ଲାପରିଷଦ ଦ୍ୱାରା ନିଯୋଜିତ ହେବେ ।

(୩) ଶିକ୍ଷାଗତ ଯୋଗ୍ୟତା: ନିମ୍ନଲିଖିତ ଯୋଗ୍ୟତାଥାଇ ପ୍ରାର୍ଥୀ/ପ୍ରାର୍ଥିନୀମାନେ ଯେ କୌଣସି ଗୋଟିଏ ପଦବୀ ପାଇଁ ଆବଶ୍ୟକ ଯୋଗ୍ୟତା ଅନୁଯାୟୀ ଆବେଦନ କରିବେ ।

(କ) ଯୁକ୍ତ ଦୁଇ (+2) ବିଜ୍ଞାନ/କଳା/ବାଣିଜ୍ୟ କିମ୍ବା ଉପଯୁକ୍ତ କର୍ତ୍ତୃପକ୍ଷଙ୍କ ଦ୍ୱାରା ଘୋଷିତ ତତୁଲ୍ୟ ପରୀକ୍ଷାରେ ପାସ୍ ଯୋଗ୍ୟତା ସହିତ ଓଡ଼ିଆ ମାତୃଭାଷାରେ ସପ୍ତମ ଶ୍ରେଣୀ ପାସ୍ ଯୋଗ୍ୟତା ଥାଇ ଡାଲିମପ୍ରାପ୍ତ (ସି.ଟି.) (Recognised by NCTE) ।

(ଖ) ଯୁକ୍ତ ଦୁଇ (+2) ବିଜ୍ଞାନ/କଳା/ବାଣିଜ୍ୟ କିମ୍ବା ଉପଯୁକ୍ତ କର୍ତ୍ତୃପକ୍ଷଙ୍କ ଦ୍ୱାରା ଘୋଷିତ ତତୁଲ୍ୟ ପରୀକ୍ଷାରେ ପାସ୍ ଯୋଗ୍ୟତା ସହିତ ଓଡ଼ିଆ ମାତୃଭାଷାରେ ସପ୍ତମ ଶ୍ରେଣୀ ପାସ୍ ଯୋଗ୍ୟତା ଥାଇ Rehabilitation Council of India (RCI) ଦ୍ୱାରା ସ୍ୱୀକୃତି ପ୍ରାପ୍ତ ସ୍ୱତନ୍ତ୍ର ଶିକ୍ଷାରେ ୨ ବର୍ଷିୟ ଡିପ୍ଲୋମା ତାଲିମ (2 year diploma in Special Education)।

(ଗ) ସ୍ନାତକରେ ବିଜ୍ଞାନ/କଳା କିମ୍ବା ଉପଯୁକ୍ତ କର୍ତ୍ତୃପକ୍ଷଙ୍କ ଦ୍ୱାରା ଘୋଷିତ ତତୁଲ୍ୟ ପରୀକ୍ଷାରେ ପାସ୍ ସହିତ ମାଟ୍ରିକ ପର୍ଯ୍ୟନ୍ତ ଓଡ଼ିଆ ମାତୃଭାଷା ଯୋଗ୍ୟତାଥାଇ ବି.ଏଡ୍. ତାଲିମ ପ୍ରାପ୍ତ (Recognised by NCTE) ।

(ଘ) ସ୍ନାତକରେ ବିଜ୍ଞାନ / କଳା କିମ୍ବା ଉପଯୁକ୍ତ କର୍ତ୍ତୃପକ୍ଷଙ୍କ ଦ୍ୱାରା ଘୋଷିତ ତତୁଲ୍ୟ ପରୀକ୍ଷାରେ ପାସ୍ ସହିତ ମାଟ୍ରିକ ପର୍ଯ୍ୟନ୍ତ ଓଡ଼ିଆ ମାତୃଭାଷା ଯୋଗ୍ୟତାଥାଇ Rehabilitation Council of India (RCI) ଦ୍ୱାରା ସ୍ୱୀକୃତି ପ୍ରାପ୍ତ ସ୍ୱତନ୍ତ୍ର ଶିକ୍ଷା ପାଠ୍ୟକ୍ରମରେ ବି.ଏଡ୍. ତାଲିମ୍ (B.Ed. Special Education) ।

(ଙ) ଉର୍ଦ୍ଦୁ ଯୋଗ୍ୟତା ସହ ଉର୍ଦ୍ଦୁ C.T. ପ୍ରାର୍ଥୀମାନେ ଅତଡଃ ପକ୍ଷେ ଉର୍ଦ୍ଦୁ ମାତୃଭାଷା ଥାଇ ସପ୍ତମ ଶ୍ରେଣୀ ପାସ୍ କରିଥିବା ଆବଶ୍ୟକ ।

(ଚ) ଯୁକ୍ତ ଦୁଇ (+2) ବିଜ୍ଞାନ, କଳା, ବାଣିଜ୍ୟ କିମ୍ବା ତତୁଲ୍ୟ ପରୀକ୍ଷାରେ ପାସ୍ ସହିତ ଓଡ଼ିଆ ମାତୃଭାଷା ସପ୍ତମ ପର୍ଯ୍ୟନ୍ତ ଯୋଗ୍ୟତା ଥାଇ ଏବଂ ବିଜ୍ଞାନ ଓ କଳାରେ କିମ୍ବା ତତୁଲ୍ୟପରୀକ୍ଷାରେ ସ୍ନାତକ ପାସ୍ ସହିତ (ଓଡ଼ିଆ ମାତୃଭାଷା ନବମ ପର୍ଯ୍ୟନ୍ତ) ଯୋଗ୍ୟତାଥାଇ ଅଣରାଜିମ୍ ପ୍ରାପ୍ତ ଶିକ୍ଷକ ଶିକ୍ଷୟିତ୍ରୀ ପଦବୀ ପାଇଁ ଆବେଦନ କରିପାରିବେ । ଉପରୋକ୍ତ ଶିକ୍ଷାଗତ ଯୋଗ୍ୟତା ବ୍ୟତୀତ ପୂର୍ବ ଶିକ୍ଷା ଗୁଡ଼ିକରେ ଆବଶ୍ୟକ ଦକ୍ଷତା ଉପଯୁକ୍ତତା, ଜନଜାତି ଏବଂ ଶାରିରୀକ ଅକ୍ଷମତାରେ ଭୌତିମ ପ୍ରାପ୍ତି ପ୍ରାର୍ଥୀ ନିଜସ୍ୱ ନିଯୁକ୍ତି ନିୟମାବଳୀ ଏବଂ ରାଜ୍ୟ ସରକାରଙ୍କ ଦ୍ୱାରା ଶିକ୍ଷାଗତ ଯୋଗ୍ୟତା ସଂପର୍କିତ ନିର୍ଦ୍ଦେଶ ଅନୁଯାୟୀ ସେମାନଙ୍କ ବିଷୟକୁ ବିଚାରକୁ ନେଇ ନିଯୁକ୍ତି ଦିଆଯିବ ।

(ଛ) ୨୦୧୦-୧୧ ଶିକ୍ଷା ବର୍ଷରେ C.T. ପରୀକ୍ଷା ଦେଇଥିବା ପ୍ରାର୍ଥୀମାନେ Admit କାର୍ଡର ନକଲ ସଂଯୋଗ କରି ଆବେଦନ କରିପାରିବେ । C.T. ପରୀକ୍ଷା Result ବାହାରିବାର ୧୫ ଦିନ ମଧ୍ୟରେ ସେମାନେ ପାଶ Certificate ଏବଂ Marksheet ର ନକଲ ଆବେଦନ

True Copy
Adv.

ORISSA HIGH COURT
2ND.
13 MAY 2024
...ARANC...
REGISTR...

-64-

(ଚ) [illegible]

(ଚ) ଯୁକ୍ତ ଦୁଇ (+2) ବିଜ୍ଞାନ, କଳା, ବାଣିଜ୍ୟ କିମ୍ବା ତତ୍ତୁଲ୍ୟ ପରୀକ୍ଷାରେ ପାସ୍ ସହିତ ଓଡ଼ିଆ ମାତୃଭାଷା ଦଶମ ପର୍ଯ୍ୟନ୍ତ ଯୋଗ୍ୟତା ଥାଇ ଏବଂ ବିଜ୍ଞାନ ଏ କଳାରେ କିମ୍ବା ତତ୍ତୁଲ୍ୟ ପରୀକ୍ଷାରେ ସ୍ନାତକ ପାସ୍ ସହିତ (ଓଡ଼ିଆ ମାତୃଭାଷା ଦଶମ ପର୍ଯ୍ୟାୟ) ଯୋଗ୍ୟତା ଥିବା ଅଣତାଲିମ ପ୍ରାପ୍ତ [illegible] ଯଦି ସଂପୃକ୍ତ ଶିକ୍ଷା ୟୁନିଟରେ ଆବଶ୍ୟକ ସଂଖ୍ୟକ ତଫସିଲଭୁକ୍ତ ଜାତି, ଜନଜାତି ଏବଂ ଶାରିରୀକ ଅକ୍ଷମରେ ତାଲିମ ପ୍ରାପ୍ତ ପ୍ରାର୍ଥୀ ନ ମିଳନ୍ତି ଏବଂ ଭାରତ ସରକାରଙ୍କ ଠାରୁ ଶିକ୍ଷାଗତ ଯୋଗ୍ୟତା ସଂପର୍କିତ ନିର୍ଦ୍ଧାରିତ ଆଦେଶ ଅନୁଯାୟୀ ସେମାନଙ୍କ ବିଷୟକୁ ବିଚାରକୁ ନେଇ ନିଯୁକ୍ତି ଦିଆଯିବ।

(ଛ) ୨୦୧୦-୧୧ ଶିକ୍ଷା ବର୍ଷରେ C.T. ପରୀକ୍ଷା ଦେଉଥିବା ପ୍ରାର୍ଥୀମାନେ Admit କାର୍ଡର ନକଲ ସଂଯୋଗ କରି ଆବେଦନ କରିପାରିବେ। C.T ପରୀକ୍ଷା Result ବାହାରିବାର ୧୫ ଦିନ ମଧ୍ୟରେ ସେମାନେ ପାଶ Certificate ଏବଂ Marksheet ର ନକଲ ଆବେଦନ କରିଥିବା ଜିଲ୍ଲାରେ ଦାଖଲ କରିବା ପରେ କେବଳ ସେମାନଙ୍କର ଦରଖାସ୍ତ ବିଚାରକୁ ନିଆଯିବ।

ସମସ୍ତ ଅଣ ତାଲିମପ୍ରାପ୍ତ ପ୍ରାର୍ଥୀମାନେ ନିଯୋଜନ ହେବାର ପାଞ୍ଚ ବର୍ଷ ମଧ୍ୟରେ ନିଜ ଖର୍ଚ୍ଚରେ ତାଲିମପ୍ରାପ୍ତ ହେବେ ବୋଲି ଏକ (Undertaking) ଦେବେ।

୪. ବୟସସୀମା : ପ୍ରାର୍ଥୀମାନଙ୍କର ବୟସ ତା.୧.୧୧.୨୦୧୧ରିଖ ସୁଦ୍ଧା ୪୨ ବର୍ଷରୁ ଅଧିକ ହୋଇ ନଥିବ କିମ୍ବା ୧୮ ବର୍ଷରୁ କମ୍ ହୋଇ ନଥିବା ଆବଶ୍ୟକ। ତଫସିଲ ଭୁକ୍ତ ଜାତି ଓ ଜନଜାତି, ସାମରିକ କର୍ମଚାରୀ, ମହିଳା ଏବଂ SEBC ପ୍ରାର୍ଥୀମାନଙ୍କ କ୍ଷେତ୍ରରେ ସର୍ବୋଚ୍ଚ ବୟସ ସୀମା ୫ ବର୍ଷ କୋହଳ କରାଯିବ। ଶାରିରୀକ ଭିନ୍ନ କ୍ଷମ ପ୍ରାର୍ଥୀମାନଙ୍କ କ୍ଷେତ୍ରରେ ସର୍ବୋଚ୍ଚ ବୟସ ସୀମା ୧୦ ବର୍ଷ କୋହଳ କରାଯିବ।

୫. ନିଯୋଜନ : ଶିକ୍ଷା ସହାୟକମାନେ ବାର୍ଷିକ ଚୁକ୍ତି ଅନୁଯାୟୀ ପ୍ରାଥମିକ/ଉଚ୍ଚ ପ୍ରାଥମିକ ତଥା ଉଚ୍ଚ ପ୍ରାଥମିକ ବିଦ୍ୟାଳୟର ଉନ୍ନତି ଶ୍ରେଣୀମାନଙ୍କରେ ଆବଶ୍ୟକ ଅନୁଯାୟୀ ନିଯୋଜିତ (ଏନଗେଜମେଣ୍ଟ) ହେବେ।

୫.୧ ନିଯୋଜନ ପତ୍ର ପ୍ରଦାନ ପୂର୍ବରୁ ସଂପୃକ୍ତ ଜିଲ୍ଲା ପରିଷଦ ତରଫରୁ ମୁଖ୍ୟନିର୍ବାହୀ ଅଧିକାରୀ, ଜିଲ୍ଲା ପରିଷଦ ତଥା ଜିଲ୍ଲାପାଳ ଓ ଶିକ୍ଷା ସହାୟକଙ୍କ ମଧ୍ୟରେ ଷ୍ଟାମ୍ପ କାଗଜ ମାଧ୍ୟମରେ ନିର୍ଦ୍ଧାରିତ ଫର୍ମରେ ଏକ ଚୁକ୍ତିନାମା ସ୍ୱାକ୍ଷରିତ କରାଯିବ।

୫.୨ ଶିକ୍ଷା ସହାୟକ/ସହାୟିକାମାନଙ୍କୁ ସେମାନେ ଆବେଦନ କରିଥିବା ଶିକ୍ଷା ଜିଲ୍ଲା ମଧ୍ୟରେ ନିଯୋଜନ କରାଯିବ।

୬. ସଂରକ୍ଷଣ ବ୍ୟବସ୍ଥା : ଓ.ଆର.ଭି ଆକ୍ଟ ସଙ୍ଗେ ସଙ୍ଗେ ରାଜ୍ୟ ସରକାରଙ୍କର ଅନ୍ୟାନ୍ୟ ସଂରକ୍ଷଣ ନୀତି ଏବଂ ଅନ୍ୟ ପ୍ରାର୍ଥୀ ଯଥା- ପୂର୍ବତନ ସାମରିକ କର୍ମଚାରୀ (Ex-Serviceman), ଭିନ୍ନକ୍ଷମ (Handicapped) ଙ୍କ ପାଇଁ ସାଧାରଣ ପ୍ରଶାସନ ବିଭାଗ ରିଜୋଲ୍ୟୁସନ ନଂ-୨୫୩୮୪ ତା.୨୦.୦୯.୨୦୦୫ ଅନୁଯାୟୀ ସଂରକ୍ଷଣ ବ୍ୟବସ୍ଥା ଲାଗୁ ହେବ ଏବଂ କ୍ରିଡ଼ା କ୍ଷେତ୍ରରେ ସ୍ୱାତନ୍ତ୍ର୍ୟ ଅର୍ଜନ କରିଥିବା ବ୍ୟକ୍ତ (Eminent Sports Personel)ଙ୍କ ନିମନ୍ତେ ସରକାରଙ୍କ ଦ୍ୱାରା ସଂକଳିତ ସଂରକ୍ଷଣ ନିୟମ ଲାଗୁ କରାଯିବ। ମହିଳା ପ୍ରାର୍ଥୀନୀଙ୍କ ପାଇଁ ସମ୍ପୃକ୍ତ ବର୍ଗର ୩୩.୧/୩ ପ୍ରତିଶତ ସଂରକ୍ଷଣ ଲାଗୁ ହେବ।

୭. ତାଲିମ୍ ପ୍ରାପ୍ତ ଶିକ୍ଷା ସହାୟକମାନଙ୍କୁ ମାସିକ ଟ.୪୦୦୦/- ପାରିଶ୍ରମିକ ଆକାରରେ ଦିଆଯିବ।

୮. ଅଣ ତାଲିମ ପ୍ରାପ୍ତ ଶିକ୍ଷା ସହାୟକମାନଙ୍କୁ ମାସିକ ଟ.୩୫୦୦/- ପାରିଶ୍ରମିକ ଆକାରରେ ଦିଆଯିବ।

୯. ନିମ୍ନ ପ୍ରଦତ୍ତ ଦରଖାସ୍ତ ଫର୍ମ ଅନୁସାରେ ଆବେଦନ କରାଯାଇ ପାରିବ। ଦରଖାସ୍ତ ସହ ମାଟ୍ରିକ ଠାରୁ ଆବେଦନ କରିଥିବା ପଦବୀପାଇଁ ଶିକ୍ଷାଗତ ଯୋଗ୍ୟତାର ପ୍ରମାଣପତ୍ର ଏବଂ ମାର୍କ ତାଲିକାର ଅବିକଳ ନକଲ (ଆଟେଷ୍ଟେଡ୍ କପି) କର୍ମନିଯୋଜନ ସଂସ୍ଥା ପଞ୍ଜିକରଣ ପ୍ରମାଣପତ୍ର, ଜାତିଗତ ଏବଂ ଆବାସିକ ପ୍ରମାଣପତ୍ର, ଭିନ୍ନକ୍ଷମ ହୋଇଥିଲେ ତାର ଅବିକଳ ପ୍ରମାଣପତ୍ର, ପ୍ରାର୍ଥୀଙ୍କର ୨ ଖଣ୍ଡ ବର୍ତ୍ତମାନର ସହିମୋହର ପାସପୋର୍ଟ ଆକାର ଫଟୋ ଏବଂ କେବଳ ଗୋଟିଏ ଶିକ୍ଷାଜିଲ୍ଲାରେ ଆବେଦନ କରିଛନ୍ତି ବୋଲି ସତ୍ୟପାଠ (Affidavit) ଇତ୍ୟାଦିର ସଂଯୋଗ ନଥିଲେ ଆବେଦନ ପତ୍ରଟିକୁ ଖାରଜ କରିଦିଆଯିବ।

ଶିକ୍ଷା ସହାୟକ ପଦପାଇଁ ଆବେଦନ ପତ୍ର

୧. ଯେଉଁ ଶିକ୍ଷା ଜିଲ୍ଲାରେ ନିଯୁକ୍ତି ଚାହୁଁଛନ୍ତି ତାର ନାମ। ୨. ଯେଉଁ ପଦବୀରେ ନିଯୁକ୍ତି ଚାହୁଁଛନ୍ତି ତାର ନାମ। ୩. ପ୍ରାର୍ଥୀଙ୍କର ସଂପୂର୍ଣ୍ଣ ନାମ। ୪. ପିତା କିମ୍ବା ସ୍ୱାମୀଙ୍କର ନାମ। ୫. ବର୍ତ୍ତମାନର ଠିକଣା। ୬. ସ୍ଥାୟୀ ଠିକଣା। ୭. ଜନ୍ମତାରିଖ (ପ୍ରମାଣପତ୍ରର ଅବିକଳ ନକଲ ସଂଯୁକ୍ତ କରିବେ)। ୮. ପ୍ରାର୍ଥୀ/ପ୍ରାର୍ଥୀନୀ କେଉଁ ବର୍ଗର ଅଟନ୍ତି। ୯. ଶିକ୍ଷାଗତ ଯୋଗ୍ୟତା, ଉତ୍ତୀର୍ଣ୍ଣ ହୋଇଥିବା ପରୀକ୍ଷାମାନଙ୍କର ନାମ, ଶିକ୍ଷାନୁଷ୍ଠାନର ନାମ, ବୋର୍ଡ କିମ୍ବା ବିଶ୍ୱବିଦ୍ୟାଳୟର ନାମ, ଉତ୍ତୀର୍ଣ୍ଣ ବର୍ଷ, କେତେ ନମ୍ବର ପାଇଥିଲେ, % ନମ୍ବର, (ଯୁକ୍ତ ଦୁଇ/ସ୍ନାତକ/ପ୍ରଶିକ୍ଷଣ (CT/BEd/ Spl. B.Ed) ପରୀକ୍ଷାର ପ୍ରମାଣପତ୍ର ଓ ମାର୍କସିଟର ଅବିକଳ ନକଲ (Spl. Education ରେ Diploma ଏବଂ Spl. B.Ed. ପ୍ରାର୍ଥୀମାନେ RCI Registrationର ପ୍ରମାଣପତ୍ରର ଅବିକଳ ନକଲ) ସଂଯୋଗ କରିବେ। ୧୦. ୨୦୧୦-୧୧ ଶିକ୍ଷାବର୍ଷରେ ସିଟି ପରୀକ୍ଷା ଦେଇଥିବା ପ୍ରାର୍ଥୀମାନେ Admit କାର୍ଡର ଅବିକଳ ନକଲ ସଂଯୋଗ କରିବେ। ୧୧. କର୍ମନିଯୋଜନ କେନ୍ଦ୍ରର ନାମ ଏବଂ ରେଜିଷ୍ଟ୍ରେସନ ସଂଖ୍ୟା ଓ ତାରିଖ (ପ୍ରମାଣପତ୍ରର ଅବିକଳ ନକଲ ସଂଯୋଗ କରିବେ)। ୧୨. ଜାତିଗତ ପ୍ରମାଣପତ୍ର (ଅବିକଳ ନକଲ ସଂଯୋଗ କରିବେ)। ୧୩. ଅକର୍ମଣ୍ୟ ପ୍ରମାଣପତ୍ର (ଅବିକଳ ନକଲ ସଂଯୋଗ କରିବେ)। ୧୪. ଆବାସିକ ପ୍ରମାଣପତ୍ର (ଅବିକଳ ନକଲ ସଂଯୋଗ କରିବେ)। ୧୫. ଗୋଟିଏ ଶିକ୍ଷାଜିଲ୍ଲାରେ ଆବେଦନ କରିଥିବାର ସତ୍ୟପାଠ (Affidavit In Original) ସଂଯୋଗ କରିବେ। ୧୬. ଅଣତାଲିମ ପ୍ରାପ୍ତ ପ୍ରାର୍ଥୀ ନିଜ ଖର୍ଚ୍ଚରେ ୫ ବର୍ଷ ମଧ୍ୟରେ ତାଲିମ ପ୍ରାପ୍ତ ହେବେ ବୋଲି Under Taking ସଂଯୋଗ କରିବେ। ୧୭. ଦୁଇକିତା ବର୍ତ୍ତମାନର ସହି ମୋହର ପାସପୋର୍ଟ ଆକାର ଫଟୋ ଦାଖଲ କରିବେ :

ତାରିଖ :

ସ୍ଥାନ :

ଆବେଦନକାରୀଙ୍କର ସ୍ୱାକ୍ଷର

ପ୍ରାପ୍ତି ସ୍ୱୀକାର

ପ୍ରାର୍ଥୀଙ୍କ ନାମ :

କ୍ରମିକ ସଂଖ୍ୟା :

ଠିକଣା :

ନିମ୍ନୋକ୍ତ ସଂଲଗ୍ନମାନ (Enclosures) ହସ୍ତଗତ ହୋଇଛି।

(କ) HSC ସାର୍ଟିଫିକେଟ, ଯୁକ୍ତ ଦୁଇ ସାର୍ଟିଫିକେଟ, ତାଲିମ ସି.ଟି. ସାର୍ଟିଫିକେଟ, ସ୍ନାତକ, ବି.ଇଡି, ସ୍ପେସାଲ ବି.ଇଡି.) ସାର୍ଟିଫିକେଟ, (ଖ) ଯୁକ୍ତ ଦୁଇ ମାର୍କସିଟ, ତାଲିମ୍ (ସିଟି) ମାର୍କସିଟ ଓ ସ୍ନାତକ, ବି.ଇଡ, ସ୍ପେସାଲ ବି.ଇଡି)ମାର୍କସିଟ/ RCI Registration ର ପ୍ରମାଣପତ୍ର /୨୦୧୦-୧୧ CT ପରୀକ୍ଷାର Admit Card ନକଲ (ଗ) ଜାତିଗତ ପ୍ରମାଣପତ୍ର (Caste Certificate) (ଘ) ଆବାସିକ ପ୍ରମାଣପତ୍ର (Residential Certificate) (ଙ) ଗୋଟିଏ ଶିକ୍ଷା ଜିଲ୍ଲାରେ ଆବେଦନ କରିଥିବାର ସତ୍ୟପାଠ (Affidavit In Original) (ଚ) କର୍ମନିଯୋଜନ କେନ୍ଦ୍ରର ରେଜିଷ୍ଟ୍ରେସନ ପ୍ରମାଣପତ୍ର (ଛ) ଅଣତାଲିମପ୍ରାପ୍ତ ପ୍ରାର୍ଥୀ ନିଜ ଖର୍ଚ୍ଚରେ ୫ ବର୍ଷ ମଧ୍ୟରେ ତାଲିମ୍ ପ୍ରାପ୍ତ ହେବେ ବୋଲି Under Taking (ଜ) ଅକର୍ମଣ୍ୟ ପ୍ରମାଣପତ୍ରର ଅବିକଳ ନକଲ : (ଝ) ଦୁଇକିତା ବର୍ତ୍ତମାନର ସହିମୋହର ପାସପୋର୍ଟଆକାର ଫଟୋ :

ପ୍ରାପ୍ତି ସ୍ୱୀକାରକାରୀଙ୍କ ସ୍ୱାକ୍ଷର
ନାମ :
ପଦବୀ :

ଜିଲ୍ଲାପାଳଙ୍କ ଆଦେଶ କ୍ରମେ
ସ୍ୱା/- ଜିଲ୍ଲାପ୍ରକଳ୍ପ ସଂଯୋଜକ ସର୍ବଶିକ୍ଷା ଅଭିଯାନ, କଟକ

True Copy

-82-116-

~~By Regd. Post~~ BY HAND

COUNCIL OF HIGHER SECONDARY EDUCATION, ORISSA
PRAJNAPITHA: SAMANTAPUR: BHUBANESWAR-13

...

No. 3142 /CHSE(O)., Dt. 4/5/11
RTI-95/11

From

Dr. Gopa Ranjan Mishra,
Public Information Officer,
C.H.S.E., Orissa, Bhubaneswar.

To

Sri Ramakanta Dash,
C/o:-Late Jogendra Dash,
At/Po-Arandua,
Via:-Basudevpur,
Dist:-Bhadrak

Sub:-Supply of information under RTI Act.
Ref:-Your application under RTI dt.16.04.2011.

Sir,

With reference to your application under RTI Act dt.16.04.2011 on the subject cited above, I am to say that you have applied under RTI Act to get the information regarding whether after passing +2 Arts/Science and Commerce course a student can appear in +2 Vocational stream or not. As per rule 2(i) of the C.H.S.E. Act and Regulation 1982, Higher Secondary Course means course immediately following the High School Certificate Course and covering a period of two academic years which is provided for either in college or a Higher Secondary School and includes Arts, Science, Commerce and Vocational courses. If a student appears and qualifies in H.S.Examination in Arts, Science and Commerce stream sucessfully under any recognised institution he/she cannot take admission again in vocational stream of Higher Secondary Course.

This is for your kind information.

Yours faithfully,

Public Information officer.

Attested

KA/

Project Co-ordinator
S. S. A., Bhadrak

True Copy

ORISSA HIGH COURT
2ND.
CLEAR COPY

DISTRICT PROJECT OFFICE
SARVA SIKSHYA ABHIYAN, BHADRAK

Order No. 2338 /Date: 03-05-12

In pursuance of Resolution No. 15107/SME dated 01.08.2011 of School & Mass Education Department, Odisha, the following Trained Junior Teachers under Chandabali Block/NAC, who have completed six years of continuous satisfactory engagement as Sikshya Sahayaks and Junior Teachers, taken to serve, are hereby appointed as Regular Primary School Teachers (Level-V) in the schools mentioned against their names. The scale of pay and other allowances shall be at par with the Primary School Teachers of Elementary Cadre (Level-V) under School & Mass Education Department, Odisha with effect from the date(s) mentioned against their names.

Sl. No.	Name of the Junior Teacher	Father's/Husband's name	Name of the School	Date of appointment as Regular Teacher (Level-V)
1	GOURANGA CHANDRA BHUYAN	F- BAIDHAR BHUYAN	CHAMARSAHI UGMES	30.01.14
2	MD. GOLAM MAQBUL	F- MAHAMMED KORESH	TUTULOIPUR PS	26.05.15
3	JITENDRA KUMAR MISHRA	F- JAGABANDHU MISHRA	ANCHANDALAHA H/SCHL UP	02.07.15
4	DEBENDRA KUMAR PANDA	F- SRIDHAR PRASAD PANDA	SANAPANKI PRIMARY SCHOOL	23.04.16
5	NAVATA MAHTAB MALIK	F- SUBASH CHANDRA DAS	SARASWATI PROUPS	20.10.16
6	BISWAMBHUSHAN JAGANNADHA ROUT	F- NAROTTAM ROUT	JAGUCA PROUPS	22.03.17
7	CHINMAYEE PANDA	F- BISHNU PANDA	NRUSINGHNATH HOSP. UPS, AGARPUR	22.03.17
8	DEBASHISH MUDULI	F- DUSHASANA MUDULI	UGRATARA UGUP(ME) SCHOOL	22.03.17
9	DILLIP SEKHAR NAYAK	F- GADADHAR NAYAK	KHAIRAPATANA UGUPS	22.03.17
10	KASTURI PARIDA	F- ADHARA CHANDRA PARIDA	BOARI UGMES	22.03.17
11	MADHURI PARIDA	F- ADHAR CHANDRA PARIDA	BHUINPUR UGMES	22.03.17
12	NIRUPAMA MOHANTY	F- MAHENDRA MOHANTY	OSTIA UGUPS	22.03.17
13	PADMALAYA DAS	H- SRIKANTA SAHU	SURISHAFULA UP(ME) SCHOOL	22.03.17
14	RUDRA PRASAD RANA	F- NARENDRA PRASAD RANA	GOVT. UPGRADED HIGH SCHOOL BENTALPUR	22.03.17
15	SAGARIKA MISHRA	H- RAJKISHORE MISHRA	HALADIA UGUPS	22.03.17
16	SAROJ BARIK	F- BASANTA BARIK	SANDHAGADA PROUPS	22.03.17
17	SHIBASANKAR GIRI	F- BIJAYA KUMAR GIRI	BAINCHA UGUP(ME) SCHOOL	22.03.17
18	SUSHANTA KUMAR MAHALIK	F- PUROSUTTAM MAHALIK	PACHHAPADA UGME SCHOOL	22.03.17
19	DEBASMITA PANDA	F- RABINARAYAN PANDA	GANGADHARPUR PATANA PROUPS	23.03.17
20	PURNA CHANDRA NAYAK	F- PRAFULLA CHANDRA NAYAK	AMARNAGAR PROUPS	04.04.17

True Copy
Advt

- 149 -

	[illegible]	F- BIJAYA KUMAR SAHOO	RAGHUNATH ROY UP(ME) SCHOOL MATTO	[illegible]
	[illegible] SAHOO	F- RATNAKAR DAS	MANDALAPADA PRO.PS	[illegible]
	[illegible]	F- MAHESWAR DAS	DHALLARAI UGHES	05.04.17
	[illegible]	F- LAKSHMIDHAR BARIK	BANCHA PRO.PS	05.04.17
	[illegible] BARIK	F- SANIA SINGH	DOSUCHA UGUP(1/4) SCHOOL	05.04.17
25	BAIDYANATH SINGH	F- [illegible]	B.A.T ME(UP) SCHOOL [illegible]	05.04.17
26	BALAYANTI DEHURY	F- BIJAYADHAR DEHURY	P.O.T.G.U.P.M.E. SCHOOL [illegible]	05.04.17
27	BICHINESWAR DAS	F- GHANASHYAM DAS	PANCHUTIKIRI G.P. NODAL HIGH SCHOOL	05.04.17
28	BIJAYA KUMAR MALIK	F- NAGENDRA MALIK	NUASAHI PRO.PS	05.04.17
29	DARASINGH NAYAK	F- PURNACHANDRA NAYAK	BALIASAHI PRO.UPS	05.04.17
30	MAMATA RANI BAG	F- TUSHARKANTI BAG	GOLADIA URDU UGUP SCHOOL	05.04.17
31	MUKTILATA SAHOO	F- BIDYADHAR SAHOO	BATOL UGUP SCHOOL	05.04.17
32	MINPRAVA ROUT	H- SAROJ KUMAR SAHOO	BINATARA NODAL UPS	05.04.17
33	MONALISHA BHUYAN	F- RAJAKISHORE BHUYAN	SABITRISARAI PRO.PS	05.04.17
34	NANIGOPAL DALAPATI	F- SRINIBAS DALAPATI	SANDHAGADA PRO.UPS	05.04.17
35	RABINDRA MARANDI	F- BAIDHAR MARANDI	OSTIA UGUP SCHOOL	05.04.17
36	SAMITA DAS	H- ARUN KUMAR MALIK	KANDASAHI PS	05.04.17
37	SANTOSH RANJAN SAHOO	F- MAHENDRA PRASAD SAHOO	UTTARASAHI PRO.UPS	05.04.17
38	SASMITA RANI SIBAL	H- TAPAN BEHERA	PARAMANANDAPUR PRO.UPS	05.04.17
39	SAVITRI ROUT	H- BIDYDHAR SWAIN	MALLILATA DAS NODAL UP(ME) SCHOOL	05.04.17
40	SHASHI REKHA DHAL	F- SUDARSAN DHAL	HENGUPATI PRO. UPS	05.04.17
41	SINGRAY KISKU	F- NIMAI KISKU	BAGHANATA PS	06.04.17
42	ALOK KUMAR MAHURI	F- SATYENDRA KUMAR MAHURI	SAMUKAVEDI PRO.UPS	06.04.17
43	AMBIKAMANI SINGH	H- RAMESWAR SINGH	MOHANTYSAHI PRO.PS	06.04.17
44	BANAMALI DAS	F- KANHU CHARAN DAS	DEULIGAON PRO.PS	06.04.17
45	KHIROD KUMAR DAS	F- GAYADHAR DAS	GOVT. U.G. HIGH SCHOOL RAMESWARPUR KARANDIMAL	06.04.17
46	RANJAN KUMAR SOREN	F- KAILASH CHANDRA SOREN		
47	SUBHALAXMI MALLICK	F- RATIKANTA MALLICK	CHATAPUR NODAL UP(ME) SCHOOL	06.04.17
48	SUNIA MAJHI	H- RAJANDRA MAJHI	PANCHUTIKIRI G.P. NODAL HIGH SCHOOL	06.04.17
49	BISWARANJAN MALLICK	F- BHIMASEN MALLICK	KIATENTULI PRO.PS	07.04.17
50	MU RANI MAJHI	F- SURENDRA MAJHI	SINGITI NODAL UP(ME) SCHOOL	07.04.17
51	PADHIAR HO	F- BIRASINGH HO	SINGITI NODAL UP(ME) SCHOOL	07.04.17
52	BAILOCHAN DEHURI	F- BAIDHAR DEHURI	CHANDAKUDA PRO.PS	08.04.17
53	SAFALYA KUMAR MAHALIK	F- SREEMANTA KUMAR MAHALIK	PANASAPADA PRO.UPS	08.04.17

True Copy
Adv

	54	SANJAY KUMAR MCHHKUD	F-NARAYAN MCHAKUD	SARENDRAPALLI PRO.UPS	08.04.17
	55	BASUDEV NAIK	F- PEONNAIK	CHHATRAPADA PS	09.04.17
	56	KSHIROD CHANDRA NAIK	F- GOPABANDHU NAIK	AMBUGAN PS	09.04.17
	57	RAJANIKANTA SETHI	F- LAXMIDHAR SETHI	TENTULIDIHI PS	09.04.17
	58	SHAMBHU NATH MURMU	F- HARISH CHANDRA MURMU	BHUMPUR UGMES	09.04.17
	59	ARUPANANDA MANDAL	F- HRUSIKESH MANDAL	BIJAYAPATANA NODAL UPS	11.04.17
	60	OILLIP TUDU	F- KURU TUDU	KARANAPOKHARI PRO.UPS	11.04.17
	61	KARU CHARAN TUDU	F- LAXMAN TUDU	DHAMARAI NODAL-HIGH SCHOOL, NARASINGHPUR	11.04.17
	62	BAPI HEMBRAM	F- CHANDRAMOHAN HEMBRAM	CHANAKHANI PRO.PS	13.04.17
	63	BIJAY KUMAR NAIK	F- HADIBANDHU NAIK	KISMAT PRO.UPS	15.04.17
	64	DEBASMITA PARIDA	F- KHAGESWAR PARIDA	SOMPUR PRO. UPS	15.04.17
	65	KAMALA KANTA BEHERA	F- KSHETRA MOHAN BEHERA	SANDHAGADA PRO.UPS	15.04.17
	66	PRIYANKA NAYAK	H- BISWARANJAN MALLICK	SAMUKAVEDI PRO.UPS	15.04.17
	67	SUCHITA SAHOO	F- KASINATH SAHOO	KASHIMALAGOTHA PRO. UPS	15.04.17
	68	UMAKANTA MAHALIK	F- ANADI MAHALIK	JANTUALI NUAGAON UP(ME) SCHOOL	15.04.17
	69	PRAJNA APARAJITA NAYAK	F- PRASANTA KUMAR NAYAK	SUNDARPUR DOHARAPATANA M.E.SCHOOL	16.04.17
	70	SUJIT KUMAR PARIDA	F- DHANESWAR PARIDA	PALATPUR PRO.UPS	16.04.17
	71	BINODINI SWAIN	F- ADWAITA PRASAD SWAIN	MATHADIHA NODAL UPS	25.04.17
	72	MINATILATA SAHOO	H- AMIYA KUMAR SAHOO	SAHADEVDAS PATANA P.S.	05.05.17
	73	SUMITA BASKEY	H- DASARATHI MURMU	FARSHIBANDHA PRO.UPS	25.04.17
	74	RAJASHREE BISWAL	H- SWADHIN KUMAR DAS	PAHANA PS	27.04.17
	75	JAYSMITA MOHAPATRA	F- GANGADHAR MOHAPATRA	KARANAPALLI UGMES	29.04.17
	76	BALARAM DAS	F- NARAYAN DAS	CHHENAKHIA PRO.PS	30.04.17
	77	HARAPRIYA BEHERA	H- NISHAKAR SAHOO	MALLEI PRO. PS, NARENDRAPUR	30.04.17
	78	MANORANJAN DHAL	F- SURENDRA DHAL	SANAPANKI PS	30.04.17
	79	ANJU RANA	H- BIKARTAN RANA	KADJANASI PRO.PS	30.04.17
	80	SUBHRANJALI PATTANAIK	F- RAMESH CHANDRA PATTANAIK	NAIKULASAHI PRO. PS	30.04.17
	81	SUMANTA KUMAR MANDAL	F- PARAMESWAR MANDAL	ANAKHASAHI PS	30.04.17
	82	ARJUNA PATRA	F- UDAYA NATH PATRA	BHIMPUR PRO PS	01.05.17
	83	RASMITA SAHU	H- SUJIT KUMAR BEHERA	KOLIADIA PS	01.05.17
	84	HOLDEN PRAVA BAL	F- PRIYA RANJAN PRADHAN	JAGULA PRO.UPS	02.05.17
	85	KABITA RANI SAHOO	H- SUDARSHAN BEHERA	BIJAYAPATANA LUNAPARI PRO.PS	02.05.17
	86	ANUPAMA MAHALIK	H- RAMEHS CHANDRA MAHALIK	BIJAYAPATANA NODAL UPS	02.05.17

150

True Copy

Adv.

-151-

[illegible]	[illegible] SAHU	F- KRUPASINDHU SAHU	[illegible] PS	[illegible]
[illegible]	[illegible] CHANDRA MAHALIK	F- BINAYAK MAHALIK	BAGGODA PRO.PS	05.05.17
[illegible]	[illegible] PANDA	F- AJIT KUMAR [illegible]	KULIAPAL UGMES	05.05.17
[illegible]	[illegible] KUMAR MAJHI	F- BASANTA MAJHI	MANDALAPADA PRO.PS	05.05.17
[illegible]	HADI HALDAR	F- RABINDRANATH PATRA	BAGGHATI PRO.PS	05.05.17
92	KOUSALYA JENA	F- PITAMBAR KUMAR	KANDIASAHI PRO.PS	09.05.17
93	SHISUPAL CHARAN NAYAK	F- PADMALOCHAN NAYAK	FARSHBANDHA PRO.UPS	09.05.17
94	LAMBODHAR PANDA	F- CHAKRESWAR PANDA	P.O.T.G.U.P.T.E. SCHOOL	10.05.17
95	SASMITARANI SINGH	F- SURESH SINGH	PANCHUTKIRI G.P. NODAL HIGH SCHOOL	18.05.17
96	SHANTI SINGH	F- GOUTAM SINGH	KAITHAKHOLA WEST PS	19.05.17
97	MANI KISKU	F- LAXMAN MAJHI	PARAMANANDAPUR PRO UPS	19.05.17
98	NIRUPAMA MURMU	F- HARISH CHANDRA MURMU	MUJHI PROJECT U.P. SCHOOL	19.05.17
99	AMAR KUMAR NAIK	F- KASHINATH NAIK	TALARANDHA PS	19.05.17
100	BISWANATH TUDU	F- TALAKESWAR TUDU	BAULAGODA NODAL UPS	20.05.17
101	GURUBARI SINGH	H- PURNACHANDRA SINGH	POKHARISAHI PRO.PS	20.05.17
102	JALADHAR SINGH	F- SUDARSAN SINGH	HARISHPUR PRO UPS	20.05.17
103	JHANSIRANI MAJHI	H- BIJAY KUMAR MARNDI	AMARNAGAR PRO UPS	20.05.17
104	JHUNI MARANDI	H- SANATAN SOREN	BRAHMATIKIRI PS	20.05.17
105	MALATI SINGHANI	H- BIMAL CHANDRA SING.	GANGADHARPUR PRO.PS	27.02.18
106	MALATI PANIADIA	F- ASHIMANYU PANIADIA	HALADIA UGUP SCHOOL	20.05.17
107	MANU MURMU	F- BHIMA MURMU	DHAMARAI NODAL HIGH SCHOOL NARASINGHPUR	20.05.17
108	MOHESH KUMAR SINGH	F- KALI CHARAN SINGH	ANAKHASAHI PS	20.05.17
109	NANDITARANI DAS	F- KRUSHNA CHANDRA DAS	ORASAHI NODAL UPS	20.05.17
110	PUNGI TUDU	H- DHIRENDRA KISKU	NUAGAON PRO.PS	20.05.17
111	RADHAGOBINDA MAJHI	F- BAIDHAR MAJHI	KRUSHNAPURPADA PRO.PS	20.05.17
112	RAJANIKANTA DEHURY	F- NAURI DEHURY	TARUKHA PS	20.05.17
113	RUHYA SOREN	F- BHAGABAT SOREN	KUDAKANTHI PS	20.05.17
114	SASMITA SINGH	H- PURNA CHANDRA SINGH	KAKHARUDIHI PRO.PS	20.05.17
115	SRIRAM SOREN	F- RENTA SOREN	BIJIPUR PRO.PS	20.05.17
116	SURENDRA KISKU	F- SUNU KISKU	BARAHAMPUR PS	20.05.17
117	SUSHILA MURMU	F- KRUSHNA CHANDRA MURMU	MATTO FEEDER PS	20.05.17
118	DAMAYANTI MAJHI	H- MADHABANANDA MURMU	BIJAYAPATANA NODAL UPS	20.05.17
119	ARUNDHATI SAHU	H- AJAY KUMAR SAHOO	BODAK UGMES	23.05.17
120	AKSHAYA KUMAR PANDA	F- [illegible] CHARAN PANDA	SATHIBANKUDA NODAL UPS	16.07.17
				20.07.17

True Copy
[signature]

-152-

121	SANTOSH KUMAR ROUT	F- GOURAHARI ROUT	ORAHAL PRO PS	01.08.17
122	SUSANTA KUMAR BAL	F- SUDARSANA DAS	[illegible] HIGH SCHOOL	01.08.17
123	ANITA DAS	F- [illegible] DAS	PARABADI PS	10.08.17
124	BISWARANJAN DAS MOHAPATRA	F- [illegible] DAS	[illegible] PRO U.P. SCHOOL	28.08.17
125	RABINDRA ROUT	F- [illegible] ROUT	[illegible] PRO UPS	28.08.17
126	RAGHUNATH HEMBRAM	F- [illegible] HEMBRAM	JAYMANGALA [illegible]	28.08.17
127	BASANTA MOHANTY	F- [illegible] MOHANTY	[illegible]	[illegible]
128	ASMITA PANDA	F- ANIRUDHA NANDA PANDA	[illegible] UGMES	01.09.17
129	GOURANGA CHARAN DAS	F- KRUSHNA DAS	BIJAYANAGAR UGMES	01.11.17
130	[illegible] CHANDRA [illegible]	F- BRUNDABAN [illegible]	BIJAYANAGAR UGMES	02.11.17
131	BHAGIRATHI SAMAL	F- SURENDRA SAMAL	JAGULA PRO UPS	02.11.17
132	[illegible] MOHANTY	F- UMESH CHANDRA MOHANTY	SARITA M.E. SCHOOL	03.11.17
133	NIBEDITA SAHOO	F- MADHUSUDAN SAHOO	R.R. UPMES, MATTO	24.11.17
134	BASANTILATA SINGH	F- LALMOHAN SINGH	POTAPOKHARI PRO.PS	17.12.17
135	DASARATHI MURMU	F- HARISCHANDRA MURMU	FARSHIBANDHA PRO.UPS	19.12.17
136	LAXMIPRIYA MURMU	F- MANDAL MURMU	PAIKIRAPUR PRO.PS	19.12.17
137	SANJAYA KUMAR DAS	F- ANANTA KUMAR DAS	JENAPUR PRO.UPS	19.12.17
138	SUKUMAR SINGH	F- SADHU CHARAN SINGH	KASTURIKANA PS	21.12.17
139	MAHESWAR DUARY	F- SIDHESWAR DUARY	SHASIKALA-IPUR PS	23.12.17
140	BIPLAB KUMAR PATRA	F- PRAFULLA KUMAR PATRA	NARASINGHAPRASAD PRO UPS	19.03.18

Collector-cum-Chairman,
SSA, Bhadrak

Memo No. 2339 /Date. 03-05-18

Copy to persons concerned for information and necessary action.

Copy forwarded to the Headmasters concerned for information and necessary action.

3.5.18

District Project Co-ordinator,
SSA, Bhadrak

Memo No. 2340 /Date. 03-05-18

Copy forwarded to the Block Education Officer, Chandabali / D.E.O., Bhadrak for information and necessary action.

3.5.18

District Project Co-ordinator,
SSA, Bhadrak

True Copy
Adv.

IN THE HIGH COURT OF ORISSA, CUTTACK
RVWPET/FAO/WA/WP(C)/CONTC/IA NO- D.WA - 18426/24

Sanjit Rana .....PETITIONER

VRS

STATE OF ORISSA ..... Govt .....OPP.PARTY

ORISSA HIGH COURT
CLEARANCE
DEPUTY REGISTRAR (JUDL.)

RECEIPT MEMO

RECEIVED THE WRIT PETITION/CONTEMPT/FAO/WA/IA/ADDITIONAL/COUNTER/ REJOINDER AFFIDEVIT/ EXTRA COPIES_____ SET WITH ANNEXURE.

CUTTACK
DATE 24/4/2024

SCHOOL AND MASS EDUCATION
OFFICE OF THE ADVOCATE GENERAL
CUTTACK
S&ME

SCANNED

COMPUTERISED FILING COUNTER
ORISSA HIGH COURT,CUTTACK
ACKNOWLEDGEMENT SLIP

Seat No :
Branch No :
Receipt No : 64829/2024 Date Of Receiving : 15/05/2024 Time : 03:15:12 PM
Filing No : D- **WA 18426/2024**
Case No : **WA 1251/2024**
Received From : Petitioner
Filed By: M/S SANTANU KUMAR SARANGI(SENIOR ADVOCATE)
Document(s) Filed :

4- Appearance Memo

ORISSA
2ND.
CLEARANCE
DEPUTY REGISTRAR (J

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE ORISSA

CUTTACK

W.A. No. 1257 of 2024

Sanju Rana

.....Applicant/ Petitioner

VERSUS

State of Odisha and other

.....Respondent(s)/ Opposite Parties

To

The Deputy Registrar

High Court of Orissa, Cuttack.

Sir,

I have the honour to authorise **Mr. Santanu Kumar Sarangi**, Senior Advocate to plead the case on behalf of .....Appellant..... In the above mentioned case and request that the fact may kindly be noted.

Yours Faithfully

Date: 15/05/2024

Amit Kumar Sao
Advocate O-844/2008

I agree

S. Swain

SANTANU KUMAR SARANGI

SENIOR ADVOCATE

Ph-9437047212

ORISSA
2ND.
HIGH COURT OF ORISSA
LEGAL ASSISTANTS ASSOCIATION
WELFARE STAMP
TEN RUPEES

SCANNE

C

CHIEF JUSTICE'S COURT
15 JUL 2024
HIGH COURT OF ORISSA

IN THE HIGH COURT OF ODISHA: CUTTACK
(ORIGINAL JURISDICTION CASE)

I.A. No. 5755 of 2024
(Arising out of W.A. No. 1251 of 2024)

Sanju Rana ..... Petitioner
Vrs.

State of Orissa and others ..... Opposite parties

INDEX

Sl. No	Description of documents	Pages
	I.A. Application	1- 9
1. Annexure-1/A	A copy of order dated 05.07.2024 passed in Misc. Case No. 24 of 2024 communicated on 10.07.2024	10-13

By the petitioner through

Cuttack

Dt. 14.7.2024

ADVOCATE
SHRI DURGESH NARAYAN RATH
ENROLMENT No. O-1650/2000,
MOB:-9861041020

IN THE HIGH COURT OF ORISSA, CUTTACK

I.A. No. 5155 of 2024
(Arising out of W.A. No. 1251 of 2024)

IN THE MATTER OF:

An application under Rule 27 A of Chapter VI read with Chapter XV of the Orissa High Court Rules.

AND

IN THE MATTER OF:

An application for stay of the order dated 05.07.2024 passed in Misc. Case No. 24 of 2024, arising out of Judgment dated 28.03.2024 passed in W.P.(C) No. 720 of 2016.

AND

IN THE MATTER OF:

Sanju Rana ..... Petitioner.

Versus

State of Orissa and others.
..... opposite parties

To

The Hon'ble the Chief Justice of Orissa High Court and His Lordship's Companion Justices of the said Hon'ble Court

PRADIPTA KUMAR MOHANTY
Notary, Cuttack Town
Regd.No-ON-04/1995

The Humble petition of the
Petitioner, named above.

MOST RESPECTFULLY SHEWETH:

1. That the appellant by means of this writ appeal has assailed the legality and propriety of the judgment dated 28.03.2024 passed by the Hon'ble Single Judge in W.P.(C) No. 720 of 2016 since the same has been passed affecting the right and livelihood of the appellant and in clear non-application of mind. The appellant was the opposite party no.4 in the said writ petition. It is also alleged by the appellant that the writ petitioners by misrepresentation of facts mislead this Hon'ble Court and accordingly the order dated 28.03.2024 has been passed by the Hon'ble Single Judge.

2. That it is respectfully submitted that the Hon'ble Single Bench took up the matter on 28.03.2024 and after hearing learned counsel for the parties passed the judgment on 28.03.2024 by allowing the writ petition filed by the present respondents 4 and 5 by quashing the order passed by Collector and by directing the respondents 2 and

PRADIPTA KUMAR MOHANTY
Notary, Cuttack Town
Regd.No-ON-04/1995

3 to re-cast the merit list by excluding the vocational/ Upasastri candidates (opposite party nos. 4 and 5 of the writ petition) and by issuing appropriate order of engagement in favour of petitioners therein either as Sikshya Sahayaks or in any equivalent post without any further delay.

3. That it is respectfully submitted that the writ appeal filed by the appellant was taken up on 20.05.2024 and on the said date, this Hon'ble Court observed that as jointly requested on behalf of the parties, list this matter on 03.07.2024 along with other connected matters and W.A. No.1326 of 2024. In the meanwhile, let the pleadings and other documents be exchanged amongst the parties.

4. That it is respectfully submitted that thereafter the matter was taken up on 03.07.2024, on which date, this Hon'ble Court was pleased to issue notice to the respondents and observed that learned Addl. Government Advocate accepts notice on behalf of Respondents No.1 to 3 and learned counsel appearing for the private Respondent accepts

PRADIPTA KUMAR MOHANTY
Notary, Cuttack Town
Regd.No-ON-04/1995

CHIEF JUSTICE'S COURT
15 JUL 2024
HIGH COURT OF ORISSA

notice on behalf of private Respondents, let required number of copies of the memo of appeal be served upon the learned counsel for the above noted Respondents within a week. Accordingly, this Hon'ble Court adjourned the case to 24.07.2024.

5. That it is respectfully submitted that in compliance to the direction of this Hon'ble Court dated 03.07.2024, extra copies of the writ appeal was served on learned counsel appearing for the respondents on 05.07.2024.

6. That it is respectfully submitted that after the notice was made sufficient on the respondents and the respondents were aware of the pendency of the writ appeal before this Hon'ble Court, surprisingly an order was passed by the Respondent no.2, Collector & District Magistrate Bhadrak on 05.07.2024 in Misc. Case No. 24 of 2024 arising out of judgment dated 28.03.2024 passed by the Hon'ble High Court of Orissa in W.P.(C) No. 720 of 2016, even though there is no provision of filing any misc. case before the Respondent

PRADIPTA KUMAR MOHANTY
Notary, Cuttack Town
Regd No.

CHIEF JUSTICE'S C
15 JUL 2024
HIGH COURT OF ORIS

no.2 arising out of Judgment dated 28.03.2024 passed in W.P.(C) No. 720 of 2016, by directing the Respondent No.3, the District Project Co-ordinator, Samagra Sikshya, Bhadrak to take immediate step as per law to implement the judgment dated 28.03.2024 of the Hon'ble High Court passed in W.P.(C) No. 720 of 2016. A copy of the said order was communicated by the Respondent no. 3 on 10.07.2024 is annexed to the I.A. as **Annexure-1/A.**

7. That it is respectfully submitted that when the matter is pending before this Hon'ble Court and legality and propriety of the order of the Hon'ble Single Judge is to be decided in the Writ Appeal by the Hon'ble Division Bench and the respondents have already appeared in the said writ appeal and notices have already been issued on the said respondents fixing the date of hearing to 24.07.2024, the respondent no.2 should not have proceeded with the matter and directed for taking immediate step for implementation of the order of the Hon'ble Single Judge dated 28.03.2024 passed in

PRADIPTA KUMAR MOHANTY
Notary, Cuttack Town

W.P.(C) No. 720 of 2016. Therefore, such a direction of the respondent no.2 amounts to interfering with the judicial proceeding pending before this Hon'ble Court. Hence the order passed by the respondent no.2 under Annexure-1/A is liable to be stayed and the respondents 2 and 3 may be directed not to proceed further pending final decision of the writ appeal. Hence this I.A.

PRAYER.

Under the above circumstance, it is therefore humbly prayed that the Hon'ble Court may be graciously pleased to pass an order, pending final decision of the writ appeal, by directing the respondents, more particularly the respondent nos. 2 and 3 not to proceed further pursuant to the order passed by the respondent no.2 dated 05.07.2024 in Misc. Case No. 24 of 2024 arising out of judgment dated 28.03.2024 passed by the Hon'ble High Court of Orissa in W.P.(C) No. 720 of 2016 under Annexure-1/A to the I.A.

PRADIPTA KUMAR MOHANTY
Notary, Cuttack Town
Regd.No-ON-04/1995

And this Hon'ble Court be pleased to pass any further order/order or direction/ directions as this Hon'ble Court deems fit and proper in the facts and circumstances of the case.

And for this act of kindness, as in duty bound, the petitioner shall ever pray.

By the petitioner through

Cuttack

DT. 14.7.2024

Advocate

PRADIPTA KUMAR MOHANTY
Notary, Cuttack Town
Regd.No-OL-04/1995

CHIEF JUSTICE'S COURT
15 JUL 2024
HIGH COURT OF ORISSA

IN THE HIGH COURT OF ORISSA: CUTTACK

I.A. No. 5155 of 2024
(Arising out of W.A. No. 1251 of 2024)

IN THE MATTER OF :

Sanju Rana ..... Petitioner

Vrs.

State of Odisha and others ..... Opposite Parties

AFFIDAVIT

1. Name and address : Sanju Rana, aged about 38 years, D/o- Lambodhar Rana, At/P.O-Binayakpur, P.S-Basudevpur, Dist-Bhadrak
2. Father's Name : Lamobhar Rana
3. Occupation : Level-V (A) Teacher
4. Number of proceeding pending in the High Court or would be instituted (Caveat) :
5. Statements of facts : As per the averments made in the I.A.

6. The facts stated above are true to the best of my knowledge and belief of the deponent.

PRADIPTA KUMAR MOHANTY
Notary, Cuttack Town
Regd.No-ON-04/1995

DECLARATIO

I, Sanju Rana, aged about 38 years, D/o-Lambodhar Rana, At/P.O-Binayakpur, P.S-Basudevpur, Dist-Bhadrak, do solemnly affirm and state that I am the petitioner in this I.A. and that the facts stated above are true to my own knowledge and are true to the best of my information, which I obtained from the personal sources.

I believe the information to be true for the following reasons : Basing upon official records and information. Solemnly declare at the above said this 14th day of July, 2024.

Sanju Rana

Identified by

A.K Saa

ADVOCATE DEPONENT

Solemnly affirm before me by Sanju Rana, who is identified before me by Shri A.K. Saa Advocate, whom I personally know.

This 14th day of July, 2024.

14/07/2024

NOTARY PUBLIC, CUTTACK

PRADIPTA KUMAR MOHANTY
Notary, Cuttack Town
Regd.No-ON-04/1995

A.K Saa
ADVOCATE

- 10 -

CHIEF JUSTICE'S COURT
15 JUL 2024
Annexure-1/A
HIGH COURT OF ORISSA

OFFICE OF THE COLLECTOR & DISTRICT MAGISTRATE: BHADRAK
(Judicial Section)
***

Letter No...4331...../Judl. Dated 10/07/2024

To
The Superintendent,
High Court of Orissa, Cuttack.

Sub:- WP(C) No.720/2016 filed by Jayantilata sahoo & Others-vrs-State of Odisha & Others(Misc Case No.24/2024).

Sir,

I am directed to enclose herewith the Order No.01 dated 05.07.2024 of the Collector, Bhadrak in compliance to the Order No.22 dated. 28.03.2024 of the Hon'ble High Court of Orissa passed in WP(C) No.720/2016 filed by filed by Jayantilata sahoo & Others-Vrs-State of Odisha & Others.

Yours faithfully,

10/7/24

Deputy Collector (Judl)
Collectorate, Bhadrak

Memo No.4332/Judl. Dated 10/07/2024

Copy along with enclosure submitted to the Advocate General, Odisha, Cuttack for favour of kind information.

10/7/24

Deputy Collector (Judl)
Collectorate, Bhadrak

Memo No.4333/Judl. Dated 10/07/2024

Copy along with enclosure submitted to the Under Secretary to Govt., School and Mass Education Department, Odisha for favour of information.

10/7/24

Deputy Collector (Judl)
Collectorate, Bhadrak

Memo No.4334/Judl. Dated 10/07/2024

Copy along with enclosure forwarded to District Project Coordinator, Samagra Shiksha, Bhadrak for information and necessary action.

10/7/24

Deputy Collector (Judl)
Collectorate, Bhadrak

Memo No. 4335 /Judl. Dated 10/07/2024

Copy along with enclosure forwarded to all Petitioners and opposite Party No.4 and 5.

Deputy Collector (Judl)
Collectorate, Bhadrak

IN THE COURT OF COLLECTOR & DISTRICT MAGISTRATE, BHADRAK

MISC CASE No. 24 of 2024

(Arising out of Order No.22 Dated 28.03.2024 passed by the Hon'ble High Court of Orissa in WP(C) No.720/2016)

In the matter of:-

Jayantilata Sahoo & others .....**Petitioner**

-Vrs-

State of Odisha & others .....**Opposite Parties**

Perused Order NO.22 Dated. 28.03.2024 wherein the Hon'ble High Court in WP(C) No. 720 of 2016 titled as Jayantilata & others -vrs- State of Odisha &others has given order which is reproduced as under;

"Therefore, in view of the reason stated in the detailed judgement passed in Surekha Samal (supra), the writ petition is allowed. The impugned order under Annexure-12 is hereby quashed. The opposite party Nos. 2 and 3 are directed to recast the merit list by excluding the Vocational/ Upasastri candidates (opposite party Nos. 4 and 5) and by issuing appropriate order of engagement in favour of the petitioner either as Sikshya Sahayaks or in any equivalent post without any further delay and in any case, not later than four months.

****

ORDER

05.07.2024

Order No

01.

This case is taken up today.

The petitioners and learned advocates for O.P No.4 & 5 appears today and filed hazira. Heard them. Perused the Judgement Dated. 28.03.2024 of the Hon'ble High Court as well as documents annexed thereto and also gone through the report of DPC, SS, Bhadrak.

From the Judgement of Hon'ble High court, it is ascertained that, there is no need of hearing in this case as there is clear instruction to O.P No.02 & No.03 to recast the merit list by excluding the Vocational/ Upasastri candidates (opposite party Nos. 4 and 5) and by issuing appropriate order of engagement in favour of the petitioner either as Sikshya Sahayaks or in any equivalent post without any further delay and in any case, not later than four months.

Hence, the District Project Co-ordinator, Samagra Sikshya, Bhadrak is hereby instructed to take immediate steps as per law to implement the Judgement Dated. 28.03.2024 of the Hon'ble High Court passed in W.P.(C) No. 720 of 2016.

With the aforesaid observation, the misc case stands disposed of.

Send a free copy of the order to the petitioner as well as opposite parties for information.

Intimate to the Superintendent of Hon'ble High Court, Orissa /Advocate General, Odisha. Cuttack/ DPC, SS, Bhadrak for information and necessary action.

Collector, Bhadrak

Copy Attested
Advocate

SCANNED

5/

OFFICE OF THE ADVOCATE GENERAL, ODISHA

SLNO: 448080 Date: 16-Jul-24 TIME: 10:56

CASETYPE: WA No: 1251 YEAR: 2024

PETITONER / APPELANT: SANJU RANA

RESPONDENT / OPP PARTY: STATE

☐ PETITION & MEMO ☑ IA ☐ EXTRA COPY

☐ COUNTER ☐ ADDL SET:

☐ REJOINDER ☐ CONSOLIDATED

MISC. COPY

ORISSA HIGH COURT 1ST. 16 JUL 2024 CLEARANCE DEPUTY REGISTRAR (J&E)

ADDL. STANDING COUNSEL

DB

SCANNED

IN THE HIGH COURT OF ORISSA, CUTTACK
RVWPET/FAO/WA/WP(C)/CONTC/IA NO- 1251 / 2024
D-RVWPET/ D-FA0/ D-WA/ D-CONTC/ D-WP(C)-

Sanju Rana .....PETITIONER

VRS

STATE OF ORISSA ..... Parties .....OPP.PARTY

ORISSA HIGH COURT 1ST. 10 JUL 2024 DEPUTY REGISTRAR (J&E) CLEARANCE

RECEIPT MEMO

RECEIVED THE WRIT PETITION/CONTEMPT/FAO/WA/IA/ADDITIONAL/COUNTER/ REJOINDER AFFIDEVIT/ EXTRA COPIES 3 SET WITH ANNEXURE.

CUTTACK
DATE 5/7/2024

SCHOOL AND MASS EDUCATION
OFFICE OF THE ADVOCATE GENERAL
CUTTACK

S & ME

SCANNED

COMPUTERISED FILING COUNTER
ORISSA HIGH COURT,CUTTACK
ACKNOWLEDGEMENT SLIP

Seat No : 5
Branch No : WRIT APPEAL
Receipt No : 88626/2024 Date Of Receiving : 23/07/2024 Time : 11:25:33 AM
Filing No : D- **WA 18426/2024**
Case No : **WA 1251/2024**
Received From : Respondent
Filed By: M/S MAHENDRA KUMAR SAHOO
Document(s) Filed :
7- Vakalatnama --- Court Fee -Rs.12 (21286/2024)



FORM OF VAKALATNAMA

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE ORISSA, CUTTACK

W.A No. 1251 of 20 24

Between Sanju Rana *Appellant / Petitioner / Plaintiff*

Versus

State of Odisha, *Respondent / Opp. Party / Defendant*

Respondent No-5

Know all men by these presents, that by this Vakalatnama,

I / We Jyotirmayee Nayak, aged about 44 years
W/o- Sugamta Kishore Nayak. At- Chinal
Po- Gudgadia, Via- Gital, Dist- Bhadrak

Appellant Respondent / Petitioner / Opposite party in the aforesaid Revision / Appeal Case do hereby appoint and retain Sri MAHENDRA KUMAR SAHOO
GN- 894/92, Mob- 9439227056, 8
AUROBINDA SAHOO, Enl-No-1315/2006 (9937467681)

Advocate (s) to appear for me/us in the above case, and to conduct and prosecute (or defend) the same and all proceedings that may be taken in respect of any application connected with the same or any decree or order passed therein including all applications for return of documents or receipt of any money that may be payable to me/us in the said case and also in applications for review in appeals under Orissa High Court Order and in applications for leave to appeal to Supreme Court. I / We authorise me/our Advocate(s) to admit any compromise lawfully entered in the said case.

Dated the 23/7/2024

Received from the Executant (s)
satisfied and accepted as hold
no brief for the other side

Jyotirmayee Nayak

Advocate
Accepted as above

Advocate
Accepted as above

Advocate
Accepted as above

Advocate
Accepted as above